





INVENTORY OF CLIMATE SMART AGRICULTURE PIGEON PEA TECHNOLOGIES, INNOVATIONS & MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

Compiled by Rael Karimi, Catherine Muriithi and Daniel Mutisya,

Kenya Agricultural and Livestock Research Organization

Under

KENYA CLIMATE SMART AGRICULTURE PROJECT (KCSAP)

April 2019

Version 1

1.0 Definition of terms and summary tables of Finger MilletTechnologies, Innovations and Management Practices (TIMPS)

1.1 Definition of terms

Technology: This is defined as an output of a research process which is beneficial to the target clientele (mainly farmers, pastoralists, agro-pastoralists and fisher folk for KCSAP's case), can be commercialized and can be patented under intellectual property rights (IPR) arrangements. It consists of research outputs such as tools, equipment, genetic materials, breeds, farming and herding practices, gathering practices, laboratory techniques, models etc.

Management practice: This is defined as recommendation(s) on practice(s) that is/are considered necessary for a technology to achieve its optimum output. These include, for instance, different agronomic and practices (seeding rates, fertilizer application rates, spatial arrangements, planting period, land preparation, watering regimes, etc.), protection methods, for crops; and feed rations, management systems, disease control methods, etc. for animal breeds. This is therefore important information which is generated through research to accompany the parent technology before it is finally released to users and the technology would be incomplete without this information.

Innovation: This is defined as a modification of an existing technology for an entirely different use from the original intended use. (e.g. fireless cooker modified to be used as a hatchery)

1.2 Summary of Inventory of TIMPs in the Pigeon Pea Value Chain

The inventory process resulted in a total of 7 TIMPs including 5 technologies, 0 innovations, and 2 management practices, distributed among the 3 sub-themes, as indicated in Table 1

| Commodity/VC | Sub-Theme | Technologies | Innovations | Management Practices |
|----------------------|--|--------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| Pigeon Pea | 2.1 Improved varieties growth | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Pigeon Pea | 2.2 Good Agronomic practices (GAPs) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Pigeon Pea | 2.3 Integrated Disease Management | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Overall Total | | 5 | 0 | 2 |

1.3 Summary of Status of TIMPs in Pigeon Pea Value Chain

The inventory process resulted in a total of 7 TIMPs that are ready for upscaling, 0 TIMPs that require validation and 0 TIMPs that require further research in the sub-themes, as indicated in Table 2.

| Tabla 2 | Numbor | of TIMPs ro | adv for un | coling roo | uiro volidation | or further research |
|-----------|----------|----------------|------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| I adic 2. | TAUHIDEL | 01 1 1111 5 16 | auy ioi up | scanng, i cy | lun e vanuauon | of fulfiller research |

| Commodity/VC | Sub-Theme | Ready for upscaling | Require validation | Further Research |
|---------------|--|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Pigeon Pea | 2.1 Improved varieties growth | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Pigeon Pea | 2.2 Good Agronomic practices (GAPs) | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Pigeon Pea | 2.3 Integrated Pest and Disease Management | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Overall Total | | 7 | | 0 |

| TIMPs Sub- | TIMPs Title | TIMPs | Status |
|---|---|------------------------|--|
| Theme | | Category | |
| 2.1Improved | | Technology | Ready for upscaling |
| varietiesgrowth | 1.2 Pigeon Pea variety KARI Mbaazi 1 | Technology | Ready for upscaling requires further research to improve on seed size |
| | 1.3 Pigeon Peas Variety KAT 60/8 | Technology | Ready for upscaling requires further research to improve on its small seed size |
| | 2.1.1 Pigeon Pea KARI Mbaazi 2 | Technology | Ready for upscaling Requires validation; |
| | 2.1.2 Pigeon Pea Variety Mituki | Technology | Ready for upscaling Requires validation |
| | 2.1.3 Pigeon Pea variety Kajani | Technology | Ready for upscaling Requires validation |
| 2.2Good Agronomic practices (GAPs) | 2.2.1 Good Agronomic practices | Management Practice | Ready for upscaling Requires validation |
| 2.3Integrated Disease Management | 2.3.1 Integrated Disease Management of Fusarium wilt | Management Practice | Ready for upscaling Requires validation |
| 2.4Integrated Disease Management | 2.4.1 Integrated Pest Management (pod borers, pod suckers) | Management Practice | Ready for upscaling requires further research |
| | | | |

Table3: Inventory of Pigeon Pea TIMPs by Category and Status

2.0 Detailed Pigeon Pea Value ChainTIMPS

2.1.1 Improved varieties

| 21.1 TIMP Name | KARI Mbaazi 1. | |
|--|---|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology | |
| innovation or | r | |
| management practice) | | |
| A: Description of the tech | nology, innovation or management practice | |
| Problem addressed | Low productivity, due to water scarcity, low yielding varieties and highly susceptible to pests | |
| What is it? (TIMP description) | This is a short duration (Matures in 105-120 days) pigeon pea variety. It is short when grown at higher altitudes and tall at lower altitudes. The plant is compact and is normally grown as a sole crop. It flowers in 55-70 days and has medium seed size 10-12 g/100seeds. The yield potential is 1000 kg/ha or 4.4 bags/acre in one season and 2000 kg/ha or 8.9 bags/acre in two seasons and gives 2- 3 harvests in a year | |
| Justification | ASALs are characterized by frequent drought leading to crop failure. Farmers' varieties are late maturing and give only one harvest per year. Pigeonpea is utilized as dry grain as well as green vegetable. Besides maturing early due to the short growing period, KARI Mbaazi 1 facilitates crop intensification and thus helps in improving productivity especially in low rainfall conditions. The variety can give three harvests per year as compared to local long duration lines used by farmers which give one harvest a year. Multiple harvests ensure continuous food security at the household level | |
| B. Assessment of dissemine | nation and scaling up/out approaches | |
| Users of TIMP | Farmers Seed companies and Agro-dealers Traders, Processors and Exporters Other research organizations/institutions including universities | |
| Approaches to be used in dissemination | Farmer participatory evaluation On-farm demonstration Field days Agricultural shows Farmer to farmer Media – TV "Mkulima programme" Extension publications (posters/ brochures/leaflets) NGOs | |
| Critical/essential factors for successful promotion | Seed availability, accessibility and affordability Strong linkage among pigeonpea value chain actors – producers to market Awareness campaign | |
| Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles | KALRO to provide improved technologies | |

| | Extension convice manifold (multiplicated and the line of the line |
|---------------------------|--|
| | - Extension service providers (public and private) to help in |
| | technology dissemination |
| | - FAO facilitate in the promotion of the technology and |
| | linking farmers to market |
| | - ICRISAT - technology dissemination |
| | - NGOs technology dissemination through on-farm |
| | demonstrations |
| | - KILIMO trust - capacity building of farmers and linking |
| | farmers to markets and credit facilities |
| | - Seed companies - commercialization and marketing of the |
| | variety |
| | - Traders/exporters - marketing of the variety |
| | - Processors |
| | - Public institutions - schools (providing the market) |
| | - County governments - dissemination of the technology |
| | and linking farmers to external markets |
| C: Current situation and | |
| Counties where already | Machakos, Nyeri and Tharaka Nithi. |
| promoted, if any | |
| Counties where TIMPs | Machakos |
| will be up scaled | |
| Challenges in | - Limited seed systems hinder farmers from obtaining seed |
| development and | for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by |
| dissemination | farmers as farmers use own saved seed |
| | - High cost of certified seed |
| | - Limited access to rural finance for pulse production |
| | - Low dry grain yield for export as most of the production is |
| | consumed as green peas |
| | - Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their |
| | traditional crop with no inputs even when available |
| Suggestions for | - Capacity building on GAPs |
| addressing the challenges | - Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in |
| | technology development and on-farm validation |
| | - Promote awareness among farmers on the disadvantages of |
| | recycling of seed |
| | - Promote use of inputs to increase yields |
| Lessons learned | - Partnership is important in technology dissemination and |
| | adoption |
| | - Involvement of end-user in technology development |
| | process helps in faster adoption of the technology |
| Social, environmental, | - Socially acceptable |
| policy and market | - Conducive environment for pigeonpea production |
| conditions necessary for | - Market will absorb the increased production |
| development and | |
| upscaling | |
| D: Economic, gender, vul | nerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | Not done |
| Estimated returns | Not done |
| | |

| Gender issues and | - Pigeon pea is a women's crop from production to | |
|----------------------------|--|--|
| concerns in development, | marketing. Increased yields will therefore provide | |
| dissemination, adoption | increased income for women | |
| and scaling up | - As a short duration and high yielding variety it will meet | |
| | the food and nutrition security of the whole household | |
| Gender related | Green peas are highly marketable therefore both men, | |
| opportunities | women and youth can trade in it | |
| VMG issues and concerns | Most of the pigeon pea produced is consumed at the | |
| in development, | household level as green peas therefore it is important for | |
| dissemination, adoption | improving the food and nutrition security for the whole | |
| and scaling up | household | |
| VMG related | The technology can improve food and nutrition security and | |
| opportunities | provides an opportunity for increased income | |
| E: Case studies/profiles o | f success stories | |
| Success stories | | |
| Application guidelines | Guidelines available in brochures and manuals (Pigeonpea | |
| for users | production guide) in KALRO | |
| F: Status of TIMP | 1 Ready for upscaling | |
| readiness (1-ready for | 2. Requires validation | |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | 3. Requires further research to improve seed size | |
| validation; 3-requires | | |
| further research) | | |
| G: Contacts | | |
| Contacts | Centre Director, KALRO-Katumani | |
| | P.O. Box 340-90100 Machakos | |
| | Email: cd.katumani@kalro.org; Phone: 0736333294 | |
| Lead organization and | KALRO, Rael Karimi, Daniel Mutisya (Katumani), Catherine | |
| scientists | Muriithi (Embu) | |
| Partner organizations | ICRISAT, Egerton university, County government, | |
| | Department of agriculture-Machakos, FAO | |
| | | |

