





INVENTORY OF CLIMATE SMART AGRICULTURE SORGHUM TECHNOLOGIES, INNOVATIONS & MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

Compiled by

Rachel Kisilu, Clement Kamau and Erick Cheruiyot

Kenya Agricultural and Livestock Research Organization

Under

KENYA CLIMATE SMART AGRICULTURE PROJECT (KCSAP)

April 2019

Version 1

1.0 Definition of terms and summary tables of Sorghum Technologies, Innovations and Management Practices (TIMPS)

1.1 Definition of terms

Technology: This is defined as an output of a research process which is beneficial to the target clientele (mainly farmers, pastoralists, agro-pastoralists and fisher folk for KCSAP's case), can be commercialized and can be patented under intellectual property rights (IPR) arrangements. It consists of research outputs such as tools, equipment, genetic materials, breeds, farming and herding practices, gathering practices, laboratory techniques, models etc.

Management practice: This is defined as recommendation(s) on practice(s) that is/are considered necessary for a technology to achieve its optimum output. These include, for instance, different agronomic and practices (seeding rates, fertilizer application rates, spatial arrangements, planting period, land preparation, watering regimes, etc.), protection methods, for crops; and feed rations, management systems, disease control methods, etc. for animal breeds. This is therefore important information which is generated through research to accompany the parent technology before it is finally released to users and the technology would be incomplete without this information.

Innovation: This is defined as a modification of an existing technology for an entirely different use from the original intended use. (e.g. fireless cooker modified to be used as a hatchery)

1.2. Summary of Inventory of TIMPs in the Sorghum Value Chain

The inventory process identified 33 TIMPs comprising 21 technologies, 6 innovations and 6 management practices, distributed among the 6 sub-themes, as indicated in the table

Table 1: Summary of sorghum TIMPs

| Commodity/VC | Sub-Theme | Technologies | Innovations | Management Practices |
|---------------|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| Sorghum | Improved sorghum varieties | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Sorghum | Agronomic management practices | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| Sorghum | Bird Damage Management | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Sorghum | Mechanization | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Sorghum | Postharvest management | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Sorghum | Marketing | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Sorghum | Value addition | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Overall Total | | 21 | 6 | 6 |

Summary of Status of TIMPs in Sorghum Value Chain

The inventory process resulted in a total of 15 TIMPs that are ready for up-scaling, 16 TIMPs that require validation and 2 TIMPs that require further research in the sub-themes, as indicated in Table 2.

Table 2. Number of TIMPs ready for up-scaling, require validation or further research

| Commodity/VC | Sub-Theme | Ready for up- scaling | Require validation | Further Research |
|---------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Sorghum | Improved sorghum varieties | 9 | 11 | 0 |
| Sorghum | Agronomic management practices | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Sorghum | Bird Damage Management | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Sorghum | Mechanization | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Sorghum | Postharvest management | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Sorghum | Marketing | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Sorghum | Value addition | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Overall Total | | 15 | 16 | 2 |

Table 3: Inventory of Sorghum TIMPs by Category and Status

| TIMPs Sub- | TIMPs Title | TIMPs | Status |
|------------------------------------|--|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Theme | | Category | |
| 2.1 Improved | 2.1.1 Conchum voniety Coder | a Tashnalasy | Doody for up goaling |
| sorghum varieties | 2.1.1 Sorghum variety Gadar2.1.2 Sorghum Variety KAR | | Ready for up-scaling |
| varieues | Mtama-1 | | Ready for up-scaling |
| | 2.1.3 Sorghum variety Sila | Technology | Ready for up-scaling |
| | 2.1.4 Sorghum variety SC Smile | Technology | Requires validation |
| | 2.1.5 Sorghum variety EUSH 1 Hybrid | | Requires validation and seed access |
| | 2.1.6 Sorghum variety KM 3 | | Requires validation and seed access |
| | 2.1.7 Sorghum variety Serede | Technology | Ready for up-scaling |
| | 2.1.8 Sorghum Variety Seren | | Ready for up-scaling |
| | 2.1.9 Sorghum variety E97 | Technology | Requires validation and seed access |
| | 2.1.10 Sorghum variety BJ28 | Technology | Ready for up-scaling |
| | 2.1.11 Sorghum variety Ikinyaluka | Technology | Ready for up-scaling |
| | 2.1.12 Sorghum variety E 129 variety | 1 Technology | Ready for up-scaling |
| | 2.1.13 Sorghum variety E6518 | Technology | Ready for up-scaling |
| | 2.1.14 Sorghum variety Sweet Sorg 4 | | Requires validation |
| | 2.1.15 Sorghum variety Sweet Sorg 14 | Technology | Requires validation |
| | 2.1.16 Sorghum variety Sweet Sorg 17 | Technology | Requires validation |
| | 2.1.17 Sorghum variety Sweet Sorg 21 | Technology | Requires validation |
| | 2.1.18 Sorghum variety Kak Sweet Sorg 1 | Technology | Requires validation |
| | 2.1.19 Sweet sorghum variety EUSS 10 | Technology | Requires validation |
| | 2.1.20 Sweet sorghum variety EUSS 11 | Technology | Requires validation |
| 2.2 Agronomic management practices | 2.2.1 Planting, weeding, thinning, Fertilizer application, pest and disease management, harvesting, storage and own seed selection | Management practice | Ready for up-scaling |
| | 2.2.2 Use of fertilizers for enhanced yield in sorghum | Management practice | Ready for up-scaling |

| | 2.2.3 Use of growth Enhancers | Management | New: Needs |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| | to improve soil fertility for | practice | validation |
| | increased sorghum yields | | |
| | 2.2.4 Conservation Agriculture | Technology | Ready for up-scaling |
| | (CA) for sorghum production | | |
| | 2.2.5 Sorghum legume | | Requires further |
| | intercropping | practice | research |
| | 2.2.6 Legume intercropping for | Management | Requires further |
| | Striga management in sorghum | practice | research |
| 2.3 Bird | 2.3.1 Sorghum Grain Harvest at | Management | New: Requires |
| Damage | Soft Dough Stage | practice | validation |
| Management s | | | |
| 2.4 | 2.4.1 Mechanization of | Innovations | Further research |
| Mechanization | sorghum production activities | | |
| | (Sorghum Planter, weeding, | | |
| | Harvester) | | |
| 2.5 Post | 2.5.1 Sorghum Thresher | Innovation | Ready for up-scaling |
| harvest | | | |
| | 2.5.2 Sorghum solar drier | Innovation | Validation |
| 2.6 Marketing | 2.6.1.The Community | Innovation | Validation |
| | Production and Marketing | | |
| | System (COPMAS) sorghum | | |
| | Model | | |
| 2.7 Value | 2.7.1 Processing, utilization | Innovation | Ready for up-scaling |
| addition | and value addition | | |
| | 2.7.2 Sorghum Bread | Innovation | Ready for up-scaling |
| | Total TIMPS | 33 | |

Detailed Sorghum Value Chain TIMPS Improved varieties

2.0 2.1

| 2.1.1 TIMP Name | Sorghum variety Gadam |
|--|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology |
| innovation or management | |
| practice) A · Description of the technology | logy, innovation or management practice |
| Problem to be addressed | Low production (3-5 bags/acre) |
| | Low adoption |
| | Low consumption |
| | low commercialization |
| | Inadequate Market opportunities |
| What is it? (TIMP | Gadam is an open Pollinated variety that was released in 1994 by KARI |
| description) | (KALRO). It is a highly drought, heat tolerant and early maturing (3 |
| | months) variety. Recommended for altitude range of 250-1600 m.a.s.l and was originally targeted for coastal and semi-arid lowlands. |
| | Features: Chalky white grains but with brown testa. Plants are medium |
| | high. Grains yield ranges between 2-2.5 t/ha. |
| | |
| Justification | Well adapted to climate change, It is a farmer acceptable variety, has |
| | brewing quality and is already commercialized. It is palatable and well |
| | digestible to human and animal consumption. (Need to indicate importance to food security in the ASALS) |
| | The most drought resilient variety |
| | The most drought resident variety |
| B: Assessment of disseminate | ion and scaling up/out approaches |
| Users of TIMP | • Farmers, Traders, Processors (brewing), Millers, Seed dealers, |
| 1 1: | Researchers, Extension service. |
| Approaches used in dissemination | On farm and on station demonstrations The state of |
| dissemilation | Training workshops, Seminars, Meetings Avverages, constituting by markstons and processors/former. |
| | Awareness creation by marketers and processors/farmer contracting |
| | Field days |
| | Agricultural shows |
| | MoA/Extension officers |
| | Farmer research networks |
| | Partners (ICRISAT, NGOs) |
| | Farmer to farmer |
| | Mass media – Agricultural programmes |
| | Promotional materials (posters/brochures/leaflets, manuals) |
| | Web material's |
| | Mobile |
| | |

| Critical/essential factors for successful promotion | Good Marketing Models and path ways Seed availability and accessibility Good seed system to ensure quality Well organized farmer groups and networks County and central government support Funding to adapt to new areas |
|---|--|
| Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles | KALRO, National Agricultural Research Institutes (NARIs) and International research organizations e.g. ICRISAT to provide variety, seed and production information Malting and brewing industries (EABL) and Market agents to create markets Farmers/farmer groups to produce County governments, central governments e.g. Chiefs, Agricultural Extension (Formal and informal) for policy, awareness and dissemination NGOs dealing with sorghum e.g. Africa Harvest, Farm Africa for farmer organizing and mobilization Seed companies for quality seed multiplication Financial institutions e.g. Banks and other credit facilitators for financial solutions |
| C: Current situation and futu | ire scaling up |
| Counties where already promoted if any | Makueni, Kitui, Machakos, Tharaka-Nithi, Embu, Meru, Homabay, Siaya, Kisumu. Some parts of Tanzania and Uganda |
| Counties where TIMP will be up scaled Challenges in dissemination | Baringo, Busia, Isiolo, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya, Wajir - Bird damage is a disincentive for all white varieties - Labour intensity in planting, weeding, harvesting and threshing Disorganized marketing channels - Weak seed systems leading to mixing and low quality grain |
| | and seed. Lack of seed multipliers and distributors in the new interested counties Limited processing technologies and consumption diversity at the household level The variety is not adapted to humid and cold areas leading to low yields in those areas Inappropriate of production practices |

