

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

PROJECT REPORT

PROPOSED LELESHWA (MONICA) WATER PAN REHABILITATION PROJECT WITHIN SIPILI LOCATION, SIPILI
DIVISION IN LAIKIPIA WEST SUB-COUNTY OF LAIKIPIA COUNTY

GPS Coordinates; N00° 23' 18.5" E036° 23' 17.1"

Altitude; 1976 Metres above sea level



Leleshwa (Monica water pan)

© May 2019

This environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Project report is submitted to National Environment Management Authority (NEMA) in conformity with the requirements of the Environmental Management and Coordination Act (EMCA), No. 8 of 1999 and the Environmental (Impact Assessment and Audit) regulation, 2003.

CERTIFICATION OF THE REPORT

This Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) study for the proposed rehabilitation of **Leleshwa (monica) water pan** project within Laikipia West Sub-county of Laikipia County has been conducted and the report prepared with due diligence and professionalism as per Environmental Management and Coordination Act (EMCA) No. 8 of 1999 and Environmental Impact Assessment & Audit regulation of 2003, by approved and registered (by NEMA) EIA & EA Experts.

Table 1: EIA & EA firm of experts details

NAME:	REGISTRATION STATUS:	NEMA REG. NO.
Fredrick Karani Kirera Signature..... Date.....	Lead Expert	7442
Dickson Kimathi Muthaura Signature..... Date.....	Associate Expert	6235

PROPONENT

I.....on behalf of **STATE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE – Kenya Climate Smart Agriculture Project (KCSAP)** confirms that this Environmental and Impact Assessment study report for the proposed **rehabilitation of Leleshwa water pan** project has been prepared and submitted to NEMA with our authority as the proponent.

Sign & Stamp..... Date.....

Designation.....

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In pursuit of implementing environmentally sensitive development and enhancing provision of safe and adequate water for agricultural use, The Kenya Climate Smart Agriculture Project – KCSAP (herein referred to as the proponent) intends to initiate implementation of the proposed rehabilitation of Leleshwa water pan project.

Leleshwa water pan covers an area of 4 acres (plot number 528) and was constructed during the colonial times by white settlers. It was later expanded by the users in the late 1970s when the area was settled. The pan was de-silted in 1999 through food for work and has silted up again. The last de-silting was done in the year 2004. Due to siltation, the water pan stores small amount of water when it rains which dries up within a short period.

The pan is managed by the management committee comprising of the residents of the areas benefiting from the pan. Currently, pan has been filled up with silt as a result of soil erosion from the catchment. The pan benefits a population of 2,540 people, 3200 cattle and 4,000 goats from Bahati, Leleshwa B and Naibron villages.

During public participation, the residents were very optimistic and positive that if the pan is rehabilitated, it will have several benefits to the residents of the area. Generally, the pan once it's rehabilitated and start being in operation, it will greatly improve the socio-economic status of the residents of the area.

This Environmental impact Assessment (EIA) study has been found necessary for the proposed water pan project in order to incorporate environmental issues during construction and operation. Environmental Management and Co-ordination Act (EMCA), 1999 requires that all new projects to undergo Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and a report submitted to National Environmental Management Authority (NEMA) for review and necessary approval before commencement. In pursuit, the proponent has ensured that the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for the proposed water pan project has been conducted before commencement of its implementation.

The proposed Leleshwa water pan site is located within Leleshwa A village, Kaharati Sub-location, Sipili location, Sipili Division, Laikipia West Sub-County of Laikipia County at a GPS

and altitude of **N000 23' 18.5" E0360 23' 17.1" and 1976 Metres above sea level** respectively.

The aim of this EIA project report is to identify the possible social, economic and environmental impacts that may arise from the construction and operations of this project and propose suitable mitigation measures in accordance with the Environmental Management and Coordination Act (EMCA) 1999 and Environmental Impacts Assessment & Audit Regulations, 2003.

EIA for such project is a requirement in Kenya under the Environmental Management and Coordination Act (EMCA) No.8 of 1999, which also outlines the general Terms of Reference (TOR). Other relevant legislations include the Water Act, 2016 which provides for the management, conservation, use and control of water resources. It also provides for the acquisition and regulation of rights to use water.

The Public Health Act which regulates activities detrimental to the human health; the Local government Act which grant's local authorities powers to control adverse development in their jurisdiction; and the Physical Planning Act and the Land Planning Act which make provisions for development control. The important standards controlling environmental quality are the national and those developed by the WHO (1993).

Table 2: Summary of the main findings of the study

Impact	Impact Rating	Mitigation Measures
Impacts on Soil and Land	Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none">~ Ensure management of excavation/dredge activities.~ Proper refilling of the excavated cuttings pit and power cables~ Proper storage, handling and disposal of oil and oil wastes dredging and related construction.~ Any maintenance of involved vehicles and

		other machines should be carried out in the contractor's yard off site or at a petrol station.
Impacts on Water Resources	Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Avoid entry of any undesirable material into the water pan. ~ Management of water usage to avoid unnecessary wastage of water.
Impacts on Biodiversity	Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Proper storage, handling and disposal wastes during dedging and related activities. ~ Restrict activities to the proposed site. ~ Any maintenance of involved vehicles and machinery should be carried out in the contractor's yard off site.
Socio-Economic Impacts	High- Positive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Reliable source of water for agricultural use. ~ Minimize occurrence of water-borne diseases. ~ Reduction of distance travelled in search of water. ~ Improved household and community income generating activities. ~ Increased participation of women in socio-economic development ~ Promote economic growth of the area.
Impacts on Air Quality	Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Ensure proper working conditions of exhaust systems of the water pan dredging and construction machines.

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Provide dust masks for dredging and construction crew. ~ Water stockpiles of earth to minimize dust emission.
Impacts on public health and safety	Potentially	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Provide proper protective gears to all workers. ~ All project participants should have insurance and workmen's compensation. ~ There should be a standby vehicle in case of any medical emergency. ~ There should be presence of full-equipped first aid kit at site. ~ Ensure the availability of Emergency contacts for police and ambulance service provider. ~ Emergency plans should be communicated and well understood. ~ Warning signs and electrical safety should be properly displayed and observed.
Conflicts over water use	Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Formation of Conflict Management and resolution committee.
Visual impact	Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Minimal.
Vandalism	Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Employ security guard. ~ Fence the site and install appropriate gate.