Needs further research to improve the seed size of KARI Mbaazi 1 Need to work out the cost benefit analysis which is important for adoption and upscaling

| 21.1 TIMP Name | KAT 60/8 |
|----------------------------|---|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology |
| innovation or | |
| management practice) | |
| A: Description of the tech | nology, innovation or management practice |
| Problem addressed | Low productivity due to limiting moisture and low yielding |
| | varieties |
| What is it? (TIMP | This is a medium duration (Matures in 136-150 days) pigeon |
| description) | pea variety. It is short when grown at high altitudes and tall at |
| | lower altitudes. The plant is also compact and is normally |
| | grown as a sole crop. It flowers in 95-120 days and the grains |
| | are white in colour with brown spots and smaller than long |
| | duration local landraces (Tunyai). The yield potential ranges |

| Justification | from 1200-1500 kg/ha or 5-7 bags/acre in one season and 3000 kg/ha or 13 bags/acre in two seasons. It has a spreading growth habit and flowering is indeterminate. This allows multiple harvests where soil moisture is adequate. It is susceptible to insect pests mainly pod sucking bugs and pod borers. |
|----------------------------|--|
| Justification | ASALs are characterized by frequent drought leading to crop failure. Pigeonpea is utilized as dry grain as well as green |
| | vegetable. Besides maturing early due to the short growing period, KAT 60/8 facilitates crop intensification and thus helps in improving productivity especially in low rainfall conditions. The variety can give two harvests per year as compared to local long duration lines used by farmers which give one harvest a year. Multiple harvests ensures continuous food security at the household level. |
| | nation and scaling up/out approaches |
| Users of TIMP | - Farmers |
| | - Seed companies and Agro-dealers |
| | Traders, Processors and ExportersOther research organizations institutions including |
| | universities |
| Approaches to be used in | - Farmer participatory evaluation |
| dissemination | - On-farm demonstration |
| | - Field days |
| | - Agricultural shows |
| | - Farmer to farmer |
| | - Media – TV "Mkulima programme" |
| | Extension publications (posters/ brochures/leaflets)NGOs |
| Critical/essential factors | - Seed availability, accessibility and affordability |
| for successful promotion | - Strong linkage among pigeonpea value chain actors from production to market |
| | - Strong partnership linkages |
| | - Awareness campaign |
| Partners/stakeholders for | - KALRO will provide seed for the variety |
| scaling up and their roles | - Extension service providers (public and private) to help in |
| | technology dissemination |
| | - FAO facilitate in the promotion of the technology and |
| | linking farmers to market |
| | ICRISAT - technology dissemination NGOs - technology dissemination through on-farm |
| | demonstrations |
| | - KILIMO trust - capacity building of farmers and linking farmers to markets and credit facilities |
| | Seed companies - commercialization and marketing of the variety |
| | - Traders/exporters - marketing of the variety |
| | Public institutions - schools (providing the market) |
| | - County governments - dissemination of the technology |
| | and linking farmers to external markets |

| C: Current situation and | future scaling up |
|--|--|
| Counties where already | Makueni, Kitui, and Tharaka Nithi. |
| promoted, if any | |
| Counties where TIMPs | Machakos |
| will be up scaled | |
| Challenges in | -Limited seed systems hinder farmers from obtaining seed |
| development and | for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by |
| dissemination | farmers as farmers use own saved seed |
| | -High cost of certified seed |
| | -Limited access to rural finance for pulse production |
| | -Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peas |
| | -Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their |
| | traditional crop with no inputs even when available |
| Suggestions for | - Capacity building on GAPs |
| addressing the challenges | - Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in |
| | technology development and on-farm validation |
| | - Promote awareness among farmers on the disadvantages of |
| | recycling of seed |
| | - Promote use of inputs to increase yields |
| Lessons learned | - Partnership is important in technology dissemination and |
| | adoption |
| | - Involvement of end-user in technology development |
| | process helps in faster adoption of the technology |
| Social, environmental, | - Socially acceptable |
| policy and market | - Conducive environment for pigeonpea production |
| conditions necessary for | - Market will absorb the increased production |
| development and | |
| upscaling | where the set of the state of t |
| D: Economic, gender, vul Basic costs | nerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| | Ksh 30,000 Ksh 67,000 |
| Estimated returns | |
| Gender issues and | Pigeonpea is a women's crop from production to marketing. |
| concerns in development and dissemination | Increased yields will therefore provide increased income for the women |
| Gender issues and | As a short duration and high yielding variety it will meet the |
| concerns in adoption and | food and nutrition security of the whole household |
| scaling up | root and nutrition security of the whole household |
| Gender related | Green peas are highly marketable therefore both men, |
| opportunities | women and youth can trade in it |
| VMG issues and concerns | Most of the pigeonpea produce is consumed at the |
| in development and | household level as green peas therefore it is important for |
| dissemination | improving the food and nutrition security |
| VMG issues and concerns | The TIMPs are meant to increase the productivity therefore |
| in adoption and scaling up | both gender can benefit from the increased production |
| VMG related | The technology can improve food and nutrition security and |
| opportunities | an opportunity for increased income |
| E: Case studies/profiles o | |
| Success stories | |
| | |

| Application guidelines | Guidelines available in brochures and manuals (Pigeonpea |
|------------------------|--|
| for users | production guide) in KALRO |
| F: Status of TIMP | 1 Ready for upscaling |
| readiness (1-ready for | |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | |
| validation; 3-requires | |
| further research) | |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | Centre Director, KALRO-Katumani |
| | P.O. Box 340-90100 Machakos |
| | Email: cd.katumani@kalro.org; Phone: 0736333294 |
| Lead organization and | KALRO, Rael Karimi, Daniel Mutisya (Katumani), Catherine |
| scientists | Muriithi (Embu) |
| Partner organizations | ICRISAT, Egerton university, County government-Depart of |
| | agriculture, FAO |

GAPs:

Needs further research to improve the seed size of KAT 60/8

| 21.1 TIMP Name | KARI Mbaazi 2. | |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology | |
| innovation or | | |
| management practice) | | |
| A: Description of the tech | nology, innovation or management practice | |
| Problem addressed | Low productivity due to limiting moisture and lack of variety | |
| | with farmer and acceptable traits | |
| What is it? (TIMP | KARI Mbaazi 2 is a long duration variety which matures in | |
| description) | 180-270 days. It is planted in the short rain season (October- | |
| | November). It mainly a one season variety. It is high | |
| | yielding with potential grain yield of 1300 kg/ha or 5.8 | |
| | bags/acre. The plant is taller and stronger at lower altitudes | |
| | (less than 1000 m) than at higher altitudes. The variety | |
| | flowers in 60-90 days and has large pod and seed size (18-20 | |
| | g/100 seeds). | |
| Justification | ASALs are characterized by frequent drought leading to crop | |
| | failure. Pigeonpea is a drought tolerant crop and can withstand | |
| | drought for three months. The short and medium varieties | |
| | (KARI Mbaazi 1 and KAT 60/8) have small seed size thus not | |
| | preferred by farmers and consumers. KARI Mbaazi 2 has | |
| | larger pods and seed size. Farmers varieties are highly | |
| | susceptible to diseases like wilt. | |
| B. Assessment of dissemin | nation and scaling up/out approaches | |
| Users of TIMP | - Farmers | |
| | - Seed companies and Agro-dealers | |
| | - Traders, Processors and Exporters | |
| | - Other research organizations institutions including | |
| | universities | |
| Approaches to be used in | - Farmer participatory evaluation | |
| dissemination | - On-farm demonstration | |