| | Madagia and an inciden |
|---|---|
| Lessons learned in up scaling if any | Mechanize sorghum production Promote Proper marketing models that encourage collective production and marketing, honoring contractual agreements Involve county governments, Extension, marketers and processors Decentralize seed distribution in the target areas and engage county govern in seed distribution for ease of accessibility Use partners innovations such as the "Smart food Initiative" by ICRISAT to promote value addition and consumption in local food systems Private Public Partnership promotion and marketing models have worked once in promotion of Gadam Variety therefore there is possibility of extending to other value chains Farmers need persistent hands on training in proper agronomic |
| | practices, Marketing and value addition for proper impact |
| | - Availability of market is key |
| Social, environmental, policy and market conditions | Creation of awareness on nutritive and commercial importance of the variety. |
| necessary for development | Harmonious gender consideration in research, consumption and |
| and up scaling | marketing. It is cultivated mainly by women hence the need to |
| | capacity build them. |
| | It is an already "a climate change ready crop" due to its moisture |
| | and temperature stress resilience. But should be adapted to the |
| | right cultivation areas. |
| | Enabling policy and policy review from time to time The state of |
| | Implementation of the flour blending policy. |
| D: Economic, gender, vulnera | able and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | KES 15,000 per acre |
| Estimated returns | KES 28,800 per acre. Returns =KES 13,800 |
| Gender issues and concerns in | Labour intensity in weeding, Thinning, threshing and bird |
| development, dissemination, | scaring – mostly done by women and youth |
| adoption and scaling up | Seed multiplication and distribution shortfalls |
| | Land ownership mainly by men who have no interest in sorghum |
| | • Financial empowerment, the poor farmers lack funds to start |
| | Slow Information and awareness flow to more farmers |
| | Marketing: Production is done by youth and women but the money goes to the money. |
| | money goes to the menLabour intensity in almost all activities affects women. There is |
| | need for mechanization. |
| | Youth are mainly sidelined in sorghum value chain |
| | Appropriate training materials and strategies |
| Gender related opportunities | Women and youth friendly production techniques such as mechanization |
| | Apply enterprising value addition methods for the youth |

| | Friendly trading conditions to allow women and youth to participate in marketing |
|---|---|
| VMG issues and concerns in development, dissemination, adoption and scaling up VMG related opportunities E: Case studies/profiles of suc Success stories from previous similar projects | Unfriendly production practices Un friendly dissemination methods and documents Mechanization Friendly trading conditions |
| | smart logistics solution in lower eastern Kenya |
| Application guidelines for users | Reference: Sorghum production manual: Enhancing sorghum production and Marketing in semi-arid Kenya. (http://www.kalro.org/asal-aprp) Sorghum Training Manual: Enhanced Sorghum Production for Food Security and Increased Incomes (KCEP) |
| F: Status of TIMP readiness (1-ready for upscaling;, 2-requires validation; 3-requires further research) | Ready for up-scaling |
| G. Contacts | |
| Contacts | KALRO-Katumani |
| Lead organization and scientists | KALRO, Rachael Kisilu and C K Kamau |
| Partner organizations | ICRISAT, Dry land seed company, EABL |

| 2.1.2 TIMP Name | Sorghum Variety KARI Mtama-1 |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology |
| innovation or management | |
| practice) | |
| A: Description of the technological | ogy, innovation or management practice |
| Problem to be addressed | • Low farm yields (3-5 bags/acre) |
| | Adaptation to dry mid-lowland |
| | Adaptation to new sorghum growing areas: Baringo, Kericho, |
| | Wajir, Isiolo, West Pokot |
| What is it? (TIMP | KARI Mtama-1 is a white sorghum open pollinated variety (OPV) |
| description) | with high malting quality, high yields (3.4t/ha) and sweet (low |
| | tannins) for human consumption |
| | It was released in 2000 by KARI (KALRO) for areas ranging between |
| | 250-1800 m a.s.l. |

| Justification | KARI Mtama-1 can grow in some dry high lands where Gadam cannot be cultivated. It has good brewing quality. The grain is sweet, palatable and highly digestible to human and animal consumption. |
|--|--|
| B: Assessment of disseminate | ion and scaling up/out approaches |
| Users of TIMP | Farmers Traders Millers Processors (brewers) Seed dealers Extension service Researchers |
| Approaches used in dissemination | On farm and on station demonstrations Training workshops, Seminars, Meetings Field days Agricultural shows MoA/Extension officers Partners (ICRISAT, NGOs) Farmer net working Mass media – Agricultural programmes Promotional materials (posters/brochures/leaflets, manuals) Web material |
| Critical/essential factors for successful promotion | Seed availability and accessibility Good seed system to ensure quality Well organized farmer groups Good Marketing Models and path ways County and central government support Value addition technologies to increase consumption Funding to adapt to new areas |
| Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles | KALRO, National Agricultural Research Institutes (NARIs) and International research organizations e.g. ICRISAT to provide variety, seed and production information Malting and brewing industries (EABL) and Market agents to create markets Farmers/farmer groups to produce County governments, central governments e.g. Chiefs, Agricultural Extension (Formal and informal) for policy, awareness and dissemination NGOs dealing with sorghum e.g. Africa Harvest, Farm Africa for farmer organizing and mobilization Seed companies for quality seed multiplication Financial institutions e.g. Banks and other credit facilitators for financial solutions |

| C: Current situation and futu | re scaling up |
|---|---|
| Counties where TIMP will be up scaled | Lower eastern Kenya but not widely adopted due to being slightly late (3.5 months). Also released in Tanzania (include names of counties in Kenya) |
| Challenges in dissemination Suggestions for addressing the challenges | Bird damage leading to low adoption Laborious production activities Weak seed systems leading to in accessibility, mixing, low quality grain Disconnect between producers and markets Limited processing technologies and diversity at the household and cottage industry level The KARI Mtama-1 high yields are rarely reached because the late maturity, takes 3-3.5 (4) months to mature and due to the scenario of reducing rainfall amounts in the dry regions the variety is classified as late. Uneconomic seed production because of low demand: multipliers do not benefit Poor adaptability in humid areas due to high humidity leading to fungal diseases Information dissemination on production practices Promotion only to the suitable areas Mechanize sorghum and processing Innovate marketing models that encourage collective production and marketing Involve county governments, Extension, marketers and processors in participatory approach of dissemination Decentralize seed multiplication to other KALRO institutes and NARIS in target areas and engage county government in seed distribution to ease accessibility Use partners innovations such as the "Smart food Initiative" by |
| Lessons learned in up scaling | ICRISAT to promote value addition and consumption in local food systems Private Public Partnership promotion and marketing models have worked in promotion of sorghum Farmers need persistent hands on training in proper agronomic practices, Marketing and value addition for proper impact Availability of market will stimulate production |
| Social, environmental, policy and market conditions necessary for development and up scaling | Availability of market will stitudate production Creation of awareness on nutritive and commercial importance of the variety. Include all gender groups in research, consumption and marketing. It is cultivated mainly by women hence the need to capacity build them. |

| | It is an already "a climate change ready crop" due to its moisture and temperature stress resilience. But should be adapted to the right cultivation areas. Sorghum prices are steady due to huge domestic well organized markets and good policy. But policy review from time to time is important Implementation of the flour blending policy. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| D: Economic, gender, vulnera | ble and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | KES 15,000 per acre |
| Estimated returns | KES 28,800 per acre. Returns = KES 13,800 |
| Gender issues and concerns in | - Marketing: Production is done by youth and women but the money |
| development dissemination, | goes to the men |
| adoption and scaling up | - Labour intensity in almost all activities affects women. There is |
| | need for mechanization. |
| | - Youth are mainly sidelined in sorghum value chain |
| | - Appropriate training materials and strategies |
| Gender related opportunities | - Women and youth friendly production techniques such as |
| | mechanization to increase interest |
| | - Apply enterprising value addition methods for the youth |
| | - Friendly trading conditions to allow women and youth to |
| | participate in marketing |
| VMG issues and concerns in | - Unfriendly production practices |
| development, dissemination, | - Un friendly dissemination methods and documents |
| adoption and scaling up | - Mechanization |
| VMG related opportunities | - Friendly trading conditions |
| E: Case studies/profiles of suc | |
| Success stories from previous | Public The COPMAS/sorghum aggregation model by smart logistics |
| similar projects | solution in lower eastern Kenya |
| Application guidelines for | Reference |
| users | Sorghum production manual: Enhancing sorghum production and |
| | Marketing in semi-arid Kenya. (http://www.kalro.org/asal-aprp) |
| | |
| | Sorghum Extension Manual: Enhanced Sorghum Production for |
| | Food Security and Increased Incomes (KCEP). |
| | Sorghum brochure: Sorghum technologies for the drylands. Rachael |
| | Kisilu. (http://www.kalro.org/asal-aprp) |
| F: Status of TIMP readiness | Ready for up-scaling |
| (1-ready for upscaling;, 2- | |
| requires validation; 3-requires | |
| further research) | |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | KALRO-Katumani |
| Lead organization and scientists | KALRO, Rachael Kisilu and C K Kamau |
| 501011010 | |