Conclusion and recommendation

The study concludes that the proposed Leleshwa (Monica) water pan will not have significant adverse environmental impacts, and thus the project should be allowed to proceed. However, it is important that the mitigation and monitoring measures recommended in the report are strictly and appropriately implemented.

The proposed project will have immense socio-economic and health impacts that include supply of adequate water to the residents of the area for agricultural use. It is thus the experts' recommendation that the proponent be issued with EIA license so that they can commence the implementation of the proposed project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATION OF THE REPORT.....	2
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
ACRONYMS	12
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	13
1.1 Background Information	13
1.2 Terms of Reference, objectives and scope of study	14
1.2.1 Terms of Reference	14
1.2.2 Objectives and scope of study	14
1.3 Methodology Outline	15
1.3.1 Screening and Scoping Stages.....	16
1.3.2 Fieldwork and Impact assessment Stage	16
1.3.3 Environmental Management Planning Stage.....	16
1.3.4 Public Participation	17
CHAPTER TWO: DESCRIPTION OF THE WATER PAN REHABILITATION PROCESS AND PROPOSED ALTERNATIVES	18
2.1. Introduction	18
2.2. Analysis of the cause of failure	19
2.3 Rehabilitation Works.....	20
2.3.1 Embankment Repairs.....	20
2.3.2 De-silting.....	21
2.3.3 Spillway Repairs.....	22
2.4 Project Budget.....	22
2.5 Alternatives to the proposed Project	22

2.5.1 Alternative Water Sources	22
2.5.2 Alternative Site	23
2.5.3 Rehabilitation Technology	23
2.5.4 'No Action' Alternative.....	23
CHAPTER THREE: PROJECT LOCATION AND ENVIRONMENTAL SET UP	24
3.1 The Site Location.....	24
3.2 Physical and Topographic Features.....	24
3.3 Ecological Conditions	25
3.5 Climatic Conditions	25
3.6 Topography	26
3.7 Soils	26
3.8 Hydrology.....	26
CHAPTER FOUR: LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS	27
4.1 General Regulatory Framework for EIA.....	27
4.2 Regulatory Enforcement	28
4.3 Environmental Quality and Discharges to Surface Water and Land Surface.....	28
4.4 Health and Safety	28
4.5 Land Use Control.....	29
4.6 Public Responsibility and Participation.....	29
4.7 Water Act, 2016	29
4.8 The Penal Code, Cap.63.....	29
4.9 EMC (Waste Management) Regulations, 2006	30
4.10 Work Injury Benefits Act, 2007	30
4.11 Occupational Safety and Health Act 2007 (OSHA 2007).....	30
4.12 EMC (Noise and Excessive Vibration Pollution) (Control) Regulations, 2009	31

4.13 EMC (Water Quality) Regulation, 2006	32
CHAPTER FIVE: PUBLIC PARTICIPATION.....	33
5.1 Sources of Information	33
5.2. Awareness of the proposed project or similar project in the area	33
5.3 Project effects on the surroundings.....	33
5.4 Objection to the proposed project.....	33
CHAPTER SIX: IDENTIFICATION OF IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES	35
6.1 Introduction	35
6.2 Impacts on Water Resources.....	35
6.3 Impacts on Biodiversity.....	36
6.3.1 Fuels and lubricants	36
6.3.3 Solid Waste.....	36
6.4 Socio-Economic Impacts	37
6.4.1 Community Disruption.....	37
6.4.2 Noise	37
6.4.3 Provision of water- Positive impact	37
6.4.4 Insecurity /vandalism.....	37
6.5 Impacts on Air Quality	38
6.6 Health and Safety Impacts.....	38
CHAPTER SEVEN: SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN.....	39
CHAPTER EIGHT: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION.....	45
REFERENCES	46
APPENDICES	47

Figure 1: Trimming a breached embankment	21
Figure 2: Repair of a breached embankment.....	21
Figure 3: Leleshwa water pan site.....	24
Figure 4: Public participation meeting	34

ACRONYMS

a.s.l	~ Above Sea Level
EIA	~ Environmental Impact Assessment
EMCA	~ Environmental Impact Assessment
NEAP	~ National Environmental Action Plan
NEMA	~ National Environmental Management Authority
TDS	~ Total dissolved Solids
TOR	~ Terms of Reference
UN	~ United Nations
UNEP	~ United Nation Environmental Programme
UNCEF	~ United Nations Children Fund
WHO	~ World Health Organization
WRM	~ Water Resource Management
WRMA	~ Water Resource Management Authority

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background Information

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is a formal process used to predict the environmental consequences both positive and negative of a plan, policy, program, or project prior to the decision to move forward with the proposed action. This EIA project report for the proposed Leleshwa water pan project provides up-to-date, accurate, relevant and adequate information regarding the proposed project.

Leleshwa water pan covers an area of 4 acres (plot number 528) and was constructed during the colonial times by white settlers. It was later expanded by the users in the late 1970s when the area was settled. The pan was de-silted in 1999 through food for work and has silted up again. The last de-silting was done in the year 2004. Due to siltation, the water pan stores small amount of water when it rains which dries up within a short period.

Currently, only small section of the water pan collect rain water from runoff from the surrounding area which is not enough and dries immediately within a short period of dry spell. During public participation, the residents were very optimistic that if the water pan is rehabilitated, it will have immense benefits to the residents of the area. Generally, the water pan once it's rehabilitated and start being in operation, it will greatly improve the socio-economic status of the residents of the area.

The proponent intends to seek approval from NEMA for rehabilitating Leleshwa water pan with an aim of addressing the problem of acute water shortage within Leleshwa A village where it is located and also the surrounding villages. The water will be utilised for domestic use and agricultural purpose.

This Environmental Impact Assessment Project Report has been conducted as per Environmental Management and Coordination Act (1999) and the Water Act 2016 which makes it mandatory that such a project undergoes Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA). The Terms of Reference are as per the Environmental (Impact and Audit) Regulations Legal Notice 101 (2003). The EIA project report seeks to identify in a structured and systematic manner the possible consequences, positive or negative, of the proposed project on the environment, to determine those which are deemed

significant, and to present appropriate mitigation measures to avoid or reduce the adverse impacts of those that are negative to acceptable levels and maximize the positive impacts.