| Field days Agricultural shows Farmer to farmer Media – TV "Mkulima programme" Extension publications (posters/ brochures/leaflets) NGOs Critical/essential factors Seed availability, accessibility and affordability Strong linkage among pigeonpea value chain actors from production to market Strong partnership linkages Awareness campaign Partners/stakeholders for KALRO will provide seed for the variety Extension service providers (public and private) to help in technology dissemination FAO facilitate in the promotion of the technology and linking farmers to market ICRISAT - technology dissemination NGOs - technology dissemination through on-farm demonstrations KLLMO trust - capacity building of farmers and linking farmers to markets and credit facilities Seed companies - commercialization and marketing of the variety Traders/exporters - marketing of the variety Public institutions - schools (providing the market) Counties where already public where staling up Counties where TIMPs Machakos will be up scaled Challenges in Limited seed systems hinder farmers from obtaining seed for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seed High cost of certified seed Limited access to rural finance for pulse production is consumed as green peas Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when available Suggestions for Capacity building on GAPs |
|--|
| - Farmer to farmer Media – TV "Mkulima programme" - Media – TV "Mkulima programme" - Steed availability, accessibility and affordability for successful promotion - Strong partnership linkages - - Awareness campaign Partners/stakeholders for - KALRO will provide seed for the variety - scaling up and their roles - FAO facilitate in the promotion of the technology and linking farmers to market - ICRISAT - technology dissemination - FAO facilitate in the promotion of the technology and linking farmers to market - ICRISAT - technology dissemination - NGOs - - technology dissemination - NGOs - - technology dissemination - NGOs - - technology dissemination - NGOs - - technology dissemination - NGOs - - technology dissemination - NGOs - - technology dissemination - NGOs - - technology dissemination - Totalers/exporters - marketing of the variety - |
| - Media – TV "Mkulima programme" - Extension publications (posters/ brochures/leaflets) - NGOs Critical/essential factors - for successful promotion - Strong partnership linkages - - - - KALRO will provide seed for the variety - - scaling up and their roles - - FAO facilitate in the promotion of the technology and linking farmers to market - ICRISAT - technology dissemination - NGOs - technology dissemination - NGOs - technology dissemination - NGOs - technology dissemination through on-farm demonstrations - KLLIMO trust - capacity building of farmers and linking farmers to markets and credit facilities - Seed companies - commercialization and marketing of the variety - Public institutions - schools (providing the market) - Counties where already Makueni, Kitui, and Tharaka Nithi promoted, if any Counties where TIMPs will be up scaled Challenges in Challenges in |
| - Extension publications (posters/ brochures/leaflets) - NGOs Critical/essential factors - Seed availability, accessibility and affordability for successful promotion - Seed availability, accessibility and affordability - Strong partnership linkages among pigeonpea value chain actors from production to market - - Awareness campaign - Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles - KALRO will provide seed for the variety - Extension service providers (public and private) to help in technology dissemination - - FAO facilitate in the promotion of the technology and linking farmers to market - - ICRISAT - technology dissemination - - NGOs - technology dissemination through on-farm demonstrations - - KILIMO trust - capacity building of farmers and linking farmers to markets and credit facilities - - Seed companies - commercialization and marketing of the variety - - Traders/exporters - marketing of the variety - - Voltic institutions - schools (providing the market) - - Counties where already promoted, if any Machakos - |
| critical/essential factors - Seed availability, accessibility and affordability for successful promotion - Strong linkage among pigeonpea value chain actors from production to market Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles - KALRO will provide seed for the variety Staling up and their roles - KALRO will provide seed for the variety - FAO facilitate in the promotion of the technology and linking farmers to market - ICRISAT - technology dissemination - NGOs - Vactor - capacity building of farmers and linking farmers to markets and credit facilities - Seed companies - commercialization and marketing of the variety - Traders/exporters - marketing of the variety - Counties where already Makueni, Kitui, and Tharaka Nithi Promoted, if any - Limited seed systems hinder farmers from obtaining seed for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seed - High cost of certified seed - Limited access to rural finance for pulse production is consumed as green peas - Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when available - Capacity building on GAPs |
| Critical/essential factors for successful promotion - Seed availability, accessibility and affordability for successful promotion - Strong linkage among pigeonpea value chain actors from production to market Partners/stakeholders - Strong partnership linkages - Awareness campaign - KALRO will provide seed for the variety scaling up and their roles - KALRO will provide seed for the variety - Extension service providers (public and private) to help in technology dissemination - FAO facilitate in the promotion of the technology and linking farmers to market - ICRISAT - technology dissemination - NGOs - technology dissemination - KILIMO trust - capacity building of farmers and linking farmers to markets and credit facilities - Seed companies - commercialization and marketing of the variety - Traders/exporters - marketing of the variety - Public institutions - schools (providing the market) - County governments - dissemination of the technology and linking farmers to external markets Counties where already will be up scaled Makueni, Kitui, and Tharaka Nithi Counties where TIMPs Machakos will be up scaled - Limited seed systems hinder farmers from obtaining seed for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seed - High cost of certified seed - |
| for successful promotion - Strong linkage among pigeonpea value chain actors from production to market - Strong partnership linkages - Awareness campaign Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles - KALRO will provide seed for the variety - FAO facilitate in the promotion of the technology and linking farmers to market - FAO facilitate in the promotion of the technology and linking farmers to market - ICRISAT - technology dissemination - NGOs - technology dissemination through on-farm demonstrations - KILIMO trust - capacity building of farmers and linking farmers to markets and credit facilities - Seed companies - commercialization and marketing of the variety - Traders/exporters - marketing of the variety - Traders/exporters - marketing of the variety - Public institutions - schools (providing the market) - Counties where already promoted, if any Makueni, Kitui, and Tharaka Nithi - Counties where TIMPs will be up scaled - Limited seed systems hinder farmers from obtaining seed for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seed - High cost of certified seed - High cost of certified seed - Limited access to rural finance for pulse production is consumed as green peas - Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when available Suggestions for addressing the challenges - Capacity building on GAPs - Participatio |
| production to marketPartners/stakeholders forscaling up and their rolesPartners/stakeholders forscaling up and their rolesExtension service providers (public and private) to help in technology disseminationFAO facilitate in the promotion of the technology and linking farmers to marketICRISAT - technology disseminationNGOs - technology disseminationNGOs - technology disseminationKILLIMO trust - capacity building of farmers and linking farmers to markets and credit facilitiesSeed companies - commercialization and marketing of the varietyVarietyPublic institutions - schools (providing the market)Counties where already promoted, if anyCounties where TIMPs will be up scaledChallenges disseminationChallenges disseminationisseminationLimited seed systems hinder farmers from obtaining seed for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seedLimited access to rural finance for pulse production is consumed as green peasLow use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when availableSuggestions addressing the challengesForSuggestions addressing the challengesForSuggestions addressing the challengesForSuggestions addressing the challengesForForSuggestions addressing the challengesForForForForForFor |
| Strong partnership linkagesPartners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles- KALRO will provide seed for the varietyPartners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles- KALRO will provide seed for the variety- Extension service providers (public and private) to help in technology dissemination- FAO facilitate in the promotion of the technology and linking farmers to market- ICRISAT - technology dissemination- NGOs - technology dissemination through on-farm demonstrations- KILIMO trust - capacity building of farmers and linking farmers to markets and credit facilities- Seed companies - commercialization and marketing of the variety- Traders/exporters - marketing of the variety- Public institutions - schools (providing the market)- Counties where already will be up scaledChallengesChallengesdevelopmentanddissemination- Limited seed systems hinder farmers from obtaining seed for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seed- High cost of certified seed- Limited access to rural finance for pulse production- Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when availableSuggestions addressing the challengesfor- Apacity building on GAPs- Countig where challengesin technology development and on-farm validation |
| Awareness campaignPartners/stakeholders for scaling up and their rolesKALRO will provide seed for the variety Extension service providers (public and private) to help in technology disseminationFAO facilitate in the promotion of the technology and linking farmers to marketFAO facilitate in the promotion of the technology and linking farmers to marketICRISAT - technology disseminationNGOs - technology dissemination through on-farm demonstrationsKILLIMO trust - capacity building of farmers and linking farmers to markets and credit facilitiesSeed companies - commercialization and marketing of the varietyTraders/exporters - marketing of the varietyPublic institutions - schools (providing the market)Counties where already will be up scaledMakueni, Kitui, and Tharaka NithiCounties where TIMPs disseminationMachakoswill be up scaledChallenges disseminationIChallenges disseminationIChallenges disseminationAutimet access to rural finance for pulse production I Limited access to rural finance for pulse production I Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when availableSuggestions addressing the challengesFarair for export as along the value chain in technology development and on-farm validation |
| Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles-KALRO will provide seed for the variety -Scaling up and their roles-Extension service providers (public and private) to help in technology dissemination FAO facilitate in the promotion of the technology and linking farmers to market ICRISAT - technology dissemination NGOs technology dissemination technology dissemination NGOs technology dissemination technology dissemination NGOs technology dissemination technology dissemination NGOs technology dissemination technology dissemination NGOs technology dissemination technology dissemination NGOs technology dissemination demonstrations-KILIMO trust - capacity building of farmers and linking farmers to markets and credit facilities Seed companies - commercialization and marketing of the variety Traders/exporters - marketing of the variety Public institutions - schools (providing the market)-Counties where already promoted, if anyCounties where already will be up scaledMakueni, Kitui, and Tharaka NithiCounties where TIMPs disseminationLimited seed systems hinder farmers from obtaining seed for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seed High cost of |
| scaling up and their roles-Extension service providers (public and private) to help in technology dissemination-FAO facilitate in the promotion of the technology and linking farmers to market-ICRISAT - technology dissemination-NGOs - technology dissemination through on-farm demonstrations-KILLIMO trust - capacity building of farmers and linking farmers to markets and credit facilities-Seed companies - comparise - comprecialization and marketing of the variety-Traders/exporters - marketing of the variety-Public institutions - schools (providing the market)-Counties where already promoted, if anyCounties where TIMPs will be up scaledMachakosChallengesin etig cost of certified seed of certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seed-High cost of certified seed Limited access to rural finance for pulse production Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peas-Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when available |
| technology dissemination-FAO facilitate in the promotion of the technology and linking farmers to market-ICRISAT - technology dissemination-NGOs - technology dissemination-NGOs - technology dissemination through on-farm demonstrations-KILLIMO trust - capacity building of farmers and linking farmers to markets and credit facilities-Seed companies - commercialization and marketing of the variety-Traders/exporters - marketing of the variety-Public institutions - schools (providing the market)-Counties where already promoted, if anyCounties where already will be up scaledMachakosChallenges development and-Limited seed systems hinder farmers from obtaining seed for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seed-Limited access to rural finance for pulse production-Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peas-Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when available |
| linking farmers to marketICRISAT - technology disseminationNGOs - technology dissemination through on-farm demonstrationsKILIMO trust - capacity building of farmers and linking farmers to markets and credit facilitiesSeed companies - commercialization and marketing of the varietyTraders/exporters - marketing of the varietyPublic institutions - schools (providing the market)County governments - dissemination of the technology and linking farmers to external marketsCounties where already will be up scaledChallengesChallengesMachakosHigh cost of certified seedLimited access to rural finance for pulse production a farmers as farmers use own saved seedLimited access to rural finance for pulse production Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peasLow use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when availableSuggestionsfor Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in technology development and o farmers as along the value chain in technology development and |
| linking farmers to marketICRISAT - technology disseminationNGOs - technology dissemination through on-farm demonstrationsKILIMO trust - capacity building of farmers and linking farmers to markets and credit facilitiesSeed companies - commercialization and marketing of the varietyTraders/exporters - marketing of the varietyPublic institutions - schools (providing the market)County governments - dissemination of the technology and linking farmers to external marketsCounties where already will be up scaledChallengesChallengesMachakosHigh cost of certified seedLimited access to rural finance for pulse production a farmers as farmers use own saved seedLimited access to rural finance for pulse production Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peasLow use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when availableSuggestionsfor Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in technology development and o farmers as along the value chain in technology development and |
| NGOs - technology dissemination through on-farm demonstrations KILLIMO trust - capacity building of farmers and linking farmers to markets and credit facilities Seed companies - commercialization and marketing of the variety Traders/exporters - marketing of the variety Public institutions - schools (providing the market) County governments - dissemination of the technology and linking farmers to external markets Counties where already promoted, if any Counties where TIMPs Machakos Will be up scaled Challenges in consumed systems hinder farmers from obtaining seed for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seed High cost of certified seed Limited access to rural finance for pulse production Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peas Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when available |
| NGOs - technology dissemination through on-farm demonstrations KILLIMO trust - capacity building of farmers and linking farmers to markets and credit facilities Seed companies - commercialization and marketing of the variety Traders/exporters - marketing of the variety Public institutions - schools (providing the market) County governments - dissemination of the technology and linking farmers to external markets Counties where already promoted, if any Counties where TIMPs Machakos Will be up scaled Challenges in consumed systems hinder farmers from obtaining seed for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seed High cost of certified seed Limited access to rural finance for pulse production Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peas Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when available |
| KILIMO trust - capacity building of farmers and linking farmers to markets and credit facilities Seed companies - commercialization and marketing of the variety Traders/exporters - marketing of the variety Public institutions - schools (providing the market) County governments - dissemination of the technology and linking farmers to external markets Counties where already makueni, Kitui, and Tharaka Nithi Counties where TIMPs view varieties due to low demand for certified seed by for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seed High cost of certified seed Limited access to rural finance for pulse production Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peas Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when available |
| farmers to markets and credit facilities-Seed companies - commercialization and marketing of the variety-Traders/exporters - marketing of the variety-Public institutions - schools (providing the market)-County governments - dissemination of the technology and linking farmers to external marketsC: Current situation and future scaling upCounties where already promoted, if anyMakueni, Kitui, and Tharaka NithiCounties where TIMPs will be up scaledMachakosChallengesin developmentand dissemination-Limited seed systems hinder farmers from obtaining seed for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seedLimited access to rural finance for pulse production - Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peas-Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when availableSuggestionsfor -addressing the challenges-Capacity building on GAPs-Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in technology development and on-farm validation |
| Seed companies - commercialization and marketing of the variety Traders/exporters - marketing of the variety Public institutions - schools (providing the market) County governments - dissemination of the technology and linking farmers to external markets Counties where already promoted, if any Counties where TIMPs Machakos Will be up scaled Challenges in development and for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seed High cost of certified seed Limited access to rural finance for pulse production Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peas Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when available Suggestions for addressing the challenges |
| variety-Traders/exporters - marketing of the variety-Public institutions - schools (providing the market)-County governments - dissemination of the technology and linking farmers to external marketsCounties where already promoted, if anyMakueni, Kitui, and Tharaka NithiCounties where TIMPs will be up scaledMachakosChallengesin for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seed-High cost of certified seed-Limited access to rural finance for pulse production is consumed as green peas-Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when availableSuggestionsfor addressing the challengesCapacity building on GAPs addressing the challenges |
| Traders/exporters - marketing of the variety Public institutions - schools (providing the market) County governments - dissemination of the technology and linking farmers to external markets Counties where already promoted, if any Makueni, Kitui, and Tharaka Nithi Counties where TIMPs Machakos Challenges in development and dissemination Limited seed systems hinder farmers from obtaining seed for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seed High cost of certified seed Limited access to rural finance for pulse production Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peas Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when available Suggestions for addressing the challenges |
| Public institutions - schools (providing the market) County governments - dissemination of the technology and linking farmers to external markets Counties where already promoted, if any Makueni, Kitui, and Tharaka Nithi Counties where TIMPs Machakos Challenges in development and dissemination Limited seed systems hinder farmers from obtaining seed for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seed High cost of certified seed Limited access to rural finance for pulse production Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peas Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when available Suggestions for addressing the challenges Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in technology development and on-farm validation |
| -County governments - dissemination of the technology and linking farmers to external marketsC: Current situation and Future scaling upCounties where already promoted, if anyMakueni, Kitui, and Tharaka NithiCounties where TIMPs will be up scaledMachakosChallengesin for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seeddevelopmentand High cost of certified seed-Limited access to rural finance for pulse production s consumed as green peas-Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peasSuggestionsfor addressing the challenges6-20Capacity building on GAPs9Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in technology development and on-farm validation |
| and linking farmers to external marketsC: Current situation and future scaling upCounties where already promoted, if anyMakueni, Kitui, and Tharaka NithiCounties where TIMPs will be up scaledMachakosChallengesin for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seedChallengesin for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seedLimited access to rural finance for pulse production Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peasLow use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when availableSuggestionsfor Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in technology development and on-farm validation |
| C: Current situation and future scaling upCounties where already promoted, if anyMakueni, Kitui, and Tharaka NithiCounties where TIMPs will be up scaledMachakosChallengesin for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seedChallengesin for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seedCounties where TIMPsLimited access to rural finance for pulse productionChallengesin for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seedCounties-Limited access to rural finance for pulse production is consumed as green peasCounties-Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when availableSuggestionsfor for Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in technology development and on-farm validation |
| Counties where already promoted, if anyMakueni, Kitui, and Tharaka NithiCounties where TIMPs will be up scaledMachakosChallengesin of rew varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seedChallenges-Limited access to rural finance for pulse production - Limited access to rural finance for pulse production - Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peasSuggestionsfor -Cuggestionsfor - </th |
| promoted, if anyMachakosCounties where TIMPs will be up scaledMachakosChallengesin and development- Limited seed systems hinder farmers from obtaining seed for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seeddissemination- High cost of certified seed- Limited access to rural finance for pulse production - Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peas- Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when availableSuggestionsfor - Capacity building on GAPs- Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in technology development and on-farm validation |
| Counties where TIMPs will be up scaledMachakosChallengesin and development-Limited seed systems hinder farmers from obtaining seed for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seed-High cost of certified seed-Limited access to rural finance for pulse production - Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peas-Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when availableSuggestionsfor Capacity building on GAPs-Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in technology development and on-farm validation |
| will be up scaledImage: Limited seed systems hinder farmers from obtaining seed for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seeddissemination-High cost of certified seed-High cost of certified seedLimited access to rural finance for pulse production-Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peas-Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when availableSuggestionsfor-Capacity building on GAPs-Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in technology development and on-farm validation |
| Challengesin-Limited seed systems hinder farmers from obtaining seed for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seeddissemination-High cost of certified seed-High cost of certified seedLimited access to rural finance for pulse production-Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peas-Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when availableSuggestionsfor-addressing the challenges-Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in technology development and on-farm validation |
| developmentandfor new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by farmers as farmers use own saved seed-High cost of certified seed-Limited access to rural finance for pulse production-Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peas-Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when availableSuggestionsfor-Capacity building on GAPs-Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in technology development and on-farm validation |
| disseminationfarmers as farmers use own saved seed-High cost of certified seed-Limited access to rural finance for pulse production-Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peas-Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when availableSuggestionsfor -Suggestions the challengesParticipation of stakeholders along the value chain in technology development and on-farm validation |
| High cost of certified seed Limited access to rural finance for pulse production Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peas Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when available Suggestions for addressing the challenges Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in technology development and on-farm validation |
| Limited access to rural finance for pulse production Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peas Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when available Suggestions for - Capacity building on GAPs Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in technology development and on-farm validation |
| Low dry grain for export as most of the production is consumed as green peas Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when available Suggestions for - Capacity building on GAPs Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in technology development and on-farm validation |
| consumed as green peas - Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when available Suggestions for addressing the challenges - Capacity building on GAPs - Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in technology development and on-farm validation |
| Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their traditional crop with no inputs even when available Suggestions for - Capacity building on GAPs Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in technology development and on-farm validation |
| traditional crop with no inputs even when availableSuggestionsfor addressing the challenges-Capacity building on GAPs-Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in technology development and on-farm validation |
| Suggestionsfor addressing the challenges-Capacity building on GAPsaddressing the challenges-Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in technology development and on-farm validation |
| addressing the challenges - Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in technology development and on-farm validation |
| technology development and on-farm validation |
| |
| |
| - Promote awareness among farmers on the disadvantages |
| of recycling of seed |
| - Promote use of inputs to increase yields |
| Lagong loarnad Dorthorship is important in technology discomination and |
| Lessons learned - Partnership is important in technology dissemination and adoption |