| Partner organizations | ICRISAT |
|-----------------------|---------|
| | |

| 2.1.3TIMP Name | Sorghum variety Sila |
|---|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology |
| innovation or management | |
| practice) | |
| A: Description of the technology, innovation or management practice | |
| Problem to be addressed | • Low farm yields (3-5 bags/acre) |
| | Adaptation to humid and sub humid areas |
| | High bird damage |
| | Adaptation to new sorghum growing areas: Baringo, Kericho, Wajir, Isiolo, West Pokot |
| What is it? (TIMP | Sila is a white open pollinated variety released by AgriSeedCo Ltd in |
| description) | 2006. It has a high malting quality, good for human consumption and animal fodder. It is a dual purpose with grain yield of 2-4 t/ha, and fodder 4 t/ha, It is suited for altitudes of 250-1800 M.a.s.l. |
| Justification | Sila has good brewing quality. It is Palatable and digestible for human |
| o distribution | and animal consumption. Provides fodder also. It is well |
| | commercialized for brewing purposes. |
| Region promoted | Highly promoted in Upper eastern and western Kenya. Also promoted |
| | in lower eastern |
| B: Assessment of disseminati | ion and scaling up/out approaches |
| Users of TIMP | • Farmers |
| | • Traders |
| | • Millers |
| | • Processors (brewers) |
| | Seed dealers |
| | Extension service |
| | Researchers. |
| Approaches used in | On farm and on station demonstrations |
| dissemination | Training workshops, Seminars |
| | Awareness creation by marketers and processors |
| | Agricultural shows and Field days |
| | MoA/Extension officers |
| | • Partners (ICRISAT, NGOs) |
| | Farmer to farmer |
| | Mass media – Agricultural programs. |
| | Promotional materials (posters/brochures/leaflets, manuals) |
| | Web material |
| Critical/essential factors for | Seed availability and accessibility |
| successful promotion | Good seed system to ensure quality |

| | Well organized Farmer groups Good Marketing Models County and central government support Funding to adapt to new areas |
|--|--|
| Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles | KALRO, National Agricultural Research Institutes (NARIs) and International research organizations e.g. ICRISAT to provide variety, seed and production information Malting and brewing industries (EABL) and Market agents to create markets Farmers/farmer groups to produce County governments, central governments e.g. Chiefs, Agricultural Extension (Formal and informal) for policy, awareness and dissemination NGOs dealing with sorghum e.g. Africa Harvest, Farm Africa for farmer organizing and mobilization Seed companies for quality seed multiplication Financial institutions e.g. Banks and other credit facilitators for financial solutions |
| C: Current situation and fut | ure scaling up |
| Counties where already | Upper eastern and western regions. Also in lower eastern although due |
| promoted if any | to its longer maturity period of 3.5 moths it is not well taken in lower |
| Challenges in discouring disc | eastern |
| Challenges in dissemination | General for all varieties |
| | Low awareness of management practices Did dome or |
| | Bird damage Laboration and thought as |
| | Labour intensity in harvesting and threshing. Week and distribute an acceptability priving and law. |
| | Weak seed systems leading in accessibility, mixing and low quality grain |
| | Disconnect of producers and markets |
| | Disconnect of producers and markets Limited processing technologies and consumption diversity at |
| | the household level |
| | Sila has Low yields in very dry hot areas of lower eastern where Gadam survives. |
| Suggestions for addressing | Dissamination of production practices |
| the challenges | Dissemination of production practices Promotion of collective production to reduce bird damage |
| | impact |
| | Mechanize production activities along the value chain |
| | Well organized seed systems |
| | Promote aggregation models encourage collective production |
| | and marketing |
| | • Involve county governments, Extension, marketers and processors in dissemination. |

| Lessons learned if any | Promotion the variety in its right areas of production Promote value addition technologies and use partners innovations such as the "Smart food Initiative" by ICRISAT to promote consumption in local food systems Private Public Partnership promotion and marketing models have worked once in promotion of sorghum Aggregation production and marketing increased yields in eastern Kenya therefore can work in other areas |
|---|---|
| Social, environmental, policy and market conditions necessary | Sorghum is a highly nutritional crop therefore awareness creation for consumption in the society is important. It is cultivated mainly by women hence empowering them will ensure adoption. It is an already "a climate change ready crop" due to its moisture and temperature stress resilience. Sorghum prices are steady due to huge domestic well organized markets and policy. The policy on blending is in the process of implementation. |
| D: Economic, gender, vulner | able and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | KES 15,000 per acre |
| Estimated returns | KES 28,800 per acre. Returns =13,800 |
| Gender issues and concerns | • Most farmer groups are women groups therefore men are left |
| in development, | out in dissemination |
| dissemination, adoption and | • Land ownership, is mainly by men hence getting demonstration |
| scaling up | land from women is always an issue |
| | • The youth are always left out due to lack of participation, |
| | resources and low interest in the presented technologies |
| | Mainly women adopt but may not scale up well with little support by men |
| | Marketing: cultivation is done by youth and women but the |
| | money goes to the men |
| | Labour intensity in almost all activities |
| | Youth are mainly sidelined in sorghum value chain |
| Gender related opportunities | Women and youth friendly production techniques such as mechanization will improve production |
| | Apply enterprising value addition methods for the youth |
| | Friendly trading conditions to allow all gender to participate in |
| | marketing |
| VMG issues and concerns in | low access to dissemination forums and documents |
| development, dissemination, | Illiteracy can hinder adoption |
| adoption and scaling up | Financial difficulties in acquiring facilities |
| | Difficulties in market access |
| VMG related opportunities | Friendly trading conditions to allow them to participate |
| | Value addition and local marketing of the sorghum products |
| E: Case studies/profiles of su | |

| Success stories from | The COPMAS/ sorghum aggregation model by smart logistics solution |
|--------------------------------|---|
| previous similar projects | and other market agents in eastern Kenya |
| Application guidelines for | Reference: |
| users | Sorghum production manual: Enhancing sorghum production and |
| | Marketing in semi-arid Kenya. (http://www.kalro.org/asal-aprp) |
| | Sorghum Training Manual: Enhanced Sorghum Production for Food |
| | Security and Increased Incomes (KCEP). |
| | Sorghum brochure: Sorghum technologies for the drylands. Rachael |
| | Kisilu. (http://www.kalro.org/asal-aprp) |
| | For more info: Agri Seed Co. |
| F: Status of TIMP | Ready for up-scaling |
| readiness (1-ready for | |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | |
| validation; 3-requires further | |
| research) | |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | KALRO-Katumani |
| Lead organization and | KALRO, Rachael Kisilu and C K Kamau |
| scientists | |
| Partner organizations | ICRISAT, Advanta seed Co, East Africa Seed Co. Egerton University |

| 2.1.4 TIMP Name | Sorghum variety SC Smile |
|--|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology |
| innovation or management | |
| practice) | |
| A: Description of the techno | logy, innovation or management practice |
| Problem to be addressed | • Low production (3-5 bags/acre) |
| | Less varieties adapted to humid and sub humid areas |
| | High bird damage on current varieties |
| | • Less varieties for the new sorghum growing areas: Baringo, |
| | Kericho, Wajir, Isiolo, West Pokot |
| What is it? (TIMP | SC Smile is a red open pollinated variety released by AgriSeedCo Ltd |
| description) | in 2016. It suitable for malting. Has grain yield of 2.5 - 3 t/ha. It is |
| | suited for Lowland to medium altitudes – Upto 1500 m.a.s.l e.g. |
| | Busia, Kitui, Kisumu, Machakos, Embu, Meru, Homabay, Bomet, |
| | Thika, Kilifi, Migori, Baringo, Bungoma & Makueni |
| Justification | The red grains make it bird tolerant, it is early (3 months) and widely |
| | adapted in both western and eastern regions. It also has a malting |
| | quality. It has good tolerance to both drought and heat and tolerant to |
| | leaf blight and sooty stripe. |
| B: Assessment of dissemination and scaling up/out approaches | |
| Users of TIMP | • Farmers, Traders, Processors (brewing), Millers, Seed dealers, |
| | Researchers, Extension service. |

| Approaches used in | A |
|--|--|
| Approaches used in | Awareness creation by the seed company |
| dissemination | Agricultural Extension |
| | • Partners (ICRISAT, NGOs) |
| | Farmer to farmer |
| | Promotional materials (posters/brochures/leaflets, manuals) |
| Critical/essential factors for | Awareness creation of the availability of the variety |
| successful promotion | Seed availability and accessibility |
| | Good seed system to ensure quality |
| | Farmer groups |
| | Good Marketing Models |
| | County and central government support |
| | • Funding |
| Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles | KALRO, National Agricultural Research Institutes (NARIs) and International research organizations e.g. ICRISAT to provide variety, seed and production information Malting and brewing industries (EABL) and Market agents to |
| | create markets |
| | Farmers/farmer groups to produce |
| | • County governments, central governments e.g. Chiefs, |
| | Agricultural Extension (Formal and informal) for policy, |
| | awareness and dissemination |
| | NGOs dealing with sorghum e.g. Africa Harvest, Farm Africa |
| | for farmer organizing and mobilization |
| | Seed companies for quality seed multiplication |
| | • Financial institutions e.g. Banks and other credit facilitators for financial solutions |
| | 1. |
| C: Current situation and fut | |
| Counties where already promoted if any | Not known |
| Counties where TIMP will be up scaled | Baringo, Busia, Isiolo, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya |
| Challenges in dissemination | Low promotion of SC Smile variety hence starting from zero awareness |
| | Labour intensity in most of the production activities. |
| | Weak seed systems leading to mixing and low quality grain |
| | Lack of seed multipliers and distributors in the sited counties |
| | Disconnect of producers and markets |
| | Limited processing technologies and consumption diversity at the household level |
| | • |
| Suggestions for addressing | Promotion to create awareness to the variety |
| the challenges | Information dissemination on production practices |
| | Mechanize production activities |