1.2 Terms of Reference, objectives and scope of study

1.2.1 Terms of Reference

Because there were no defined Terms of Reference (TOR) between the consultant and the proponent, the consultant deemed it fit to adopt the TOR5 as per the guidelines to conducting an EIA Project Report in accordance with the Environmental (Impact and Audit) Regulations Legal Notice 101 (2003).

Accordingly, the present EIA project report will:

- a) Describe the nature of the project and its design;
- b) Detail the materials to be used, products and by-products, including waste to be generated by the project and the methods of their disposal;
- c) the activities that shall be undertaken during the project construction, operation, commissioning and/or decommissioning phases;
- d) Give the location of the project including the physical area that may be affected by the project's activities;
- e) Evaluate the relevant legislative framework;
- f) Evaluate the potential environmental impacts of the project and the mitigation measures to be taken during and after implementation of the project;
- g) Provide an elaborate Environmental Management Plan which will enforce the recommended mitigation measures against any negative environmental impact brought about by the project;
- h) Any other information the Authority may consider necessary.

1.2.2 Objectives and scope of study

The aim of this assessment was to identify significant potential impacts of the proposed project to environment and social aspects and formulate recommendations to ensure that the project takes into consideration appropriate measures to mitigate any likely

adverse impacts to the environment and the people's health through all phases of its implementation.

The specific objectives are:

- a) To present an outline of the background of the proposed project;
- b) To review existing legal and institutional policy framework related to the proposed project;
- c) To establish the environmental baseline conditions of the project area and review all available information and data related to the proposed project;
- d) To identify key areas for environmental, social and safety concerns as well as the anticipated impacts associated with the proposed project implementation and commissioning;
- e) To predict likely environmental and social impacts of the proposed project;
- f) To establish a comprehensive environmental and social and management plan covering the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the proposed project;
- g) To raise community awareness on the impacts of the proposed project on the environment;
- h) To highlight environmental issues with a view to guide policy makers, planners, stakeholders and the government agencies to help them understand the implications of the ESIA report and make the necessary decisions concerning the proposed project and future planning;
- i) To establish benchmarks for the various environmental aspects relating to the proposed project;
- j) To establish a framework for environmental management system that aims at environmental sustainability;
- k) To prepare a comprehensive project report in accordance with the environmental legislation and submission to NEMA for further instruction and /or approval.

1.3 Methodology Outline

The general procedure outline applied in this EIA process involved the following steps:

1.3.1 Screening and Scoping Stages

Screening was carried out to determine whether an EIA was required in accordance with schedule 2 of EMCA, 1999 and the Environmental (Impact and Audit) Assessment Regulation Legal Notice 101 of 2003. The project was found to qualify for a project report.

Scoping was undertaken to decide on the critical issues of focus during the assessment. This stage mainly involved review of relevant data and identification possible area of impacts and recipients, project alternatives methodologies relevant to the project, as well as who to consult. Accordingly, existing data including Leleshwa water pan records, geological maps and reports and any other relevant information were reviewed. The identified issues were scrutinized against the available data.

1.3.2 Fieldwork and Impact assessment Stage

A site visit to the project area was carried out to assess the existing water supply situation with respect to demand, current land uses and the general set up of the Leleshwa water pan to be rehabilitated. Alternative water sources were evaluated, the findings of which are included in this report.

The baseline information acquired during the scoping stage was fine-tuned and verified. The Key impacts relevant to this project were identified during this stage and include:

- ~ Impacts on Soil and Land;
- ~ Impacts Water Resources;
- ~ Impacts on Biodiversity;
- ~ Socio - Economic Impacts;
- ~ Impacts on Air Quality;
- ~ Impacts on Public Health and safety;
- ~ Conflicts over water use;

1.3.3 Environmental Management Planning Stage

Based on the impacts identified, recommendations on appropriate mitigation measures were drawn through discussion, experiences from past similar projects, assessment of

land-use and social compatibility and socio-economic factors. As part of the mitigation measures, an outline of Environmental Management Plan (EMP) was developed. The EMP presents the key environmental aspects, appropriate action plans, responsibilities, time frames and monitoring indicators.

1.3.4 Public Participation

Public participation forms an important part of this report. The public participation was accomplished through administration of a well-designed and pre-tested questionnaires and key informant. The views of the stakeholders were also obtained through Focused Group Discussion (FGD).

The aim of the public participation was to acquire history of the water pan, create awareness to the community on the proposed water pan rehabilitation project, the anticipated environmental and social impacts of the proposed water pan rehabilitation project as well as seek their opinions and concerns in regard to the same. The results are presented in this report.

The respondents indicated that the proposed project will have positive impacts to the residents by providing water for agricultural use. They also pointed out that the proposed project will enhance food security, improve livestock health and generally improve economic status of the residents. However, there were no major negative impacts underscored by the participants. *Copies of questionnaires and list of participants are annexed.*

CHAPTER TWO: DESCRIPTION OF THE WATER PAN REHABILITATION PROCESS AND PROPOSED ALTERNATIVES

2.1. Introduction

The aim of rehabilitation works to be carried out on Leleshwa water pans is not only to restore the water pan into their original condition, but also to upgrade the structure where possible.

The process will ensure that the structures selected for rehabilitation will guarantee that the costs involved will yield the maximum benefits, and where a reasonable chance exists that climatological, agro-ecological and community involvement factors are such that after rehabilitation, the water pan will have a useful lifetime in line with set expectations.

On the technical/economical side, the rehabilitation process will keep in mind that physical removal of sediment deposits using ordinary earth-moving methods is rarely feasible. It is nearly always more interesting to either raise an existing embankment where the topography allows, or otherwise construct a new water pan downstream of the old silted reservoir, whereby the old reservoir will further act as a silt-trap. Removal of sediments by scooping provides a ratio (water storage volume / earth fill volume) of 1, where even a water pan-site rated as poor will have a ratio of 3. Physical removal of silt does make sense on sites where the sediments removed can be used to widen and raise the embankment crest and where significant ancillary structures have been built around the existing water pan.

Another important factor which will be assessed before rehabilitation works are decided upon is the agro-ecological situation, the erosion and the expected sediment yield of the catchment area.

Finally the potential for successfully involving the beneficiaries not only in a number of construction activities but particularly in operation and maintenance of the structure and their willingness to make long term investments in catchment improvement and protection works, is another factor which will be taken seriously into consideration.