| | - Involvement of end-user in technology development |
|----------------------------|---|
| | process helps in faster adoption of the technology |
| Social, environmental, | - Socially acceptable |
| policy and market | - Conducive environment for pigeonpea production |
| conditions necessary for | - Market will absorb the increased production |
| development and | |
| upscaling | |
| | nerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | Not done |
| Estimated returns | Not done |
| Gender issues and | Pigeonpea is a women's crop from production to marketing. |
| concerns in development | Increased yields will therefore provide increased income for |
| and dissemination | the women |
| Gender issues and | As a short duration and high yielding variety it will meet the |
| concerns in adoption and | food and nutrition security of the whole household |
| scaling up | |
| Gender related | Green peas are highly marketable therefore both men, women |
| opportunities | and youth can trade in it |
| VMG issues and concerns | Most of the pigeonpea produced is consumed at the household |
| in development and | level as green peas therefore it is important for improving the |
| dissemination | food and nutrition security |
| VMG issues and concerns | The TIMPs are meant to increase the productivity therefore |
| in adoption and scaling up | both gender can benefit from the increased production |
| VMG related | The technology can improve food and nutrition security and |
| opportunities | an opportunity for increased income |
| E: Case studies/profiles o | f success stories |
| Success stories | |
| Application guidelines | Guidelines available in brochures and manuals (Pigeonpea |
| for users | production guide) in KALRO |
| F: Status of TIMP | 1 Ready for upscaling |
| readiness (1-ready for | 2. Requires validation |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | |
| validation; 3-requires | |
| further research) | |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | Centre Director, KALRO-Katumani |
| | P.O. Box 340-90100 Machakos |
| | Email: cd.katumani@kalro.org; Phone: 0736333294 |
| Lead organization and | KALRO, Rael Karimi, Daniel Mutisya (Katumani), Catherine |
| scientists | Muriithi (Embu) |
| Partner organizations | ICRISAT, Egerton university, County government- |
| | Department of agriculture, FAO |
| | Department of ugriculture, 1110 |