| | Promote Proper marketing models that encourage collective production and marketing |
|---|---|
| | Involve county governments, Extension, marketers and processors. |
| | • Use partners innovations such as the "Smart food Initiative" by |
| | ICRISAT to promote value addition and consumption in local food systems |
| Lessons learned in up scaling | For a new variety on-farm demonstrations and farmer field schools |
| if any | work well in awareness creation and adoption. |
| Social, environmental, policy and market conditions | Creation of awareness on nutritive and commercial importance of the variety. |
| necessary for development | Harmonious gender consideration in research, consumption and |
| and up scaling | marketing. It is cultivated mainly by women hence the need to capacity build them. |
| | It is an already "a climate change ready crop" due to its moisture |
| | and temperature stress resilience. But should be adapted to the |
| | right cultivation areas. |
| | Sorghum prices are steady due to huge domestic well organized |
| | markets however policy review from time to time is necessary |
| | Implementation of the flour blending policy. |
| | • |
| D: Economic, gender, vulner | able and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| , 0 | |
| Basic costs | KES 15,000 per acre |
| Basic costs Estimated returns | KES 15,000 per acre KES 28,800 per acre. Returns =13,800 |
| Basic costs Estimated returns Gender issues and concerns | KES 15,000 per acre KES 28,800 per acre. Returns =13,800 • Most farmer groups are women groups therefore men are left |
| Basic costs Estimated returns Gender issues and concerns in development | KES 15,000 per acre KES 28,800 per acre. Returns =13,800 Most farmer groups are women groups therefore men are left out in dissemination |
| Basic costs Estimated returns Gender issues and concerns | KES 15,000 per acre KES 28,800 per acre. Returns =13,800 • Most farmer groups are women groups therefore men are left |
| Basic costs Estimated returns Gender issues and concerns in development ,dissemination, adoption and | KES 15,000 per acre KES 28,800 per acre. Returns =13,800 Most farmer groups are women groups therefore men are left out in dissemination Land ownership, is mainly by men hence getting demonstration land from women is always an issue The youth are always left out due to lack of participation, |
| Basic costs Estimated returns Gender issues and concerns in development ,dissemination, adoption and | KES 15,000 per acre KES 28,800 per acre. Returns =13,800 Most farmer groups are women groups therefore men are left out in dissemination Land ownership, is mainly by men hence getting demonstration land from women is always an issue The youth are always left out due to lack of participation, resources and low interest in the presented technologies |
| Basic costs Estimated returns Gender issues and concerns in development ,dissemination, adoption and | KES 15,000 per acre KES 28,800 per acre. Returns =13,800 Most farmer groups are women groups therefore men are left out in dissemination Land ownership, is mainly by men hence getting demonstration land from women is always an issue The youth are always left out due to lack of participation, resources and low interest in the presented technologies Mainly women adopt but may not scale up well with little |
| Basic costs Estimated returns Gender issues and concerns in development ,dissemination, adoption and | KES 15,000 per acre KES 28,800 per acre. Returns =13,800 Most farmer groups are women groups therefore men are left out in dissemination Land ownership, is mainly by men hence getting demonstration land from women is always an issue The youth are always left out due to lack of participation, resources and low interest in the presented technologies Mainly women adopt but may not scale up well with little support by men |
| Basic costs Estimated returns Gender issues and concerns in development ,dissemination, adoption and | KES 15,000 per acre KES 28,800 per acre. Returns =13,800 Most farmer groups are women groups therefore men are left out in dissemination Land ownership, is mainly by men hence getting demonstration land from women is always an issue The youth are always left out due to lack of participation, resources and low interest in the presented technologies Mainly women adopt but may not scale up well with little support by men Marketing: cultivation is done by youth and women but the money goes to the men |
| Basic costs Estimated returns Gender issues and concerns in development ,dissemination, adoption and | KES 15,000 per acre KES 28,800 per acre. Returns =13,800 Most farmer groups are women groups therefore men are left out in dissemination Land ownership, is mainly by men hence getting demonstration land from women is always an issue The youth are always left out due to lack of participation, resources and low interest in the presented technologies Mainly women adopt but may not scale up well with little support by men Marketing: cultivation is done by youth and women but the money goes to the men Labour intensity in almost all activities |
| Basic costs Estimated returns Gender issues and concerns in development ,dissemination, adoption and scaling up | KES 15,000 per acre KES 28,800 per acre. Returns =13,800 Most farmer groups are women groups therefore men are left out in dissemination Land ownership, is mainly by men hence getting demonstration land from women is always an issue The youth are always left out due to lack of participation, resources and low interest in the presented technologies Mainly women adopt but may not scale up well with little support by men Marketing: cultivation is done by youth and women but the money goes to the men Labour intensity in almost all activities Youth are mainly sidelined in sorghum value chain |
| Basic costs Estimated returns Gender issues and concerns in development ,dissemination, adoption and | KES 15,000 per acre KES 28,800 per acre. Returns =13,800 Most farmer groups are women groups therefore men are left out in dissemination Land ownership, is mainly by men hence getting demonstration land from women is always an issue The youth are always left out due to lack of participation, resources and low interest in the presented technologies Mainly women adopt but may not scale up well with little support by men Marketing: cultivation is done by youth and women but the money goes to the men Labour intensity in almost all activities Youth are mainly sidelined in sorghum value chain Women and youth friendly production techniques such as |
| Basic costs Estimated returns Gender issues and concerns in development ,dissemination, adoption and scaling up | KES 15,000 per acre KES 28,800 per acre. Returns =13,800 Most farmer groups are women groups therefore men are left out in dissemination Land ownership, is mainly by men hence getting demonstration land from women is always an issue The youth are always left out due to lack of participation, resources and low interest in the presented technologies Mainly women adopt but may not scale up well with little support by men Marketing: cultivation is done by youth and women but the money goes to the men Labour intensity in almost all activities Youth are mainly sidelined in sorghum value chain Women and youth friendly production techniques such as mechanization will improve production |
| Basic costs Estimated returns Gender issues and concerns in development ,dissemination, adoption and scaling up | KES 15,000 per acre Most farmer groups are women groups therefore men are left out in dissemination Land ownership, is mainly by men hence getting demonstration land from women is always an issue The youth are always left out due to lack of participation, resources and low interest in the presented technologies Mainly women adopt but may not scale up well with little support by men Marketing: cultivation is done by youth and women but the money goes to the men Labour intensity in almost all activities Youth are mainly sidelined in sorghum value chain Women and youth friendly production techniques such as mechanization will improve production Apply enterprising value addition methods for the youth |
| Basic costs Estimated returns Gender issues and concerns in development ,dissemination, adoption and scaling up | KES 15,000 per acre KES 28,800 per acre. Returns =13,800 Most farmer groups are women groups therefore men are left out in dissemination Land ownership, is mainly by men hence getting demonstration land from women is always an issue The youth are always left out due to lack of participation, resources and low interest in the presented technologies Mainly women adopt but may not scale up well with little support by men Marketing: cultivation is done by youth and women but the money goes to the men Labour intensity in almost all activities Youth are mainly sidelined in sorghum value chain Women and youth friendly production techniques such as mechanization will improve production |
| Basic costs Estimated returns Gender issues and concerns in development ,dissemination, adoption and scaling up | KES 15,000 per acre Most farmer groups are women groups therefore men are left out in dissemination Land ownership, is mainly by men hence getting demonstration land from women is always an issue The youth are always left out due to lack of participation, resources and low interest in the presented technologies Mainly women adopt but may not scale up well with little support by men Marketing: cultivation is done by youth and women but the money goes to the men Labour intensity in almost all activities Youth are mainly sidelined in sorghum value chain Women and youth friendly production techniques such as mechanization will improve production Apply enterprising value addition methods for the youth Friendly trading conditions to allow all gender to participate in |
| Basic costs Estimated returns Gender issues and concerns in development ,dissemination, adoption and scaling up Gender related opportunities | KES 15,000 per acre KES 28,800 per acre. Returns =13,800 Most farmer groups are women groups therefore men are left out in dissemination Land ownership, is mainly by men hence getting demonstration land from women is always an issue The youth are always left out due to lack of participation, resources and low interest in the presented technologies Mainly women adopt but may not scale up well with little support by men Marketing: cultivation is done by youth and women but the money goes to the men Labour intensity in almost all activities Youth are mainly sidelined in sorghum value chain Women and youth friendly production techniques such as mechanization will improve production Apply enterprising value addition methods for the youth Friendly trading conditions to allow all gender to participate in marketing |

| | Difficulties in market access |
|--|--|
| VMG related opportunities | Friendly trading conditions to allow them to participate |
| | Value addition and local marketing of the sorghum products |
| E: Case studies/profiles of su | iccess stories |
| Success stories from previous similar projects | The brewing market demand that encouraged Gadam sorghum commercialization in 2009-2014 that saw increased production and area under production and coming together of public and private organizations to enhance production hence the COPMAS model By smart logistics solution in lower eastern Kenya |
| Application guidelines for | Reference: |
| users | Sorghum production manual: Enhancing sorghum production and Marketing in semi-arid Kenya. (http://www.kalro.org/asal-aprp) |
| | Sorghum Training Manual: Enhanced Sorghum Production for Food Security and Increased Incomes (KCEP). |
| | Sorghum brochure: Sorghum technologies for the drylands. Rachael |
| | Kisilu. (http://www.kalro.org/asal-aprp) For more info: ICRISAT, Agri Seed Co. |
| F: Status of TIMP | Needs validation because it is not well Known |
| readiness (1-ready for upscaling;, 2-requires validation; 3-requires further research) | Needs validation because it is not well known |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | KALRO-Katumani |
| Lead organization and scientists | KALRO, Rachael Kisilu and C K Kamau |
| Partner organizations | ICRISAT, Agri seedco limited |

| 2.1.5 TIMP Name | Sorghum variety EUSH1 Hybrid | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology | | |
| innovation or management | | | |
| practice) | | | |
| A: Description of the technol | A: Description of the technology, innovation or management practice | | |
| Problem to be addressed | Limited varieties for industrial malting & brewing | | |
| | Low yield of available sorghum for malting &brewing | | |
| What is it? (TIMP | EUSH1 is a high yielding hybrid (4.5 t/ha) with early maturity (3 | | |
| description) | months) and malting (brewing) qualities. It grows in lower midland | | |
| | (1300-1500 Masl) It was released in 2016 by Egerton university and | | |
| | ICRISAT. The grain is suitable for malting and brewing. | | |