2.2. Analysis of the cause of failure

Prior to rehabilitation process commencement, a careful analysis will be made to establish the cause of the failure or condition that has necessitated the rehabilitation. This may require a number of steps including:

- ~ Interrogating any locals or witnesses to the event that caused water panage;
- ~ Obtaining original design or as-built drawings and reports;
- ~ Obtaining photographs of the as-constructed structure;
- ~ Obtaining rainfall records for the event that caused water panage;
- ~ Conducting topographical surveys to ascertain high water marks with respect to spillway and crest levels. This can help to ascertain whether overtopping of the embankment occurred;
- ~ Conducting topographical surveys to establish whether excessive embankment settlement took place. Observations of longitudinal cracks on the embankment could also signify slumping and slope failure;
- ~ Soil sampling and analysis to establish embankment material which may be different to surrounding soils and may be different across the cross section of the embankment.

The cause of failure analysis is important to avoid investing in the rehabilitation of a structure that has a funwater panental weakness that is difficult or expensive to overcome (e.g. no impervious core resulting in excessive seepage, embankment built out of dispersive soils). In addition, if the failure is caused by insufficient attention to operation and maintenance tasks, then a substantive review of the O & M schedule and those responsible should be undertaken. In many instances, the cause of failure is not immediately obvious and may be attributed to a number of different factors taking place in combination.

Once there is sufficient confidence that the cause of the failure or water panage has been established, then efforts to design the rehabilitation works can commence.

2.3 Rehabilitation Works

The rehabilitation works must address the cause of failure as well as returning the structure to operational status.

2.3.1 Embankment Repairs

All trees and vegetation will be removed from the old (possibly eroded) embankment, after which the embankment will be trimmed down. Any loose material will be removed or stockpiled for reuse. The trimmed old embankment is used as an upstream core for the construction of the new embankment. Suitable soil will be used for the fill, and the usual compaction procedures will followed. The core-trench will be incorporated in the new embankment. Care will be taken to ensure a good contact between the old and new sections of the embankment, and between the foundation and new material.

At the same time, various other works to improve the embankment will be carried out if required, such as:

- ~ Rip-rap protection on upstream slope;
- ~ Incorporation of a filter blanket and toe drain etc.

Raising of an embankment will normally require the filling of the old spillway channel and the construction of a new spillway. Alternatively, the concrete spillway sill can be raised and erosion protection provided downstream of the sill.




Figure 1: Trimming a breached embankment




Figure 2: Repair of a breached embankment

2.3.2 De-silting

Prior to de-silting any reservoir by mechanical means, it must be emptied if it contains water. This can be done by either pumping or cutting part of the spillway channel to the required depth. Breaching of the embankment is not recommended. The digging of a

number of test pits in the reservoir, in order to establish the depth of the silt layer, prior to the scooping is will be done. This will also permit study of the stratification of the silt layer, which might eventually lead to determining its origin within the catchment.

If de-silting happen to be conducted by traditional earth-moving methods, the most effective way will be use of a bulldozer (100-125 kW will generally be suitable) for the removing the silt, a wheel loader for loading it and tipping lorries for the transport of the silt. This basic machinery will be assisted by a number of smaller machines to perform more specific tasks. If the removed soil is judged suitable, it will be re-used for repairing the embankment.

2.3.3 Spillway Repairs

Repairs of old (eroded) spillways will usually involve the construction (or rehabilitation) of sills, lining eroded stretches with rip-rap (at least 0.30 m thick and compacted by a dozer), and stabilization of banks by the use of gabions.

The original spillway design calculations will be confirmed and revised if needed due to changing catchment conditions or improved data on stream flow or rainfall.

2.4 Project Budget

The proposed project will cost **Two million, seven hundred and seventeen thousands and five hundred shillings only (Kshs. 2,717,500)**. See attached copy of Bill of Quantity.

2.5 Alternatives to the proposed Project

It is a requirement that during the EIA process, alternatives to the project including water sources, site and rehabilitation technology be considered. The alternatives given here below:

2.5.1 Alternative Water Sources

A number of water source alternatives have been considered and are listed here below:

- 1) **Roof Catchment;** This would be an alternative among others but this source however is reliable during rainy seasons only and not there during dry spells and

also cannot meet clients demand. In addition, the residents' houses have small iron sheet roof which cannot harvest enough rain water.

- 2) **River water.** This is not a feasible alternative based on the fact that the nearest river (Timau river) is far from Nganyii village and the water from the river is not sufficient especially during dry spell.
- 3) **Borehole.** This would not be an ideal alternative because the ground water level is very high. The borehole drilling is recommended in the areas where the water table is very low.
- 4) **Leleshwa water pan;** this is the only viable option. The proponent has put into place the necessary strategies and is mobilizing the required resources to commence rehabilitation of the Leleshwa water pan.

2.5.2 Alternative Site

There are a number of scenarios that have led to consideration of an alternative Leleshwa water pan site. These may include existence of the water pan which can be rehabilitated and the willingness of the residents of Leleshwa A village. This other reason is the fact that the site is within the project area and the residents are suffering from acute water shortage for agricultural use.

2.5.3 Rehabilitation Technology

The rehabilitation process will be undertaken using the modern machinery and technology and this will be involved in all the activities of repairing embankment and spillway and de-silting.

2.5.4 'No Action' Alternative

No Action Alternative implies that the rehabilitation of the water pan will not take place. This is highly undesirable because the proposed project will immensely benefit the residents of Leleshwa A village and the surrounding area. If the status quo is maintained, the residents will continue to suffer from acute water shortage. The 'No Action' alternative is therefore ruled out.

CHAPTER THREE: PROJECT LOCATION AND ENVIRONMENTAL SET UP

3.1 The Site Location

The proposed Leleshwa water pan site is located within Leleshwa A village, Kaharati Sub-location, Sipili location, Sipili Division, Laikiia West Sub-County of Laikipia County at a GPS and altitude of N000 23' 18.5" E0360 23' 17.1" and 1976 Metres above sea level respectively.



Figure 3: Leleshwa water pan site

3.2 Physical and Topographic Features

The altitude of Laikipia County varies between 1,500 m above sea level at Ewaso Nyiro basin in the North to a maximum of 2,611 m above sea level around Marmanet forest. The other areas of high altitude include Mukogodo and Ol Daiga Forests in the eastern part of the county at 2,200 m above sea level. The County consists mainly of a plateau bordered by the Great Rift Valley to the West, the Aberdares mountain ridge to the South and Mt. Kenya to the South East.