Need to work out the cost benefit analysis which is important for adoption and upscaling

| 2.1.1 I IVIT Name Figeon pea variety wituki | 21.1 TIMP Name | Pigeon pea variety Mituki |
|--|----------------|---------------------------|
|--|----------------|---------------------------|

| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology |
|---|--|
| innovation or | |
| management practice) | |
| | nology, innovation or management practice |
| Problem addressed | Low productivity due to limiting moisture and disease (wilt) damage |
| What is it? (TIMP description) | This is a medium duration pigeonpea variety which matures in 125-135 days. It can therefore be harvested twice in a year. It has high grain yield (1700 - 3500 kg /ha or $7.5 - 15.6$ bags/acre in two seasons) and large pod size thus easy to shell and also large grain size (19 g/100 seeds) (Figure 10). It is rich in iron (70 ppm), tolerant to fusarium wilt and has good ratooning ability |
| Justification | ASALs are characterized by frequent drought leading to crop failure. Farmers' varieties are late maturing which gives only one harvest per year. Most of the early and short duration varieties that were released in 1990s are highly susceptible to fusarium wilt. The lines have also small pod and seed size making it difficult for shelling green peas and therefore not favourable to farmers |
| B. Assessment of dissemine | nation and scaling up/out approaches |
| Users of TIMP | Farmers Seed companies and Agro-dealers Traders, and Exporters Other research organizations/institutions including universities |
| Approaches to be used in dissemination | Farmer participatory evaluation On-farm demonstration Field days Agricultural shows Farmer to farmer Media - TV "Mkulima programme" Extension publications (posters/ brochures/leaflets) NGOs |
| Critical/essential factors for successful promotion | Seed availability, accessibility and affordability Strong linkage among pigeonpea value chain actors from production to market Strong partnership linkages Awareness campaign |
| Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles | KALRO to prove improved varieties Extension service providers (public and private) to help in technology dissemination FAO facilitate in the promotion of the technology and linking farmers to market ICRISAT - technology dissemination NGOs - technology dissemination through on-farm demonstrations KILIMO trust - capacity building of farmers and linking farmers to markets and credit facilities |

| | Seed companies - commercialization and marketing of the variety |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| | - Traders/exporters - marketing of the variety |
| | - Public institutions - schools (providing the market) |
| | - County governments - dissemination of the technology |
| | and linking farmers to external markets |
| C. Current situation and | |
| C: Current situation and | |
| Counties where already | Makueni, Kitui, and Tharaka Nithi. |
| promoted, if any | |
| Counties where TIMPs | Machakos |
| will be up scaled | |
| Challenges in | -Limited seed systems hinder farmers from obtaining seed |
| development and | for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by |
| dissemination | farmers as farmers use own saved seed |
| | -High cost of certified seed |
| | -Limited access to rural finance for pulse production |
| | -Low dry grain for export as most of the production is |
| | consumed as green peas |
| | -Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their |
| | traditional crop with no inputs even when available |
| Suggestions for | -Capacity building on GAPs |
| addressing the challenges | -Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in |
| | technology development and on-farm validation |
| | -Promote awareness among farmers on the disadvantages of |
| | recycling of seed |
| | -Promote use of inputs to increase yields |
| Lessons learned | -Partnership is important in technology dissemination and |
| | adoption |
| | -Involvement of end-user in technology development process |
| | helps in faster adoption of the technology |
| Social, environmental, | - Socially acceptable |
| policy and market | |
| conditions necessary for | - Market will absorb the increased production |
| development and | market will absorb the increased production |
| upscaling | |
| | nerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | Ksh 3 0,000 |
| Estimated returns | Ksh 67,000 (for medium duration varieties) |
| | |
| | Pigeonpea is a women's crop from production to marketing. |
| concerns in development | Increased yields will therefore provide increased income for |
| and dissemination | the women |
| Gender issues and | As a short duration and high yielding variety it will meet the |
| concerns in adoption and scaling up | food and nutrition security of the whole household |
| Gender related | Green peas are highly marketable therefore both men, women |
| opportunities | and youth can trade in it |
| VMG issues and concerns | Most of the pigeonpea produced is consumed at the household |
| | level as green peas therefore it is important for improving the |
| in development and dissemination | |
| uissemmation | food and nutrition security |

| VMG issues and concerns | The TIMPs are meant to increase the productivity therefore |
|----------------------------|---|
| in adoption and scaling up | both gender can benefit from the increased production |
| VMG related | The technology can improve food and nutrition security and |
| opportunities | an opportunity for increased income |
| E: Case studies/profiles o | f success stories |
| Success stories | Mr. Wilson Lati Muli from Emali, Makueni county is one of |
| | the farmers who has adopted the variety: He sells the green |
| | peas (shelled and unshelled to hotels in Emali town. The |
| | foliage (empty pods) is used to make livestock cake. |
| Application guidelines | Guidelines available in brochures and manuals (Pigeonpea |
| for users | production guide) in KALRO |
| F: Status of TIMP | 1 Ready for upscaling |
| readiness (1-ready for | 2. Requires validation |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | |
| validation; 3-requires | |
| further research) | |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | Centre Director, KALRO-Katumani |
| | P.O. Box 340-90100 Machakos |
| | Email: <u>cd.katumani@kalro.org</u> ; Phone: 0736333294 |
| Lead organization and | KALRO, Rael Karimi, Daniel Mutisya (Katumani), Catherine |
| scientists | Muriithi (Embu) |
| Partner organizations | ICRISAT, Egerton university, County of agriculture, FAO |
| GAPs | |