| Justification | High yielding, early maturing, can be used for consumption and malting (brewing). Can be adapted to eastern and western and other sorghum areas. There is need to have suitable sorghum varieties to satisfy existing industrial market created by the EABL mainly in Western Kenya. Local farmers will be contracted to produce the grain thereby providing agribusiness venture that will improve rural livelihood |
|---|--|
| B: Assessment of disseminat | ion and scaling up/out approaches |
| Users of TIMP | • Farmers, Traders, Millers, Processors (brewers), Seed dealers, Researchers. |
| Approaches used in dissemination | Demonstrations, exhibitions, media |
| Critical/essential factors for successful promotion | Availability of seed |
| Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles | KALRO, National Agricultural Research Institutes (NARIs) and International research organizations e.g. ICRISAT to provide variety, seed and production information Malting and brewing industries (EABL) and Market agents to create markets Farmers/farmer groups to produce County governments, central governments e.g. Chiefs, Agricultural Extension (Formal and informal) for policy, awareness and dissemination NGOs dealing with sorghum e.g. Africa Harvest, Farm Africa for farmer organizing and mobilization Seed companies for quality seed multiplication Financial institutions e.g. Banks and other credit facilitators for financial solutions |
| C: Current situation and fut | |
| Counties where already promoted if any | Tharaka, Embu |
| Counties where TIMP will be up scaled | Baringo, Busia, Kericho, Kisumu, Siaya |
| Challenges in dissemination | No seed for commercial supply due to lack of funds to produce |
| Suggestions for addressing the challenges | Funding seed production |
| Lessons learned in up scaling if any | Partnership with stakeholders in solving a challenge quickly yield results |
| Social, environmental, policy and market conditions necessary for development and up scaling | Sorghum is cultivated mainly by women hence empowering them is important. Contractual arrangement between EABL and farmers for grain production also works well with farmers |

| | • Sorghum is an already "a climate change ready crop" due to its moisture and temperature stress resilience. |
|---|--|
| | Sorghum prices are steady due to huge domestic well organized markets. Market is assured by EABL |
| | Policy review from time to time is requred |
| | • The policy on blending is in the process of implementation. |
| D: Economic, gender, vulner | cable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | KES 15,000 Production cost |
| Estimated returns | 20 bags per acre at KES 32 per kg = 57,600 per acre. Net returns 42,600 |
| Gender issues and concerns in development, | In equality within genders on resources such as land, finances and information knowledge |
| dissemination, adoption and scaling up | Hybrid seed may be expensive because it requires farmer to buy every season and this may inter women farmers who are resource poor Labour intensity affects other chores mainly done by women The technologies presented may not appeal to the youth |
| | Low financial and resource empowerment |
| Gender related opportunities | High yield of the hybrid increases production and income for all the groups who will adopt |
| | Creates employment at production, trading, transportation and processing, |
| VMG issues and concerns in | low access to dissemination forums and documents |
| development, dissemination, adoption and scaling up | Illiteracy can hinder adoption Resource poor and Financial difficulties in acquiring facilities Difficulties in market access |
| VMG related opportunities | Provides opportunity for agri-business |
| E: Case studies/profiles of su | access stories |
| Success stories from previous similar projects | none |
| Application guidelines for users | Reference: Sorghum production manual: Enhancing sorghum production and Marketing in semi-arid Kenya. (http://www.kalro.org/asal-aprp) |
| | Sorghum Training Manual : Enhanced Sorghum Production for Food Security and Increased Incomes (KCEP). |
| | Sorghum brochure: Sorghum technologies for the drylands. Rachael Kisilu. (http://www.kalro.org/asal-aprp) |
| | For more info: ICRISAT, Egerton University |
| F: Status of TIMP readiness (1-ready for | Needs validation because it is a new hybrid. |
| | I . |

| upscaling;, 2-requires validation; 3-requires further research) | |
|---|--|
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | Egerton University, KALRO-Katumani |
| Lead organization and scientists | Egerton University, Erick Cheruiyot. KALRO, Rachael Kisilu, C K Kamau |
| Partner organizations | EABL |

| 2.1.6 TIMP Name | Sorghum variety KM 32 -1 (Kamani) |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology |
| innovation or management | |
| practice) | |
| | logy, innovation or management practice |
| Problem to be addressed | Low farm yields |
| | • Sorghum varieties with wide adaptation across the sorghum |
| | growing areas in Kenya. |
| | Adaptation to new sorghum growing areas: Baringo, Kericho, Isiolo, West Pokot |
| What is it? (TIMP | KM 32 -1 (Kamani) is a new sorghum variety released in 2019 by |
| description) | KALRO Katumani therefore ready for validation and promotion. |
| description) | Yield: 2.7-3.8 t/acre. Maturity 3 months, Suited for dry low lands and |
| | dry cold zones and some humid zones. Stay green stress tolerance. |
| | Short and uniform for mechanized harvesting. |
| Justification | It is drought tolerant, has wide adaptability, suited for dry low lands, |
| | dry cold zones and humid zones. Stay green stress tolerance. Short |
| | and uniform for mechanized harvesting. Large white seeds with |
| | brewing quality and good for human consumption |
| B: Assessment of disseminat | ion and scaling up/out approaches |
| Users of TIMP | • Farmers |
| | • Processors (brewers) |
| | Seed dealers |
| | Researchers. |
| Approaches used in | On farm and on station demonstrations |
| dissemination | Training workshops, Field days, Agricultural shows |
| | Mass media – KBC. |
| | Promotional materials (posters/brochures/leaflets, manuals) |
| | Web material's (ASAL APRP Website) |
| Critical/essential factors for | Seed availability and accessibility |
| successful promotion | Good seed system to ensure quality |
| | Farmer groups |
| | Good Marketing Models |
| | County and central government support |

| | - Euradin a to adopt to many areas |
|---|---|
| D | Funding to adapt to new areas |
| Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles | Market agents and Processors e.g. EABL to create the pull County governments, central governments e.g. Chiefs for dissemination and promotion |
| | Agricultural Extension for farmer demonstrations and training |
| | • Researches (KALRO and NARIS), CGIARs e.g. ICRISAT |
| | for varieties and seed provision |
| | NGOs dealing with sorghum e.g. Africa Harvest, Farm Africa for farmer mobilization and organization |
| | Seed companies for multiplying and availing quality seed |
| C: Current situation and fut | |
| Counties where already promoted if any | Parts of Makueni and Tharaka Nithi |
| Counties where TIMP will be up scaled | Baringo, Busia, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya |
| Challenges in dissemination | It is a new variety that needs awareness creation first |
| | Labour intensity in production |
| | Few farmers producing encourage Bird damage and less market opportunities |
| | Seed has not yet been multiplied for promotion |
| | Limited processing technologies and consumption diversity at the household level |
| Suggestions for addressing the challenges | Awareness creation through Information and dissemination of the variety and production practices |
| | Mechanize harvesting and threshing and other activities |
| | Involve county governments, Extension, marketers, processors and farmer groups to produce in large numbers to reduce bird damage and encourage collective production and marketing |
| | Breeder seed multiplication for the seed companies to promote and disseminate |
| | Decentralize seed multiplication to other KALRO institutions in the target areas and engage county govern in seed distribution for ease of accessibility |
| | Promote value addition techniques and Use partners innovations such as the "Smart food Initiative" by ICRISAT to promote value addition and consumption in local food systems |
| Lessons learned in up scaling if any | Private Public Partnership promotion and marketing models have worked once in promotion of sorghum. This can also work with this new variety |
| Social, environmental, policy and market conditions necessary for development and up scaling | • The variety market oriented therefore awareness creation for commercialization in the sorghum society is important. It is cultivated mainly by women hence empowering them with its information will ensure adoption. |

| Di Faanamia gandan yuluar | It is an already "a climate smart crop" due to its moisture and temperature stress resilience hence suitable for the environment Sorghum prices are steady due to huge domestic well organized markets and policy hence this variety will suit well in the market environment. Frequent policy review is important The policy on blending being developed will be favorable for this variety therefore should be fast-tracked |
|---|---|
| | rable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | KES 15,000 per acre |
| Estimated returns | Estimated revenue per acre =KES 48640 per acre Returns = KES 33,640 per acre |
| Gender issues and concerns in development | Most farmer groups are women groups therefore men may be left out in dissemination of new variety |
| ,dissemination, adoption and | Land ownership, is mainly by men hence getting |
| scaling up | demonstration land from women may be an issue |
| 8-1 | The youth are left out due to lack of participation, resources and low interest |
| | Mainly women adopt but may not scale up well with little support by men |
| | Marketing: cultivation is done by youth and women but the money goes to the men |
| | Labour intensity in almost all activities |
| Gender related opportunities | The new sorghum is high yielding hence profitable to the famers who will adopt |
| | The variety is short and uniform hence suitable for mechanized harvesting and this will reduce labour for women and youth and improve production |
| | Application of enterprising value addition methods for the youth is possible because the variety has value addition qualities |
| | Friendly trading conditions to allow all gender to participate in marketing are available for the white variety |
| VMG issues and concerns in | low access to dissemination forums and documents |
| development, dissemination, | Illiteracy can hinder adoption |
| adoption and scaling up | Financial difficulties in acquiring facilities |
| | Difficulties in market access |
| VMG related opportunities | Friendly trading conditions to allow them to participate |
| | Value addition and local marketing of the sorghum products |
| E: Case studies/profiles of su | |
| Success stories | Sorghum commercialization in Kenya |
| Application guidelines for | Reference: |
| users | Sorghum production manual: Enhancing sorghum production and Marketing in semi-arid Kenya. (http://www.kalro.org/asal-aprp) |

| F: Status of TIMP readiness (1-ready for upscaling;, 2-requires validation; 3-requires further research) | Sorghum Training Manual: Enhanced Sorghum Production for Food Security and Increased Incomes (KCEP). Sorghum brochure: Sorghum technologies for the drylands. Rachael Kisilu. (http://www.kalro.org/asal-aprp) Needs validation because it's a new variety |
|--|---|
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | KALRO-Katumani |
| Lead organization and scientists | KALRO, Rachael Kisilu, CK Kamau |
| Partner organizations | ICRISAT, EABL |