The main drainage feature is Ewaso Nyiro North basin with its tributaries having their sources in the slopes of the Aberdares and Mt. Kenya. These tributaries include Nanyuki, Timau, Rongai, Burguret, Segera, Naromoru, Engare, Moyok, Ewaso Narok, Pesi and Ngobit rivers. The flow of these rivers matches the County's topography, which slopes gently from the highlands in the South to the lowlands in the North. The rivers determine to a large extent livelihood patterns in the county. In addition, there are two

major swamps in the county namely; Marura Swamp which runs along the Moyot valley in Ol-Pajeta Ranch and the Ewaso Narok Swamp around Rumuruti town.

The Southwestern part of the county has the highest potential for forestry and mixed farming due to its favourable climatic conditions. The eastern and northern parts of the county are suitable for grazing while the plateau lying in the central and the northern parts of the county is suitable for ranching. The swamps have some agricultural potential but require adequate management. Encroachment for human settlement and agricultural production poses serious threat to their existence.

3.3 Ecological Conditions

Laikipia County is endowed with pastureland, rangeland, forests, wildlife, undulating landscapes and rivers among others. The high and medium potential land, which is suitable for crop farming, constitutes 20.5 per cent of the total county's land area. The remaining 79.5 per cent is low potential and suitable for livestock and wildlife. The major soils in the county are mainly loam, sand and clay. Black cotton soil, which has inherent fertility, spreads in most parts of the plateau. The dark reddish brown to red friable soils and rocky soils are mainly found on the hillsides.

The county has a gazetted forest area totalling to 580 Km² comprising of both the indigenous and plantation forests. The indigenous forests include Mukogodo and Rumuruti while plantation forests include Marmanet and Shamaneik. Laikipia County is richly endowed with wildlife widely distributed in most parts of the county extending to Aberdare, Samburu, Meru and Mt. Kenya wildlife corridors. Most of the wildlife is found in the large-scale private ranches, which occupy over 50 per cent of the total area of the county. The rest are found in-group ranches predominantly owned by the Maasai, in the gazetted forests of Mukogodo, Rumuruti and Marmanet and other uninhabited tracts of land in the county.

3.5 Climatic Conditions

The county experiences a relief type of rainfall due to its altitude and location. The annual average rainfall varies between 400mm and 750mm. The areas nearest to the slopes of Mt. Kenya and the Aberdare Ranges record higher annual rainfall totals. . North Marmanet receives over 900mm of rainfall annually; while the drier parts of

Mukogodo and Rumuruti receive slightly over 400mm annually. The Laikipia plateau receives about 500mm of rain annually, while Mukogodo Forest receives an average rainfall of about 706mm annually.

Due to its location along the equator and proximity to Mount Kenya, the county experiences a cool temperate climate, with mean annual temperatures of between 16°C and 26°C. Most of the residents in Laikipia North are pastoralists who move from one area to another in search of water and pasture when the area gets too dry.

3.6 Topography

The land is generally flat with an average slope of 0 - 5%. Steep slopes are encountered at the banks of rivers and water ways. Water way floors have a general slope of 0.5%. The land terrain is suited for irrigation development.

3.7 Soils

The soils vary from sandy loams to clay loams. Thus, pans for storing rainfall run-off must not have to be lined if they have to keep the water for a long duration of time.

3.8 Hydrology

There are no river draining in the area except for seasonal spring from a series of water pans . However, run-off from the higher ground has formed wide shallow water ways. These water ways supply water to some of the water storage structures. Thus, there is a high potential for run-off harvesting.

CHAPTER FOUR: LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS

The framework for the sustainable management and protection of the environment is provided by the Environmental Management and Coordination Act (1999) while the framework for the protection of water resources is provided by the Water Act 2016 which replaced Water Act 2002. The EIA process is currently guided by the regulations promulgated in terms of the Environmental (Impact Assessment and Audit) Regulations Act, 2003. Others are relevant acts are those that regulate development such as Urban Planning Act of 1996 and Public health and safety (Public Health Act, 242). The relevance of each of these acts to the proposed project is highlighted in this chapter.

4.1 General Regulatory Framework for EIA

The Environmental Management and Co-ordination Act, 1999 (EMCA), underscores the general principles, which entitle every Kenyan to a clean and a healthy environment. This act has the overall responsibility of establishing national environmental principles and to provide guidance and coherence to sound environmental management. It further deals with cross-sectoral issues such as overall policy formulation, environmental planning, protection and conservation of the environment, environmental impact assessment, environmental audit and monitoring, environmental quality standards, environmental protection orders, institutional coordination and conflict resolution.

The Environmental (Impact Assessment and Audit) Regulations Act, 2003 stipulates the process of EIA and Environmental Audit and Monitoring. Section 58 of EMCA makes it a requirement for environmental impact assessments of projects and activities out of character with their natural surroundings to be carried out. Within this provision, an environmental monitoring programme comes one of the principal components of EIA recommendations against which crucial management decisions would be made.

EMCA established the National Environment Management Authority (NEMA) that is mandated to coordinate all environment issues, the lead agency for water resources management the Water Resource Authority (WRA) established under part III, section I of the Water Act 2002.

4.2 Regulatory Enforcement

EMCA enhances penalties for environmental offences and provides for appointment of environmental inspectors. These two provisions are expected to improve environmental responsibility and governance amongst Kenyans, both as private citizens, and/or as players in the private and public sectors. EMCA gives strength to the Water Act 2016 and ensures a more environmentally sustainable approach to protect and conserve water resources, especially when the Polluter Pays Principle is applied as underscored under the Water Act 2016. This act also regulates water abstraction activities for both surface and groundwater.

4.3 Environmental Quality and Discharges to Surface Water and Land Surface

Regulations and standards for environmental quality have been enshrined in the Environmental Management and Coordination Act, 1999. Part VIII of the Act refers to Environmental Quality Standards with relevant sections on pollution of water and air. It also addresses standards for waste, noise, radiation, and noxious gases. Although EMCA addresses needs for various environmental standards, the setting of national effluent standards is a primary responsibility of the Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources through the Water Act 2016, supported by the Public Health Act (Cap 242). The Penal Code, Section 191 makes it a crime the act of discharging noxious liquids and gases into the environment.

4.4 Health and Safety

To safeguard public health and safety, World Health Organization and EU drinking water quality standards are widely used to determine portability of water. National standards for water and effluent quality will be governed by the regulations and provisions in the Water Act 2016 and Public Health Act (242), under guidelines of the new framework legislation.