Need to work out the yield potential under intercropping farming system

| 21.1 TIMP Nam | Pigeonpea variety Kajani |
|----------------------------|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology |
| innovation or | |
| management practice) | |
| A: Description of the tech | nology, innovation or management practice |
| Problem addressed | Low productivity due to limiting moisture, disease (wilt) |
| | damage and low adoption of the earlier released varieties |
| | (KARI Mbaazi 1 and KAT 60/8) due to small pod and seed |
| | size |
| What is it? (TIMP | Pigeonpea variety Kijani is a medium duration variety (135- |
| description) | 145 days to maturity) with high grain yield (2000 – 3500 kg/ha |
| _ | or $9 - 15.5$ bags/acre per year) and large pod size, thus easy to |
| | shell. It has cream grain colour. It also has large grain size (20 |
| | g/100 seeds), is tolerant to fusarium wilt. It has good |
| | Ratooning ability. |
| Justification | ASALs are characterized by frequent drought leading to crop |
| | failure. Farmers' varieties are late maturing, giving only one |
| | harvest per year. Most of the early and short duration varieties |
| | that were released in 1990s are all highly susceptible to |
| | fusarium wilt. The lines have small pod and seed size making |
| | it difficult for shelling green peas. The variety Kajani has large |
| | pods and grain (20 g/100 seeds) |
| | |

| B. Assessment of dissemi | nation and scaling up/out approaches |
|----------------------------|---|
| Users of TIMP | - Farmers |
| | - Seed companies and Agro-dealers |
| | - Traders, Processors and Exporters |
| | - Other research organizations/institutions including |
| | universities |
| Approaches to be used in | - Farmer participatory evaluation |
| dissemination | - On-farm demonstration |
| | - Field days |
| | - Agricultural shows |
| | - Farmer to farmer |
| | - Media - TV "Mkulima programme" |
| | - Extension publications (posters/ brochures/leaflets) |
| | - NGOs |
| Critical/essential factors | - Seed availability, accessibility and affordability |
| for successful promotion | - Strong linkage among pigeonpea value chain actors from |
| | production to market |
| | - Strong partnership linkages |
| | - Awareness campaign |
| Partners/stakeholders for | - KALRO to provide seed for the TIMP |
| scaling up and their roles | - Extension service providers (public and private) to help in |
| | technology dissemination |
| | - FAO facilitate in the promotion of the technology and |
| | linking farmers to market |
| | - ICRISAT - technology dissemination |
| | - NGOs - technology dissemination through on-farm demonstrations |
| | - KILIMO trust - capacity building of farmers and linking |
| | farmers to markets and credit facilities |
| | Seed companies - commercialization and marketing of the |
| | variety |
| | - Traders/exporters - marketing of the variety |
| | Public institutions - schools (providing the market) |
| | - County governments - dissemination of the technology |
| | and linking farmers to external markets |
| C: Current situation and | |
| Counties where already | Makueni, Kitui, and Tharaka Nithi. |
| promoted, if any | |
| Counties where TIMPs | Machakos |
| will be up scaled | |
| Challenges in | -Limited seed systems hinder farmers from obtaining seed |
| development and | for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by |
| dissemination | farmers as farmers use own saved seed |
| | -High cost of certified seed |
| | -Limited access to rural finance for pulse production |
| | -Low dry grain for export as most of the production is |
| | consumed as green peas |
| | -Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their |
| | traditional crop with no inputs even when available |

| Suggestions for | -Capacity building on GAPs |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| addressing the challenges | -Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in |
| uddressing the chancinges | technology development and on-farm validation |
| | -Promote awareness among farmers on the disadvantages of |
| | recycling of seed |
| | -Promote use of inputs to increase yields |
| Lessons learned | -Partnership is important in technology dissemination and |
| | adoption |
| | -Involvement of end-user in technology development process |
| | helps in faster adoption of the technology |
| | -Its use as an intercrop or rotational crop in farming system |
| | enables efficient use and recycling of soil nutrients thus |
| | maintain soil productive capacity |
| | -The TIMP is very important for conservation farming |
| | (minimum or no tillage) therefore help to sustain and enhance |
| | the productivity of arable soils |
| Social, environmental, | - Socially acceptable |
| policy and market | - Conducive environment for pigeonpea production |
| conditions necessary for | - Market will absorb the increased production |
| development and | |
| upscaling | |
| | nerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | Ksh 30,000 |
| Estimated returns | Ksh 67,000 (Gross margin was only done for medium |
| | duration varieties) |
| Gender issues and | Pigeonpea is a women's crop from production to marketing. |
| concerns in development | Increased yields will therefore provide increased income for |
| and dissemination | the women |
| Gender issues and | As a short duration and high yielding variety it will meet the |
| concerns in adoption and | food and nutrition security of the whole household |
| scaling up | |
| Gender related | Green peas are highly marketable therefore both men, women |
| opportunities | and youth can trade in it |
| VMG issues and concerns | Most of the pigeonpea produced is consumed at the household |
| in development and dissemination | level as green peas therefore it is important for improving the food and nutrition security. |
| VMG issues and concerns | food and nutrition security The TIMPs are meant to increase the productivity therefore |
| in adoption and scaling up | The TIMPs are meant to increase the productivity therefore both gender can benefit from the increased production |
| VMG related | The technology can improve food and nutrition security and |
| opportunities | an opportunity for increased income |
| E: Case studies/profiles o | |
| Success stories | |
| Application guidelines | Guidelines available in brochures and manuals (Pigeonpea |
| for users | production guide) available in KALRO |
| F: Status of TIMP | 1 Ready for upscaling |
| readiness (1-ready for | |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | |
| validation; 3-requires | |
| further research) | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |

| G: Contacts | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Contacts | Centre Director, KALRO-Katumani |
| | P.O. Box 340-90100 Machakos |
| | Email: cd.katumani@kalro.org; Phone: 0736333294 |
| Lead organization and | KALRO, Rael Karimi, Daniel Mutisya (Katumani), Catherine |
| scientists | Muriithi (Embu) |
| Partner organizations | ICRISAT, Egerton university, County government - |
| | Department of agriculture, FAO |

GAPs for further Research Need to work out the cost benefit analysis which is important for adoption and upscaling.

| 21.1 TIMP Name | Egerton Mbaazi 1 |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology |
| | rechnology |
| | |
| management practice) | |
| | nology, innovation or management practice |
| Problem addressed | Low productivity, due to water scarcity and low yielding varieties |
| What is it? (TIMP | Egerton Mbaazi 1 is a meduin duration (140-160 days) pigeon |
| description) | pea variety. The plant is also compact and is normally grown as |
| | a sole crop. The variety has potential yields of 1400 - 2800 kg/ha |
| | or 8-18 bags total annual harvest. It is tolerant to fusarium wilt |
| | disease. Seed is cream coloured an preferred by farmers |
| Justification | ASALs are characterized by frequent drought leading to crop |
| | failure. Farmers' varieties are late maturing with only one |
| | harvest per year. Besides maturing early due to shorter |
| | growing period, Egerton Mbaazi 1 facilitates crop |
| | intensification and thus helps in improving productivity |
| | especially in low rainfall conditions. The variety can give two |
| | harvests per year as compared to local long duration lines. |
| | Multiple harvests ensures continuous food security at the |
| | household level. |
| B. Assessment of dissemine | nation and scaling up/out approaches |
| Users of TIMP | - Farmers |
| | - Seed companies and Agro-dealers |
| | - Traders, Processors and Exporters |
| | - Other research organizations/institutions including |
| | universities |
| Approaches to be used in | - Farmer participatory evaluation |
| dissemination | - On-farm demonstration |
| | - Field days |
| | - Agricultural shows |
| | - Farmer to farmer |
| | - Media - TV "Mkulima programme" |
| | - Extension publications (posters/ brochures/leaflets) |
| | - NGOs |
| Critical/essential factors | - Seed availability, accessibility and affordability |
| for successful promotion | |

| | - Strong linkage among pigeonpea value chain actors from |
|--|---|
| | production to market |
| | - Strong partnership linkages |
| | - Awareness campaign |
| Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles | - Egerton Univerity and ICRISAT provide seed for the variety |
| scaling up and then roles | - Extension service providers (public and private) to help in |
| | technology dissemination |
| | - FAO facilitate in the promotion of the technology and |
| | linking farmers to market |
| | - ICRISAT - technology dissemination |
| | - NGOs - technology dissemination through on-farm |
| | demonstrations |
| | - KILIMO trust - capacity building of farmers and linking |
| | farmers to markets and credit facilities |
| | - Seed companies - commercialization and marketing of the |
| | variety |
| | - Traders/exporters - marketing of the variety |
| | - Public institutions - schools (providing the market) |
| | - County governments - dissemination of the technology |
| | and linking farmers to external markets |
| C: Current situation and | future scaling up |
| Counties where already | Makueni, Elgeyo Marakwet, Baringo |
| promoted, if any | |
| Counties where TIMPs | Machakos |
| will be up scaled | |
| Challenges in | -Limited seed systems hinder farmers from obtaining seed |
| development and | for new varieties due to low demand for certified seed by |
| dissemination | farmers as farmers use own saved seed |
| | -High cost of certified seed |
| | -Limited access to rural finance for pulse production |
| | -Low dry grain for export as most of the production is |
| | consumed as green peas |
| | -Low use of inputs since farmers have always grown their |
| | traditional crop with no inputs even when available |
| Suggestions for | -Capacity building on GAPs |
| addressing the challenges | -Participation of stakeholders along the value chain in |
| | technology development and on-farm validation |
| | -Promote awareness among farmers on the disadvantages of |
| | recycling of seed |
| | -Promote use of inputs to increase yields |
| Lessons learned | -Partnership is important in technology dissemination and |
| | adoption |
| | -Involvement of end-user in technology development process |
| | helps in faster adoption of the technology |
| Social, environmental, | - Socially acceptable |
| policy and market | - Conducive environment for pigeonpea production |
| conditions necessary for | - Market will absorb the increased production |
| development and | |
| upscaling | |

| D: Economic, gender, vul | D: Economic, gender, vulnerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations | |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| Basic costs | Ksh 30,000 | |
| Estimated returns | Ksh 67,000 (for medium duration varieties) | |
| Gender issues and | Pigeonpea is a women's crop from production to marketing. | |
| concerns in development | Increased yields will therefore provide increased income for | |
| and dissemination | the women | |
| Gender issues and | As a short duration and high yielding variety it will meet the | |
| concerns in adoption and | food and nutrition security of the whole household | |
| scaling up | | |
| Gender related | Green peas are highly marketable therefore both men, | |
| opportunities | women and youth can trade in it | |
| VMG issues and concerns | Most of the pigeonpea produced is consumed at the | |
| in development and | household level as green peas therefore it is important for | |
| dissemination | improving the food and nutrition security | |
| VMG issues and concerns | The TIMPs are meant to increase the productivity therefore | |
| in adoption and scaling up | both gender can benefit from the increased production | |
| VMG related | The technology can improve food and nutrition security and | |
| opportunities | an opportunity for increased income | |
| E: Case studies/profiles o | f success stories | |
| Success stories | | |
| Application guidelines | Guidelines available in brochures and manuals (Pigeonpea | |
| for users | production guide) | |
| F: Status of TIMP | Ready for upscaling | |
| readiness (1-ready for | 2. Requires validation | |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | | |
| validation; 3-requires | | |
| further research) | | |
| G: Contacts | | |
| Contacts | Centre Director, KALRO-Katumani | |
| | P.O. Box 340-90100 Machakos | |
| | Email: <u>cd.katumani@kalro.org</u> ; Phone: 0736333294 | |
| Lead organization and | KALRO, Rael Karimi, Daniel Mutisya (Katumani), Catherine | |
| scientists | Muriithi (Embu) | |
| Partner organizations | ICRISAT, Egerton university, Ministry of agriculture, FAO | |
| GAPs | | |