| 2.1.7 TIMP Name | Sorghum variety Seredo |
|----------------------------|---|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology |
| innovation or management | |
| practice) | |
| A: Description of the tech | nology, innovation or management practice |
| Problem to be addressed | Low farm yields |
| | Low adaptation and Low adoption |
| | Bird damage |
| What is it? (TIMP | Seredo : Brown OPV sorghum, wide adaptation, bird tolerant, good |
| description) | millimg capacity, yield 2.7 t/ha. Released in 1970s for 250-1750 Masl |
| | by KARI. Matures in 3 months |
| | |
| Justification | This sorghum variety can grow in dry humid areas, and dry low lands. It |
| | is well adapted and Known by farmers. It is mainly used to blend with |
| | cassava and maize flour in western Kenya. In eastern areas farmers feed |
| | directly to chicken. It is not highly damaged by birds due to tannin content |
| | in the grain. Well fitted for western counties. The seed is available. |
| | ation and scaling up/out approaches |
| Users of TIMP | Farmers, traders, millers, seed dealers, researchers. |
| Approaches used in | On farm and on station demonstrations |
| dissemination | Training workshops, Seminars, Meetings |
| | Field days |
| | Agricultural shows |
| | MoA/Extension officers |
| | Partners Networks (KALRO, ICRISAT, NGOs) |
| | Farmer to farmer |
| | Promotional materials (posters/brochures/leaflets, manuals) |

| | Web material's |
|--|---|
| Critical/essential factors for successful promotion | Seed availability and accessibility Good seed system to ensure quality Farmer well organized County and central government support Good value addition and utilization techniques to upgrade up take |
| Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles | Farmers/farmer groups for production County governments, central governments e.g. Chiefs for promotion Agricultural Extension demonstration and training Researches (NARS) and International research organizations e.g. ICRISAT to provide variety and seed NGOs dealing with sorghum e.g. Africa Harvest, Farm Africa for dissemination Seed companies for seed multiplication |
| C: Current situation and | |
| Counties where already promoted if any | Makueni, Kitui, Machakos, Tharaka-Nithi, Embu, Meru, Homabay, Siaya, Kisumu. |
| Counties where TIMP will be up scaled Challenges in dissemination Suggestions for addressing the challenges | Tannin content that causes protein binding and low digestibility and uptake of nutrients. Not very palatable Labour intensity Few market channels for brown varieties Limited processing technologies and consumption diversity at the household and cottage industry level Information dissemination on production practices Promote to the suitable areas and avail seed Identify markets for the brown and red sorghums Involve county governments and extension, and processors. Good seed systems to facilitate accessibility Promote value addition and use partners innovations such as the "Smart food Initiative" by ICRISAT to promote value addition and consumption in local food systems |
| Lessons learned in up scaling if any Social, environmental, policy and market conditions necessary for development and up scaling | Bird tolerance is a very important attribute in sorghum adoption therefore should be pursued Awareness on the highly nutritional importance of the variety in the community. It is cultivated mainly by women hence empowering them will work. It is an already "a climate change ready crop" due to its moisture and temperature stress resilience. |

| | Brown sorghum varieties prices have improved due to the white sorghum marketing. |
|--|--|
| | The policy on blending is in the process and implementation needs to be |
| | in place to facilitate more production |
| | nerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | KES 15,000 per acre |
| Estimated returns | Estimated revenue per acre = KES 38,800 per acre |
| Gender issues and | Returns = KES 13,800 per acre |
| concerns in development | Land ownership, financial empowerment, information and avarances consumption diversity. |
| ,dissemination, adoption | awareness, consumption diversity. |
| and scaling up | Labour intensity in almost all activities affects women. Youth are mainly sidelined in sorghum value chain. Most groups are women therefore men take long to adopt |
| | The variety palatability and colour issues affecting consumption and marketing. |
| | Appropriate training materials and strategies. |
| Gender related | Women and youth friendly production techniques such as |
| opportunities | mechanization. |
| | Apply enterprising value addition methods for the youth. Description |
| | Friendly trading conditions to allow women and youth to |
| VMG issues and concerns | participate in marketing. |
| in development, | Unfriendly production practices |
| dissemination, adoption | Un friendly dissemination methods and documents Education property acceptance and documents |
| and scaling up | Education, poverty, access to markets. |
| VMG related | Value addition and market access |
| opportunities | |
| E: Case studies/profiles of | success stories |
| Success stories from previous similar projects | This sorghum variety has been adopted and used for a long time by consumers to prepare dishes like Ugali, porridge, traditional beer and for blending with maize and cassava flours. It is also the main chicken feed in traditional chicken rearing setups. It is bird tolerant hence it is important to promote its uptake more. |
| Application guidelines for | Reference: |
| users | Sorghum production manual: Enhancing sorghum production and Marketing in semi-arid Kenya. (http://www.kalro.org/asal-aprp) |
| | Sorghum Training Manual : Enhanced Sorghum Production for Food Security and Increased Incomes (KCEP). |
| | Sorghum brochure: Sorghum technologies for the drylands. Rachael Kisilu. (http://www.kalro.org/asal-aprp) |
| | For more information: KALRO Katumani,ICRISAT |

| F: Status of TIMP | Ready for up-scaling |
|------------------------|--|
| readiness (1-ready for | |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | |
| validation; 3-requires | |
| further research) | |
| F: Contacts | |
| Contacts | KALRO-Katumani |
| Lead organization and | KALRO-Rachael Kisilu, CK Kamau |
| scientists | |
| Partner organizations | ICRISAT, Kenya seed company, Dry land seed company |
| _ | |

| 2.1.8 TIMP Name | Sorghum Variety Serena |
|----------------------------|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology |
| innovation or management | |
| practice) | |
| | nology, innovation or management practice |
| Problem to be addressed | Low farm yields |
| | Low adoption |
| | Bird damage |
| What is it? (TIMP | Brown OPV sorghum, early maturity, wide adaptation, bird tolerance, |
| description) | good milling qualities, yield 2.7 t/ha. Released in 1970s by KARI. |
| Justification | Serena grows in dry low lands as well as dry humid areas. Early |
| | maturing. |
| | It is well adapted and known by farmers. It is mainly used to blend with |
| | cassava and maize flow in the western. In eastern areas farmers feed |
| | directly to chicken. It is not highly damaged by birds due to tannin content |
| | in the grain. Well fitted for most of the sorghum growing counties. The |
| | seed is available. |
| | ation and scaling up/out approaches |
| Users of TIMP | Farmers, traders, millers, processors (brewers), seed dealers, researchers. |
| Approaches used in | On farm and on station demonstrations |
| dissemination | Training workshops, Seminars, Meetings |
| | Field days and Agricultural shows |
| | MoA/Extension officers |
| | Partners (ICRISAT, NGOs) |
| Critical/essential factors | Seed availability and accessibility |
| for successful promotion | Good seed system |
| | County and central government support |
| | Good value addition and utilization techniques to upgrade up take |
| Partners/stakeholders for | Farmers/farmer groups to take part in participatory dissemination |
| scaling up and their roles | • County governments, central governments e.g. Chiefs for |
| | awareness creation |
| L | |

| | Ţ |
|---------------------------|---|
| | Agricultural Extension for demonstration and promotion Researches (NARS& CGIAR) for variety, information and seed provision NGOs dealing with sorghum Seed companies for mobilization and dissemination |
| C: Current situation and | future scaling up |
| Counties where already | Makueni, Kitui, Machakos, Tharaka-Nithi, Embu, Meru, |
| promoted if any | Homabay, Siaya, Kisumu. |
| Counties where TIMP will | Baringo, Busia, Isiolo, Kericho, Laikipia, Mandera |
| be up scaled | St, and a, at t, at t, at t |
| Challenges in | Tannin content that causes protein binding and low digestibility |
| dissemination | and uptake of nutrients. Low palatability |
| | Labour intensity in production |
| | Few market channels |
| | Limited processing technologies and consumption diversity at |
| | the household level. |
| Suggestions for | Information dissemination on production practices |
| addressing the challenges | Identify markets for the brown and red sorghums |
| dedressing the chancinges | |
| | Involve county governments and extension, and processors. Cood and systems for brown varieties. |
| | Good seed systems for brown varieties Use party are impossible as the "Smoot feed Initiative" by |
| | Use partners innovations such as the "Smart food Initiative" by ICRIS AT to promote value addition and consumption in legal. |
| | ICRISAT to promote value addition and consumption in local food systems. |
| Lessons learned in up | |
| scaling if any | Bird tolerance is a very important attribute in sorghum adoption therefore should be pursued. |
| Scaling it any | Farmers need persistent hands on training in proper agronomic |
| | practices, Marketing and value addition for proper impact. |
| | |
| Social, environmental, | Awareness on the highly nutritional importance of sorghum |
| policy and market | It is cultivated mainly by women hence empowering them will work. |
| conditions necessary for | It is an already "a climate change ready crop" due to its moisture |
| development and up | and temperature stress resilience. |
| scaling | Brown sorghum varieties prices are better due to white sorghum |
| | marketing. |
| | The policy on blending is in the process and implementation |
| | needs to be in place. |
| | nerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | KES 15,000 per acre |
| Estimated returns | Estimated revenue per acre = KES 38,800 per acre Returns = KES 13,800 per acre |
| Gender issues and | • Land ownership, financial empowerment, information and |
| concerns in development | awareness, consumption diversity, Women farmer groups are |
| ,dissemination, adoption | more than men therefore women are more reached than men. |
| and scaling up | • Labour intensity in almost all activities affects women. There is |
| | need for mechanization. |