The Public Health Act regulates activities detrimental to the human health. Part IX, section 115 of the Act states that no person or proponent's sites shall cause nuisance or conditions liable to be injurious or dangerous to human health.

Section 116 requires Local authorities to take all lawful, necessary and reasonable practicable measures to maintain areas under their jurisdiction clean and sanitary to

prevent occurrences of nuisance or conditions liable for injurious or dangerous to human health. The owner(s) of the premises responsible for environmental nuisance such as noise and air emissions at levels that can affect human health are liable to prosecution under this act.

4.5 Land Use Control

Land use control is enforced through the Agriculture Act (Cap 318), the Land Act (Cap 300), Land Control Act (Cap 302), the Physical Planning Act of 1996, and EMCA under Part V on the Protection and Conservation of the Environment. Although the Physical Planning Act does not specifically mention water pan rehabilitation activity, it is intended to reduce potential conflicts between the interest of the authorities and those of landowners in respect of settlement, social and economic activities.

4.6 Public Responsibility and Participation

Part XIII (Sections 140, 142, 143 and 145) of EMCA touches on Environmental Offences relating to standards, pollution, restoration orders, easements and conservation orders. These sections spell out penalties for the various categories of environmental offences and give the public powers (ref. Locus stand) to sue environmental offenders and/or seek redress through courts of law. Legal suits could be filed against individual offenders, bodies corporate, partnerships, principals or employers.

4.7 Water Act, 2016

Part II, section 5 states that every water resource is vested in and held by the national government in trust for the people of Kenya. Part III, section 29 states that Water Resources Users Associations (WRUAs) may be established as associations of water resource users at the sub-basin level in accordance with Regulations prescribed by Water Resources Authority. Section 30 (1) establishes the National Water Harvesting and Storage Authority.

4.8 The Penal Code, Cap.63

The Act makes it an offence for any person or institution that voluntarily corrupts or fouls water public springs or reservoirs, rendering it less fit for its ordinary use guilty of an offence. It is also an offence to make or vitiate the atmosphere in any place to make it

noxious to health of persons / institution in dwellings or business premises in the neighborhood or those passing along a public way.

4.9 EMC (Waste Management) Regulations, 2006

This regulation defines the responsibilities of waste generators and the duties and requirements for transportation and disposal of waste. It states that “ no person shall dispose of any waste on public highway, street, road, recreation area or in any public place except in a designated receptacle and a waste generator shall collect, segregate and dispose such waste in the manner provide for under these regulations”. It provides for mitigation of pollution and provides for hazardous and toxic wastes.

4.10 Work Injury Benefits Act, 2007

This is an Act of Parliament to provide for compensation to employees for work related injuries and diseases contracted in the course of their employment and for connected purposes. An employee is a person who has been employed for wages or a salary under a contract and includes apprentice or indentured learner.

4.11 Occupational Safety and Health Act 2007 (OSHA 2007)

The standards and requirements to be maintained during construction are specified under the Factories (Building Operations and Works of Engineering Construction) Rules 1984. However this Act was repealed by the OSHA, 2007. The OSHA, 2007 is more inclusive and is an Act of Parliament to provide safety, health and welfare of the workers and all persons lawfully present at workplaces and to provide for the establishments of the National Council for Occupational safety and health and for all connected purpose.

Part I section 3 (1) states that; “the Act shall apply to all workplaces where any person is at work, whether temporarily or permanently.

Part II states that “the purpose of the Act is to secure safety, health and welfare of persons at work and protect persons other than persons at work against risks to safety and health arising out of work, or in connection with, the activities of persons at work”. Part 11 section 6 (1-6) provides for what occupiers of premises ought to do to ensure

the safety, health and welfare at work of all persons working there. Section 7 spells out the duties of the occupier being preparation of safety and health policy statement.

Section 13 (1a-e) provides for the duties of the employee while at work place while section 14 to 16 highlights the duty to report any dangerous situation, duty not to interfere with or misuse things provided pursuant to certain provisions and the prohibition against creation of hazardous situations. The offences committed are also highlighted and clearly spelt out. Sections 21-22 provide what occupier is out to do to notify the occupation Health and Safety (OHS) officer of cases of accidents and dangerous occurrences and occupational diseases.

This act requires developers of facilities to notify the Director of OHS of their plans before the developments start. This Act also sets minimum standards that are to be maintained in such work places to safeguard health, safety and welfare of the workers. This action aims at eliminating hazardous situation at work places. The act further makes it mandatory for occupiers or employers to provide personal protective equipment (PPE) and all practicable means to prevent injury to workers who are exposed to potentially harmful substances and conditions. This Act applies mostly during drilling and installation of the pumping facilities.

4.12 EMC (Noise and Excessive Vibration Pollution) (Control) Regulations, 2009

The Act is conferred by section 147 of EMCA 1999. Part II section (5) states that “no person shall make, continue or cause to be made or continued any noise in excess of the noise levels set in the First schedule, unless it’s necessary to the preservation of life, health, safety or property”. Section (6) (1 and 2) ensures that no person shall cause noise from any source which exceeds any sound level as set out in the regulations and measurements shall be taken by the relevant lead agency.

Sub section (5) states that “any person who makes noise in excess of the prescribed levels commits an offence”. Section 7 (a-d) exempts noise emitted during alerting, performance or noise in connection with the protection of the health and safety of residents or their property during emergency conditions and or warning devices necessary for the protection of public safety.

Part III section II (1) (b) states that any person wishing to engage in any commercial or industrial activity which is likely to emit noise or excessive vibrations shall carry out the activity or activities within the relevant levels prescribed in the First schedule.

Section 15 states that any person intending to carry out construction, demolition, mining or quarrying work shall, during the Environmental Impact Assessment studies.

- (a) Identify natural resources, land uses or activities which may be affected by noise or excessive vibrations from the construction, demolition, mining or quarrying;
- (b) Determine the measures which are needed in the plans specifications to minimize or eliminate adverse construction, demolition, mining or quarrying noise or vibration impacts; and
- (c) Incorporate the needed abatement measures in the plans and specifications.