Further research to improve its small seed size

Need to work out the cost benefit analysis which is important for adoption and upscaling

2.2 Good Agronomic Practices (GAPs)

| 21.1 TIMP Name | Good Agronomic practices |
|---|--------------------------|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Management practice |
| innovation or | |
| management practice) | |
| A: Description of the technology, innovation or management practice | |

| Problem addressed | Low productivity due to drought, poor crop husbandry like planting method, plant population, field management, soil and |
|----------------------------|--|
| | water management related challenges |
| What is it? (TIMP | Crop husbandry, |
| description) | Good Agronomic Practices are a set of principles, regulations |
| | and technical recommendations applicable to production and |
| | processing addressing human health care environment |
| | protection. GAPs foster environment by rational handling of |
| | agro-chemicals |
| | Example. Proper spacing for different maturity types types |
| | (Short duration: 1 x 0.3 m; Medium duration 1.2 x 0.5 m and |
| | Long duration 1.5 x 0.5 m), Type of fertilizer and the quantity |
| Justification | ASALs are characterized by frequent drought leading to crop |
| | failure. Pigeonpea is grown in the ASALs which are |
| | characterized by low poorly distributed rainfall, and declining |
| | soil fertility. Planted as a bonus crop therefore farmers do not |
| | use improved management practices. Pigeonpea cultivation is |
| | done by smallholder farmers with minimal inputs application |
| | - (fertilizer, spacing, crop protection, post-harvest). Farmers |
| | drill the seed during planting and do not thin leading to |
| | overpopulation and competition for water and soil nutrients |
| | which lowers the yield |
| | nation and scaling up/out approaches |
| Users of TIMP | Farmers by obtaining healthy and good quality food to assure |
| | their nutrition and nourishment, generating a value added in |
| | their products to access markets in a better way. |
| | • Extension service providers |
| Approaches to be used in | Farmer Participatory Evaluation |
| dissemination | • On-farm demonstrations |
| | • Field days |
| | MoAF&I/Extension officers |
| | • Partners (FAO, ICRISAT, Farm Inputs Promotions FIPs, |
| | County government – Department of Agriculture) |
| | • Farmer to farmer |
| | • Promotional materials (posters/brochures/leaflets, manuals) |
| Critical/essential factors | • Awareness creation on importance of GAPs –e.g. fertilizer |
| for successful promotion | use, spacing |
| - | • Capacity building on the importance of GAPs |
| | • Suitability of the TIMP to the agroclimatic and socio- |
| | economic condition of the farmer eg Affordable |
| | mechanized tools will be easy to promote |
| | Accessibility of the TIMP by the farmers |
| Partners/stakeholders for | KALRO to provide improved agronomic practices |
| scaling up and their roles | • Extension service providers eg County officers, KILIMO |
| seaming up und men roles | trust and other NGOs |
| | |
| | • FAO – promotion of Conservation Agriculture, |
| | • Canal Crowers Association (CCA) |
| | • Cereal Growers Association (CGA) |
| C: Current situation and | • Faith based organizations |

| Makueni, Kitui |
|---|
| Machakos |
| Machakos |
| - Cultural beliefs by some farmers like fertilizer destroys |
| soils |
| - The myth that being a legume pigeonpea is a nitrogen |
| fixing crop thus no inputs is applied even when available |
| - Limited access to rural finance for pulse production to |
| purchase inputs like seed and fertilizer |
| - Creation of awareness on GAPs |
| - Participation of end-user in on-farm activities/extension |
| activities |
| - Promoting awareness among farmers about the declining |
| soil fertility and importance of its improvement for |
| increased crop productivity |
| - Training stakeholders on GAPs along the value chain |
| especially extension service providers, input suppliers and |
| finance institutions |
| - Despite the importance of pigeonpea as a food security and |
| income generating agro-enterprise, it is mostly grown as a |
| bonus crop |
| - Low use of certified seed for improved pigeonpea varieties with farmers still growing their traditional landraces due to |
| poor seed system. |
| - Low application of recommended production practices – |
| spacing, fertilizer application, timely and correct doses for |
| chemicals |
| - Partnership is important in technology dissemination and |
| adoption |
| - Involvement of end-user in technology development |
| process helps in faster adoption of the technology |
| - Commodity is socially acceptable |
| - Conducive environment for pigeonpea production |
| - Market will absorb the increased production |
| - Supporting frameworks and policies are available |
| nerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Not done |
| Not done |
| Pigeon pea is a women's crop, (planting to shelling) and |
| marketing done mainly by women. Most marketed product is |
| inform of green peas. Increased yields will thus provide |
| increased incomes for the household |
| Increased productivity will benefit the household |
| |
| |
| Increased productivity will benefit the household |
| |
| |

| VMG issues and concerns | The TIMPs are meant to increase the productivity therefore |
|----------------------------|--|
| in development and | both gender can benefit from the increased production |
| dissemination | |
| VMG issues and concerns | The management practice is aimed increasing production and |
| in adoption and scaling up | therefore providing food and nutrition security and a window |
| | for increased income. |
| VMG related | The management practice is aimed increasing production and |
| opportunities | therefore providing food and nutrition security and a window |
| | for increased income. |
| E: Case studies/profiles o | f success stories |
| Success stories | |
| Application guidelines | Guidelines available in brochures and manuals (Pigeonpea |
| for users | production guide) in KALRO |
| F: Status of TIMP | 1 Ready for upscaling |
| readiness (1-ready for | 2 Requires validation |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | |
| validation; 3-requires | |
| further research) | |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | Centre Director, KALRO-Katumani |
| | P.O. Box 340-90100 Machakos |
| | Email: <u>cd.katumani@kalro.org</u> ; Phone: 736333294 |
| Lead organization and | KALRO, Rael Karimi, Daniel Mutisya (Katumani), Catherine |
| scientists | Muriithi (Embu) |
| Partner organizations | ICRISAT, Egerton university, Ministry of agriculture, FAO |
| <u>CAP</u> ₆ | |

Need to work out the cost benefit analysis which is important for adoption and upscaling

2.3 Integrated Disease Management

| 2.3.1 TIMP Name | Integrated Disease Management of Fusarium wilt |
|---------------------------|--|
| Category (i.e. | Management practice |
| technology, innovation | |
| or management practice) | |
| A: Description of the tec | hnology, innovation or management practice |
| Problem addressed | Yield loss and poor-quality grain due to fusarium wilt disease |
| What is it? (TIMP | Integrated disease management (IDM) involves a sustainable approach to |
| description) | managing diseases by combining biological, cultural, physical and chemical |
| | tools in a way that minimizes economic, health and environmental risks |
| | Cultural practices: Cultivation techniques, mulching, intercropping, crop |
| | rotation, rouging, healthy planting material can be used as tools for |
| | disease management. |
| | Biological control use by use of naturally occurring bio-control agents |
| | (antagonists). Adding composts or manures, which enrich the soil with |
| | antagonistic microflora. |
| Justification | Fusarium wilt is a major constraint in pigeonpea production. |
| | The disease is common in areas where the crop is continuously especially |
| | in small-scale farms. |