| | Youth are mainly sidelined in sorghum value chain |
|---|--|
| | Men adopt slowly because they are not in groups |
| | |
| Gender related | Appropriate training materials and strategies. Women and youth friendly production techniques such as mechanization |
| | Apply enterprising value addition methods for the youth |
| opportunities | Friendly trading conditions to allow women and youth to participate in |
| | marketing |
| | marketing |
| VMG issues and concerns | Unfriendly production practices |
| in development, | Un friendly dissemination methods and documents |
| dissemination, adoption | Poverty and low education, in access to market and improved |
| and scaling up | varieties |
| VMG related | Market opportunities and value addition |
| opportunities | Warket opportunities and varie addition |
| E: Case studies/profiles of | success stories |
| Success stories from | This sorghum variety has been adopted and used for a long time by |
| previous similar projects | consumers to prepare dishes like Ugali, porridge, traditional beer and for |
| r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | blending with maize and cassava flours. It is also the main chicken feed |
| | in traditional chicken rearing setups. It is bird tolerant hence it is |
| | important to promote its uptake more |
| Application guidelines for | Reference: |
| users | Sorghum production manual: Enhancing sorghum production and |
| | Marketing in semi-arid Kenya. (http://www.kalro.org/asal-aprp) |
| | |
| | Sorghum Training Manual: Enhanced Sorghum Production for Food |
| | Security and Increased Incomes (KCEP). |
| | |
| | Sorghum brochure: Sorghum technologies for the drylands. Rachael |
| | Kisilu. (<u>http://www.kalro.org/asal-aprp</u>) |
| | For more information: KALRO Katumani, ICRISAT |
| | For more mormation. RALKO Ratumam, ICRISAT |
| | |
| F: Status of TIMP | Ready for up-scaling |
| readiness (1-ready for | |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | |
| validation; 3-requires | |
| further research) | |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | KALRO-Katumani |
| Lead organization and | KALRO-Rachael Kisilu and C K Kamau |
| scientists | |
| Partner organizations | ICRISAT, Kenya seed company, dry land seed company |
| | |

| 2.1.9 TIMP Name | Sorghum variety E97 |
|--|---|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology |
| innovation or management | |
| practice) | |
| | nology, innovation or management practice |
| Problem to be addressed | Low farm yields |
| | Low adaptation and Low adoption |
| | Bird damage |
| Wilest is 149 (TIMD | Low consumption |
| What is it? (TIMP | The variety was released in 2017 by Rongo university and has a yield |
| description) | of 4-4.5 t/ha, matures in 90 days. It is suited for western Kenya (Kakamega county), around the low land areas of Lake Victoria basin |
| | (Homabay, migori, siaya, Kisumu, busia,), Eastern Kenya, |
| | (Machakos, Kitui, Embu |
| Justification | It has tolerance to head smut. It is also drought tolerant and |
| Justification | moderately tolerant to striga and tolerant to aluminium toxicity and |
| | law levels of phosphorous in the soil. |
| B: Assessment of dissemination and scaling up/out approaches | |
| Users of TIMP | Farmers, millers, seed dealers, researchers. |
| Approaches used in | On farm demonstrations |
| dissemination | Promotional materials (posters/brochures/leaflets, manuals) |
| | Farmer field days |
| | Agricultural shows |
| Critical/essential factors | Seed availability and accessibility |
| for successful promotion | County and central government support |
| | Funding to adapt to new areas |
| | Agricultural extension |
| Partners/stakeholders for | • Farmers/farmer groups to take part in participatory |
| scaling up and their roles | dissemination |
| | County governments, central governments e.g. Chiefs for |
| | awareness creation |
| | Agricultural Extension for demonstration and promotion |
| | • Researches (NARS& CGIAR) for variety, information and |
| | seed provision |
| | NGOs dealing with sorghum Seed companies for mobilization |
| | and dissemination |
| C: Current situation and f | |
| Counties where already | None |
| promoted if any | |
| Counties where TIMP will | Newly released therefore needs promotion to the target counties |
| be up scaled | Kisumu, Siaya, ,Baringo, Busia, Kericho, Laikipia, |
| Challenges in | It is a new variety hence dissemination will start from zero |
| dissemination | Labour intensity in harvesting and threshing. |
| | Weak seed systems leading to mixing and low quality grain |

| Suggestions for addressing the challenges Lessons learned in up | Lack of seed multipliers and distributors in the target counties Few market channels Limited processing technologies and consumption diversity at the household level. Validation and adaptation participatory trials to create awareness. Information dissemination on production practices Promote to the suitable areas and avail seed. Involve county governments and extension, and processors. Good sees multiplication and distribution channels. Value addition techniques. Farmers need persistent hands on training in proper agronomic |
|--|--|
| scaling if any | practices, Marketing and value addition for proper impact. |
| Social, environmental, policy and market conditions necessary for development and up scaling | Awareness on the highly nutritional importance of sorghum It is cultivated mainly by women hence empowering them will work. It is an already "a climate change ready crop" due to its moisture and temperature stress resilience. The policy on blending is in the process and implementation needs to be in place. Policy review on sorghum marketing from time to time. |
| D: Economic, gender, vulu | nerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | KES 15,000 per acre |
| Estimated returns | Estimated revenue per acre = KES 38,800 per acre Returns = KES 13,800 per acre |
| Gender issues and concerns in development , dissemination, adoption and scaling up | Land ownership, financial empowerment, information and awareness, consumption diversity. Labour intensity in almost all activities affects women. There is need for mechanization. Youth are mainly sidelined in sorghum value chain due to lack of enterprising innovations. |
| Gender related opportunities | Women and youth friendly production techniques such as mechanization Apply enterprising value addition methods for the youth Friendly trading conditions to allow women and youth to participate in marketing |
| VMG issues and concerns in development, dissemination, adoption and scaling up | Unfriendly production practices Un friendly dissemination methods and documents Financial Issues and low education |
| VMG related opportunities | Resource empowerment Access to market opportunities Value addition opportunities |

| E: Case studies/profiles of success stories | |
|---|---|
| Success stories | |
| Application guidelines for | Reference: |
| users | Sorghum production manual: Enhancing sorghum production and |
| | Marketing in semi-arid Kenya. (http://www.kalro.org/asal-aprp) |
| | Sorghum Training Manual: Enhanced Sorghum Production for |
| | Food Security and Increased Incomes (KCEP). |
| | Sorghum brochure: Sorghum technologies for the drylands. Rachael |
| | Kisilu. (<u>http://www.kalro.org/asal-aprp</u>) |
| | For more information: Rongo University |
| F: Status of TIMP | Needs validation because it's a new technology |
| readiness (1-ready for | |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | |
| validation; 3-requires | |
| further research) | |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | KALRO-Katumani |
| Lead organization and | KALRO, Rongo university: -Rachael Kisilu, CK Kamau |
| scientists | |
| Partner organizations | Rongo university |

| 2.1.10 TIMP Name | Sorghum variety BJ28 |
|---|---|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology |
| innovation or management | |
| practice) | |
| A: Description of the technology, innovation or management practice | |
| Problem to be addressed | Lack of animal feed |
| | Low promotion, adaptation and adoption in the target areas |
| | |
| What is it? (TIMP | An OPV variety released in 1978 by KARI Lanet for grain (food) |
| description) | and forage. The grain yield is 2.5-3 t/ha and is for dry high lands |
| | and High Potential areas (750-2300 m asl). The sorghum variety is |
| | well suited to 750-2300 m asl in dry high lands and high potential |
| | areas. |
| Justification | The variety is well adapted to cold dry zones were most sorghum |
| | varieties fail. Sorghum harvested at the soft dough stage of |
| | development and stored as silage contains 52 to 65% dry matter |
| | digestibility, 8 to 12% crude protein, 60 to 75% neutral detergent fiber, |

| | and 34 to 40% acid detergent fiber. The higher the grain content, the | |
|---|---|--|
| 77. 4. 6.71. | higher the digestibility. Ensiled grain has a digestibility of about 90%. | |
| | ation and scaling up/out approaches | |
| Users of TIMP | Livestock farmers, seed dealers, researchers. | |
| Approaches used in | On farm and on station demonstrations | |
| dissemination | Training workshops, Seminars, Meetings | |
| | Field days | |
| | Agricultural shows MoA/Extension officers | |
| | Farmer to farmer | |
| | Promotional materials (posters/brochures/leaflets, manuals) | |
| Critical/essential factors | Adaptation to new areas for livestock forage | |
| for successful promotion | Quality seed availability and Good seed system | |
| for successful promotion | County government and extension support | |
| Partners/stakeholders for | Farmers to take part in participatory dissemination | |
| scaling up and their roles | Researches for variety, information and seed provision | |
| | Extension and Livestock Partners for dissemination | |
| C: Current situation and f | C: Current situation and future scaling up | |
| Counties where already | Nakuru | |
| promoted if any | | |
| Counties where TIMP will be up scaled | Baringo, Busia, Isiolo, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya | |
| Challenges in | The fodder sorghum are not well adapted for the lower dry lands | |
| dissemination | Seed scarcity because of low demand: multiplication does not benefit seed dealers | |
| | But there is a high demand for forage sorghum therefore there is a gap between the seed producers and the growers | |
| Suggestions for | Seed multiplication for fodder sorghums | |
| addressing the challenges | • promotion to connect the farmers to the seed sources | |
| Lessons learned in up | Seed multiplication and creation of awareness through dissemination | |
| scaling if any Social, environmental, | is important after release Most small scale farmers practice mixed farming and always need | |
| policy and market | livestock feed. | |
| conditions necessary for | There is need to adapt the available varieties to various environments | |
| development and up | There is need to adapt the available varieties to various environments | |
| scaling | | |
| <u>-</u> | nerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations | |
| Basic costs | Low cost in starting a sorghum fodder crop | |
| Estimated returns | High due to nutritive value to animals and also sell of fodder to other livestock farmers | |
| ~ | | |
| Gender issues and | Lack of different gender involvement in development and | |
| Gender issues and concerns in development | Lack of different gender involvement in development and dissemination plans | |