4.13 EMC (Water Quality) Regulation, 2006

These regulations are applicable to drinking water, water used for industrial purposes, water used for agricultural purposes, water used for recreational purposes, water used for fisheries and wildlife, and water used for any other purposes. The regulations provide that every person shall refrain from any act which directly or indirectly causes, or may cause immediate or subsequent water pollution and it shall immaterial whether or not the water resource was polluted before the enactment of these regulations. It also provides that no person shall discharge effluent from sewage treatment works industry or other point sources without a valid effluent discharge license issued in accordance with the provisions of the Act.

CHAPTER FIVE: PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Public participation is an integral part of EIA in accordance with EMCA, 1999. It is usually conducted to gauge whether the project in its present design is acceptable by the local community, and aid the proponent/contractor to incorporate in within the environmental management plan, the concerns of the members of the public who could potentially be affected.

5.1 Sources of Information

The views of the residents were gathered on 18th May 2019 through the use of well-structured and pre-tested questionnaires and Focused Group Discussion. These questionnaires were submitted and later collected for analysis. In addition, all the residents in the surroundings were informed of the proposed project. The various issues of importance that were raised are presented herein. *See attached copies of questionnaires and list of participants.*

5.2. Awareness of the proposed project or similar project in the area

Some of neighbors were aware of the proposed Leleshwa water pan rehabilitation. Those neighbors, who were not aware of the impending proposed project, were duly informed verbally, and through the questionnaires.

5.3 Project effects on the surroundings

All the interviewees do not expect the project to have significant impacts on the surrounding. There is however need to inform them of the possible impacts and the mitigation measures to be undertaken before the rehabilitation process commences.

5.4 Objection to the proposed project

There was no objection to the project. Members of the public were actually pleased with the fact that the proponent was intending to rehabilitate Leleshwa water pan to supply them with water for agricultural use. They underscored that the proposed project will provide them with water for agricultural use, improve food security, increase livestock production and generally improve the economic status of the residents of the area and create employment.



Figure 4: Public participation meeting

CHAPTER SIX: IDENTIFICATION OF IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES

6.1 Introduction

This section entails impacts that may arise during implementation of the proposed project. Most of these key issues were identified during the scoping exercise and are clearly elaborated as follow:

6.2 Impacts on Water Resources

The amount of water potentially available will only be accurately determined after completion of the rehabilitation process and rain water is harvested. The water will be harvested from the surrounding land and stored in the reservoir (water pan).

The project is not expected to have any impact on the surface water since no major rivers or streams are present in the vicinity of the project site. On the other hand, the project is not expected to have impact on the groundwater in the sense that only run off will be harvested during rainy season.

Mitigation measures

The following mitigation measures have been suggested to safeguard against any negative impacts on water quantity and quality:

- ~ The supervising engineer in collaboration with the contractor should provide an appropriate design as per the guildlines.
- ~ Unwanted liquids and solids should not be introduced in the Leleshwa water pan during rehabilitation process.
- ~ Water sample should be collected and taken to an approved laboratory for both bacteriological and chemical analysis to determine whether it is potable as per World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines for drinking water. If bacteriological contamination is detected, drinking water should be disinfected before by use of chlorine.
- ~ Monitoring water meter should be installed to monitor the amount of water abstracted.

- ~ Water conservation measures should be encouraged during the existence of the project.
- ~ The water catchment should be conserved by planting trees and protecting the existing trees and vegetation to minimize siltation of the water pan.

6.3 Impacts on Biodiversity

The impact on the biodiversity is expected to be low as the project site is devoid of significant animal and plant life. Arid vegetation within the proposed site will be trampled on during the rehabilitation, but this should recover well after completion. The following mitigation measures have been proposed for the potentially harmful chemicals:

6.3.1 Fuels and lubricants

There may be some oils and grease spillage on the ground from the machine that will be used in the rehabilitation works of the Leleshwa water pan. Such oils can suffocate the grass around as well as have negative impact on the microbial life. However, the occurrence of these wastes is expected to be only minimal.

Mitigation Measures

Fuels are highly flammable and can cause destructive fire especially in this dry area; thus any form of ignition should be avoided in the project site during operation to prevent fire outbreaks. All fuels should be kept in a secluded section with “Danger’ or” Hatari’ tags in place. In case of spillage, oils and greases form a thin, suffocating layer on surface of water bodies and plants. They should be stored, properly handled and their wastes disposed safely during construction. Spills should be mitigated by scooping the affected earth and disposing it in a sanitary dumpsite. Repairing and maintenance of vehicles and other machinery involved in rehabilitation must be carried out at petrol station or garage to avoid fuels and lubricants spills at the project site.

6.3.3 Solid Waste

Solid waste generated will be minimal mainly vegetation debris from clearing of the water pan and soils from de-silting. However, most of the soil will be used in embankment of the water pan.

Mitigation Measures

- ~ Excavated soils during de-silting should be used in embankment of the water pan and the vegetation debris should be disposed off appropriately.

6.4 Socio-Economic Impacts

6.4.1 Community Disruption

All activities related to the project will be carried out within the selected point thus no external interference. The activities involved in the implementation of this project will have insignificant short-lived impacts to the workers and communities around.

6.4.2 Noise

Loud noise can be a nuisance, while exposure to very loud noise over a long period of time can cause deafness. Noise produced during the implementation of the project will be highest at the de-silting stage.

Mitigation Measures

It is recommended that all personnel participating in the water pan rehabilitation process will use Personal Protective Equipment (PPEs) such as earmuffs for ear protection. The exhaust systems of the excavator and the compressor should be in good working conditions to reduce levels of noise. Further, no activity should be undertaken at night.

6.4.3 Provision of water- Positive impact

The operation of this project will provide the most needed water to the residents of the area. Overall, the status of sanitation, hygiene and health of the community is expected to improve tremendously. The water will also reduce the distance covered in search of water. A committee on conflict management and resolution should be formed to deal with any emerging dispute on utilization of water.

6.4.4 Insecurity /vandalism

The area has less security issues and therefore any equipment installed will less likely to be vandalized or stolen. The water pan site should also be fenced and a gate installed.

6.5 Impacts on Air Quality

Air quality will only be affected by dust that will be emitted during de-silting stage and some exhaust fumes from the engines. This impact will be negative but short-term.

Mitigation Measures

- ~ Earth stockpiles should be sprinkled with water to minimize blowing of dust.
- ~ The work crew should be provided with dust masks.
- ~ The excavator and compressor should be in good operating condition to avoid unnecessary exhaust fumes due to inefficient fuel combustion.