| | The disease causes severe wilting and death of the plants. Grain losses due to wilt vary from negligible amount to 100% depending on the stage of the |
|--|---|
| | crop and environmental factors. For instance, it can approach 100% when it occurs before pod development, about 67% when it occurs at maturity, and 30% when it occurs at the pre-harvest stages. |
| B: Assessment of dissem | ination and scaling up/out approaches |
| Users of TIMP | • Farmers |
| | • Extension officers |
| Approaches used in | • On-farm demonstration |
| dissemination | • Farmer field days |
| | • Farmer Field Schools |
| | Partners (FAO, service providers) |
| Critical/essential factors | Strong partnership linkages |
| for successful promotion | Enabeling environment for the successful implementation of IDM strategies |
| | • Need for farmer involvement helps generate locally specific |
| | techniques and solutions suitable for their particular farming systems and |
| | integrating control components that are ecologically sound and readily |
| | available to them e.g. use of Indigenous Traditional Knowledge (ITK) can |
| | be promoted and adopted faster. |
| | Accessibility and cost of the practice by farmers: low-cost |
| | agricultural practices are easily promoted and accepted |
| Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles | - Extension service providers (Public and private) to help in the technology dissemination |
| | - FAO facilitate in the promotion of the technology and linking farmers to market |
| | - County governments –Help in the dissemination of the technology, |
| | Linking farmers to external markets |
| C: Current situation and | l future scaling up |
| Counties where already promoted, if any | |
| Counties where TIMPs will be upscaled | Machakos, Nyeri, Tharaka Nithi, |
| Challenges in | Farmers are less receptive on aspects of rotation to manage the disease |
| dissemination | especially where the crop is ratooned for several seasons |
| | |
| Successions 6 | |
| Suggestions for | • Create awareness on the economic importance of the disease |
| - | • • |
| | |
| - | |
| scaling, if any | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | would need to understand the agro-ecological processes affecting the |
| addressing the challenges Lessons learned in up scaling, if any | More than one approach is used in management of major diseases IDM is environment friendly and the chemical component should only be used as the last resort Participatory, farmer-centered approaches, which encourage farmers to participate in the innovation process and the facilitation of experimentation among farmer communities in the evaluation of the technology enhances technology adoption IDM approaches are knowledge intensive and location-specific, farmers |

| | disease to be able to make informed decisions on how to manage crop to avoid disease occurrence, as well as how to manage the diseases once they become a problem. This will require a capacity building on crop monitoring and ecological principles. |
|--------------------------|---|
| Social, environmental, | • Need to understand the physical and biotic environment in target |
| policy and market | ecologies; community culture, preferences, and practices |
| conditions necessary for | |
| development and up | • Training on IDM to increase awareness of IDM and reduce possible |
| 1 1 | negative impact on the environment resulting from wrong application of |
| scaling | IDM |
| | Market is available to absorb increased supply of grain |
| | Inerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | Not done |
| Estimated returns | Not done |
| Gender issues and | IDM is cheap and reduces production costs therefore user friendly to |
| concerns in development | resource poor women |
| and dissemination | |
| Gender issues and | IDM protocols will not overburden any gender in implementation and are |
| concerns in adoption and | therefore has potential for adoption by both gender. |
| scaling up | Setting of traps may create income generation opportunity for the youth |
| Gender related | Opportunities for youth employment in implementing IDM protocols |
| opportunities | Opportunities in marketing pest traps |
| VMG issues and | The TIMPs are meant to reduce the production costs therefore women can |
| concerns in development | afford to produce the technology |
| and dissemination | |
| VMG issues and | The TIMPs are meant to reduce the production costs therefore women can |
| concerns in adoption and | afford to produce the technology |
| scaling up | |
| VMG related | The technology can improve food and nutrition security and a window for |
| opportunities | increased income. |
| E: Case studies/profiles | |
| Success stories | |
| Application guidelines | None |
| for users | |
| F: Status of TIMP | 1 Ready for upscaling |
| readiness (1-ready for | 2 requires validation - crop rotation and intercropping for Disease control |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | 3 requires further research –(Possibility for Biocontrol) |
| validation; 2-requires | |
| further research) | |
| G. Contacts | |
| Contacts | Centre Director, KALRO-Katumani |
| Contacts | P.O. Box 340-90100 Machakos |
| | |
| Trading and the state | Email: <u>cd.katumani@kalro.org</u> ; Phone: 0736333294 |
| Lead organization and | KALRO Sila Nzio, Rael Karimi (Katumani), Catherine Muriithi (KALRO- |
| scientists | Embu) |
| Partner organizations | Ministry of agriculture |
| | FAO |
| | ICRISAT |
| | Egerton University |
| | East African Grain Council |

GAPs for further Research

Need to work out the cost benefit analysis which is important for adoption and upscaling

2.2 Integrated Pest Management

| 2.2.1 TIMP name | Integrated Pest Management of pod borers, pod sucking bugs, |
|--|--|
| 2.2.1 1 IIVII name | pod fly |
| Category (i.e. technology, | Management practice |
| innovation or management | |
| practice) | |
| A: Description of the technolo | gy, innovation or management practice |
| Problem addressed | Yield loss and low grain quality due to white fly damage |
| What is it? (TIMP description) | Integrated pest management (IPM) involves minimal use of pesticides, and only if deemed necessary, giving preference to other control methods such as host-plant resistance, cultural practices and biological control. Cultural control: Rotation of pigeonpea with non-host crop like cereals Biological: Use of predators, use yellow sticky traps at the rate |
| | of 10-12 traps/ha |
| | Chemical: Use of insecticides |
| Justification | Pests are a major constraint in pigeon pea production causing |
| | significant grain losses. IPM is an environment friendly (minimises use of chemicals) approach to pest management which will help alleviate yield losses due to pest infestation and damage on and scaling up/out approaches |
| Users of TIMP | Farmers and extension officers |
| Approaches used to be used in | - Extension publications |
| dissemination | - On-farm demonstrations |
| | - Farmer field days |
| | - Farmer training |
| | - Agricultural shows and exhibitions |
| | - Farmer to farmer training |
| Critical/essential factors for successful promotion | Strong partnership linkages Need for farmer involvement helps generate locally specific techniques and solutions suitable for their particular farming systems and integrating control components that are ecologically sound and readily available to them eg Use of Indegenoue Traditional Knowledge (ITK) can be promoted and adopted faster. Accessibility and cost of the practice by farmers: low-cost agricultural practices are easily promoted and accepted |
| Partners/stakeholders for | Service providers (private and public), FAO, County |
| scaling up and their roles | governments – KALRO, universities (department of crop protection) to provide the guidelines and trainings County government-Department of Agriculture to provide extension services especially capacity building |

| C: Current situation and futu | re scaling up |
|--|--|
| Counties where already | Makueni, Kitui |
| promoted, if any | |
| Counties where TIMPs will be | Machakos |
| upscaled | |
| Challenges in dissemination | Farmers are less receptive especially on aspects of |
| | intercropping to manage pests e.g. push-pull technologies for |
| | pest management |
| Suggestions for addressing the challenges | Training on integrated pest management practices |
| Lessons learned in up scaling, | - Need to use more than one approach for effective |
| if any | management of insect pests |
| | - IPM is environment friendly and the chemical component |
| | should be used as the last resort |
| | - IPM approaches are knowledge intensive and location- |
| | specific, farmers would need to understand the agro- |
| | ecological processes affecting the pest infestation to be able |
| | to make informed decisions on how to manage crop to avoid |
| | pest occurrence, as well as how to manage the pests once |
| | they become a problem. This will require a capacity building |
| | on crop monitoring, identification and ecological principles. |
| Social, environmental, policy | - Understand the physical and biotic environment in target |
| and market conditions | ecologies, community culture, preferences, and practices |
| necessary for development | - Training on IPM to increase awareness of IPM and reduce |
| and up scaling | possible negative impact on the environment resulting from |
| | wrong application of IPM |
| D. Francisco dan andra | - Market is able to absorb increased supply of grain |
| Basic costs | ble and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations Not done |
| Estimated returns | Not done |
| Gender issues and concerns in | The TIMPs are meant to reduce the production costs therefore |
| | women can afford to produce the technology |
| dissemination | women can arrord to produce the technology |
| Gender issues and concerns in | IPM protocols will not overburden any gender in |
| adoption and scaling up | implementation and are therefore has potential for adoption by |
| udoption and searing up | both gender. |
| | Setting of traps may create income generation opportunity for |
| | the youth |
| Gender related opportunities | Opportunities for youth employment in implementing IPM |
| | protocols |
| | Opportunities in marketing pest traps |
| | |
| VMG issues and concerns in | The TIMPs are meant to reduce the production costs therefore |
| VMG issues and concerns in development and | The TIMPs are meant to reduce the production costs therefore women can afford to produce the technology |
| | The TIMPs are meant to reduce the production costs therefore women can afford to produce the technology |
| development and dissemination | women can afford to produce the technology |
| developmentanddisseminationVMG issues and concerns in | women can afford to produce the technology The TIMPs are meant to reduce the production costs therefore |
| developmentanddisseminationVMG issues and concerns in adoption and scaling up | women can afford to produce the technology The TIMPs are meant to reduce the production costs therefore women can afford to produce the technology |
| developmentanddisseminationVMG issues and concerns in | women can afford to produce the technology The TIMPs are meant to reduce the production costs therefore |

| Success stories | - |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Application guidelines for | Extension publications not yet developed |
| users | |
| F: Status of TIMP readiness | 1-Ready for upscaling |
| (1-Ready for upscaling, 2- | 2-requires validation |
| requires validation, 3-requires | 3-requires further research) |
| further research) | |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | Centre Director, KALRO-Katumani |
| | P.O. Box 340-90100 Machakos |
| | Email: cd.katumani@kalro.org; Phone: 0736333294 |
| Lead organization and | KALRO-Katumani, Rael Karimi |
| scientists | |
| Partner organizations | - Extension service providers (Public and private) to help in |
| | the technology dissemination |
| | - FAO to facilitate in the promotion of the technology |
| | - NGOs: technology dissemination through on-farm |
| | demonstrations; capacity building of farmers |
| | - County governments -Help in the dissemination of the |
| | technology, |

Need to work out the cost benefit analysis which is important for adoption and upscaling