6.6 Health and Safety Impacts

The machinery and equipment used during the rehabilitation process are potentially dangerous to the operators, workers and on lookers. The supervising engineer should oversee that the contractor adheres to the rules set by the authorities for the protection of his workmen and community around. Some of the mitigation measures include:

Mitigation Measures

- ~ The Contractor should provide overalls, helmets, safety boots, earmuffs, nose masks and gloves for the workers, the supervising engineer and any other participants.
- ~ Ensure that the site is accessed by the work crew or authorized personnel only.
- ~ Ensure that there are no spills of petroleum, no smoking, no source of ignition and proper use of warning signs in explosive environment.
- ~ All project participants should have insurance and workmen's compensation.
- ~ The work crew should have a complete set of first aid kit for first aid in case of injury.
- ~ Emergency plans should be communicated and well understood.

CHAPTER SEVEN: SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN

Effective implementation of mitigation measures highlighted in this document will ensure the appropriateness of the project. Commitments detailed in this project report are designed to avoid environmental water panage in accordance with the Environmental Management and Coordination Act, 1999, Environmental (Impact Assessment and Audit) Regulations Legal Notice 101 (2003) and the Water Act, 2016. The environment management enhancement procedures and techniques to conserve and improve the ecological and social environmental aspects should be incorporated in the EMP as summarized.

Table 5: Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan

Environmental/ Social Impact	Proposed Mitigation and Aspects for Monitoring	Responsibility for intervention and monitoring	Impact Rating	Monitoring Indicators	Recommended frequency of monitoring	Budget for Mitigation Measures
Impacts on Land and Soil - Mainly oil and grease spills, excavation	~ Ensure management of excavation and de-silting activities. ~ -Proper storage, handling and disposal of oil and oil wastes during rehabilitation works. ~ Any maintenance of rehabilitation works machinery should be carried out in the contractor's yard off site or at a petrol	Project Contractor	Low	Oil and grease spills on the ground	Throughout the water pan rehabilitation period	Part of the water pan rehabilitation cost

	station.					
Impact on Air Quality — Mainly dust and fumes from machinery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Ensure proper working conditions of exhaust systems of the water pan rehabilitating machinery. ~ Water earth stockpiles, ~ Provide work crew with dust masks. 	Project Contractor	Low	General increase of dust and fumes in the vicinity of the project site.	Throughout the water pan rehabilitation period	Kshs.300 000
Impacts on Water Resources- Quality and Quantity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Avoid entry of any undesirable material into the water pan. ~ Management of water usage to avoid unnecessary wastage of water. ~ Fetching of the water pan to exclude wildlife. 	Project Contractor	Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Water quality analysis -Water level fluctuation in the water pan. 	(0) Periodically	Kshs.150,000

	~ Installation of master meter for measuring amount of water abstracted.					
Impacts on Public health and occupational safety	<p>~ Provide proper protective gears to all workers.</p> <p>~ Ensure that there are no spills of petroleum, no smoking, no source of ignition and proper use of warning signs in explosive environment.</p> <p>~ All project participants should have insurance and workmen's compensation</p> <p>~ There should be a standby vehicle in case of any medical emergency</p>	Project Contractor	Low, but potentially high	Number of accidents/injuries recorded	(c) daily Throughout the water pan rehabilitation period	Kshs.80 000

	<p>and a contact for ambulance service provider.</p> <p>~ There should be presence of full equipped first aid kit at site.</p> <p>~ Ensure the availability of Emergency contacts for police.</p> <p>~ Emergency plans should be communicated and well understood.</p> <p>~ Warning signs should be properly displayed and observed.</p>					
Impacts on Biodiversity	~ Replant any grass, shrubs that may be up-rooted during water pan rehabilitation process.	Project Contractor	Low	Visual inspection of vegetation in the project site	(c) after Rehabilitation process	Ksh. 100,000

					(o) random	
Community conflict	Formation of conflict management and resolution committee	Proponent	Low	-Cases of conflicts	-	-
Insecurity/Vandalism	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ Employ security personnel ~ Empower community policing ~ Formation of the water pan maintenance committee 	Proponent	Low	-Cases of vandalism	Monthly	To be determined

CHAPTER EIGHT: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION

The study has established that the proposed project will have massive benefits to the residents of Leleshwa A village and the surrounding areas (villages) that entail provision of water for agricultural use. This report has highlighted the major anticipated negative impacts and suggested adequate mitigation measures.

Therefore, it is in the opinion of the consultant that the few anticipated negative impacts that have already been mitigated, and on whole the proposed project does not pose any threat to the environment. The project should undergo annual environmental audit by competent environmental auditor to establish the level of implementation of the proposed Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Thus, the experts recommend that the proponent to be issued with EIA license so that he can commence rehabilitation process required by law.

REFERENCES

- 1) **Republic of Kenya (1998)**. Laws of Kenya: The Local Government Act, Cap 265. Government Printer, Nairobi.
- 2) **Republic of Kenya (1998)**. Laws of Kenya: The Science and technology Act, Cap 250. Government Printer, Nairobi.
- 3) **Republic of Kenya (1999)**.The Environmental Management and Co-ordination Act, No. 8 of 1999. Government Printer, Nairobi.
- 4) **Republic of Kenya (1999)**.The Environmental Management and Co-ordination Act, No. 8 of 1999.The Environmental (Impact Assessment and Audit) (Amendment) Regulations, 2009. Government Printer, Nairobi.
- 5) **Republic of Kenya (2003)**. Legislative Supplement No. 31, Legal Notice No. 101: The Environmental (Impact Assessment and Audit) Regulations, 2003. Government Printer, Nairobi.
- 6) **Republic of Kenya (2007)**. Laws of Kenya: The Occupational, Safety and Health Act, 2007. Government Printer, Nairobi. Republic of Kenya. Physical Planning Act, CAP 286. Government Printer, Nairobi.
- 7) Practical manual for small water pans, pans and other water conservation structures in Kenya (2nd Edition).

APPENDICES

- Photo log
- Copies of:
 - ~ EIA/A practicing license;
 - ~ Public participation questionnaires;
 - ~ Water pan design
 - ~ List of participants

❑ **Photo Log**

	
<p>Public participation meeting</p>	<p>Leleshwa water pan site</p>
	
<p>A section of project neighborhood and Sipili road next to the water pan</p>	<p>Assistance Chief speaking to the participants</p>