| Gender related | The high demand of animal feed can be enterprising |
|---|--|
| opportunities | |
| VMG issues and concerns | Un friendly production practices, un friendly dissemination methods |
| in development, | and documents |
| dissemination, adoption | Lack of resources |
| and scaling up | In ability to access promotion and dissemination forums |
| VMG related | Market opportunities for forage |
| opportunities | |
| E: Case studies/profiles of success stories | |
| Success stories from | Sorghum forage is more nutritious than most fodder grasses and maize |
| previous similar projects | |
| Application guidelines for | Brochures and leaflets |
| users | For more details contact KALRO Lanet |
| F: Status of TIMP | Ready for up-scaling |
| readiness (1-ready for | |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | |
| validation; 3-requires | |
| further research) | |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | KALRO-Lanet and Kakamega |
| Lead organization and | KALRO – Rachael Kisilu, C K Kamau |
| scientists | |
| Partner organizations | Seed companies, ILRI |

| 2.1.11 TIMP Name | Sorghum variety Ikinyaluka |
|---|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology |
| innovation or management | |
| practice) | |
| A: Description of the technology, innovation or management practice | |
| Problem to be addressed | Lack of enough varieties for forage |
| What is it? (TIMP | Ikinyaluka: For both production of forage 8t/ha in dry high lands |
| description) | and High Potential areas (750-2300 m asl) |
| | It was released in 1997 in KARI Kakmega |
| Justification | It is well adapted to cold dry zones were most sorghum varieties fail. |
| | It is well known to farmers for fodder production. |
| | Sorghum harvested at the soft dough stage of development and stored |
| | as silage contains 52 to 65% dry matter digestibility, 8 to 12% crude |
| | protein, 60 to 75% neutral detergent fiber, and 34 to 40% acid |
| | detergent fiber. The higher the grain content, the higher the |
| | digestibility. Ensiled grain has a digestibility of about 90%. |
| B: Assessment of dissemination and scaling up/out approaches | |
| Users of TIMP | Livestock farmers, seed dealers, researchers. |

| Approaches used in | On farm and on station demonstrations |
|----------------------------|---|
| dissemination | Training workshops, Seminars, Meetings |
| | Field days, Agricultural shows |
| | MoA/Extension officers |
| | Farmer to farmer |
| | Promotional materials (posters/brochures/leaflets, manuals) |
| Critical/essential factors | Adaptation to new areas for livestock forage |
| for successful promotion | Quality seed availability and Good seed system |
| | County government and extension support. |
| Partners/stakeholders for | Farmers to participate in promotion |
| scaling up and their roles | Researches to provide variety and seed and management |
| | information |
| | Extension and Livestock Partners for dissemination. |
| C: Current situation and | |
| Counties where already | Kisumu, Siaya, Busia, |
| promoted if any | |
| Counties where TIMP will | Baringo, Busia, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Siaya, |
| be up scaled | |
| Challenges in | The fodder sorghums are not well adapted for the lower dry |
| dissemination | lands |
| | Seed scarcity because of low demand: multiplication does not |
| | benefit seed dealers |
| | But there is a high demand for forage sorghum therefore there |
| | is a gap between the seed producers and the growers. |
| Suggestions for | Introduce fodder sorghums for dry low lands and humid dry |
| addressing the challenges | western areas |
| | Seed multiplication for fodder sorghums |
| | promotion to connect the farmers to the seed sources. |
| Lessons learned in up | Lack f awareness of seed source for fodder sorghums has hindered |
| scaling if any | their cultivation by farmers |
| Social, environmental, | The Livestock farmers will need of resilient fodder crops which |
| policy and market | can withstand climate change stresses such as sorghum. |
| conditions necessary for | Fodder sorghum varieties for various environments where |
| development and up | livestock keeping is practised. |
| scaling | |
| | nerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | Low cost in starting a sorghum fodder crop |
| Estimated returns | High due to nutritive value to animals and also sell of fodder to other livestock farmers |
| Gender issues and | Involve gender in dissemination plans |
| concerns in development | Appropriate training materials and strategies |
| ,dissemination, adoption | |
| and scaling up | |
| Gender related | The high demand of animal feed can be enterprising |
| opportunities | |

| VMG issues and concerns | Friendly production practices, friendly dissemination methods and |
|-----------------------------|--|
| in development, | documents should be availed |
| dissemination, adoption | |
| and scaling up | |
| VMG related | Market opportunities for forage |
| opportunities | |
| E: Case studies/profiles of | |
| Success stories from | Sorghum forage is more nutritious than most fodder grasses and maize |
| previous similar projects | |
| Application guidelines for | Brochures, leaflets |
| users | For more details contact: KALRO Kakamega |
| | |
| | |
| F: Status of TIMP | Ready for up-scaling |
| readiness (1-ready for | |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | |
| validation; 3-requires | |
| further research) | |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | KALRO-Lanet and Kakamega |
| Lead organization and | KALRO – Rachael Kisilu and C K Kamau |
| scientists | |
| Partner organizations | ICRISAT, KALRO Lanet & KALRO Kakamega |

| 2.1.12 TIMP Name | Sorghum variety E 1291 variety | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology | | | |
| innovation or management | | | | |
| practice) | | | | |
| A: Description of the techn | nology, innovation or management practice | | | |
| Problem to be addressed | Lack of sorghum forage varieties | | | |
| What is it? (TIMP | Dual Purpose variety for grain (2.7 t/ha) and forage (2.7 t/ha) It is | | | |
| description) | also good for sorghum beverage. It is well suited to 750-2300 m asl | | | |
| | in dry high lands and high potential areas. It was released in 2000 by | | | |
| | KARI Lanet | | | |
| Justification | E1291 is well known to farmers for fodder production. The | | | |
| | technologies are ready for promotion and seed is easily available with | | | |
| | KALRO Centres. Sorghum harvested at the soft dough stage of | | | |
| | development and stored as silage contains 52 to 65% dry matter | | | |
| | digestibility, 8 to 12% crude protein, 60 to 75% neutral detergent fiber, | | | |
| | and 34 to 40% acid detergent fiber. The higher the grain content, the | | | |
| | higher the digestibility. Ensiled grain has a digestibility of about 90%. | | | |
| B: Assessment of dissemination and scaling up/out approaches | | | | |

| Users of TIMP | Livestock farmers, seed dealers, researchers. | | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Approaches used in | On farm and on station demonstrations | | | |
| dissemination | Training workshops, Seminars, Meetings | | | |
| | Field days | | | |
| | Agricultural shows | | | |
| | MoA/Extension officers | | | |
| | Farmer to farmer | | | |
| | Promotional materials (posters/brochures/leaflets, manuals) | | | |
| Critical/essential factors | Adaptation to new areas for livestock forage | | | |
| for successful promotion | Quality seed availability and Good seed system | | | |
| Processor Processor | County government and extension support | | | |
| Partners/stakeholders for | • Farmers/farmer groups to take part in participatory | | | |
| scaling up and their roles | dissemination | | | |
| Strang of the stranger | County governments, central governments e.g. Chiefs for | | | |
| | awareness creation | | | |
| | Agricultural Extension for demonstration and promotion | | | |
| | Researches (NARS& CGIAR) and seed companies for variety, | | | |
| | information and seed provision | | | |
| | NGOs dealing with livestock farmers for mobilization and | | | |
| | dissemination. | | | |
| | dissemination. | | | |
| C: Current situation and f | future scaling up | | | |
| Counties where already | Nakuru | | | |
| promoted if any | | | | |
| Counties where TIMP will | Baringo, Busia, Isiolo, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya, | | | |
| be up scaled | | | | |
| Challenges in | The fodder sorghum have not well promoted hence no bench | | | |
| dissemination | mark data. | | | |
| | Seed scarcity because of low demand: multiplication does not | | | |
| | benefit seed dealers. | | | |
| | But there is a high demand for forage sorghum therefore | | | |
| | there is a gap between the seed producers and the growers. | | | |
| Suggestions for | Introduce fodder sorghums for dry low lands and humid dry | | | |
| addressing the challenges | western areas | | | |
| | Seed multiplication for fodder sorghums | | | |
| | • promotion to connect the farmers to the seed sources | | | |
| Lessons learned in up | The demand for forage sorghum is high butt there is need to produce | | | |
| scaling if any | seed and connect farmers to forage seed source | | | |
| | | | | |
| Social, environmental, | Sorghum is a highly nutritional crop even for livestock consumption. | | | |
| policy and market | There is need to adapt the available varieties to various environments | | | |
| conditions necessary for | | | | |
| development and up | | | | |
| scaling | | | | |
| D: Economic, gender, vulu | nerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations | | | |
| Basic costs | Low cost in starting a sorghum fodder crop | | | |

| Estimated returns | High due to nutritive value to animals and also sell of fodder to other | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | livestock farmers | | | |
| Gender issues and | Land ownership in equality | | | |
| concerns in development | Financial empowerment differences , | | | |
| ,dissemination, adoption | Awareness gap | | | |
| and scaling up | Inequality in resources and knowledge | | | |
| Gender related | The high demand of animal feed can be enterprising | | | |
| opportunities | | | | |
| VMG issues and concerns | Un friendly production practices, | | | |
| in development, | unfriendly dissemination methods and documents | | | |
| dissemination, adoption | Lack of resources | | | |
| and scaling up | Inability to access production resources and required facilities | | | |
| VMG related | Market opportunities for forage | | | |
| opportunities | | | | |
| E: Case studies/profiles of | | | | |
| Success stories from | Sorghum forage is more nutritious than most fodder grasses and maize | | | |
| previous similar projects | | | | |
| Application guidelines for | -Technical reports, brochures, leaf lets and fact sheets | | | |
| users | For more details contact KALRO Lanet | | | |
| F: Status of TIMP | Ready for up-scaling | | | |
| readiness (1-ready for | | | | |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | | | | |
| validation; 3-requires | | | | |
| further research) | | | | |
| G: Contacts | | | | |
| Contacts | KALRO-Lanet and Kakamega | | | |
| Lead organization and | KALRO – Rachael Kisilu and CK Kamau | | | |
| scientists | | | | |
| Partner organizations | ICRISAT, KALRO Lanet & KALRO Kakamega | | | |

| 2.1.13 TIMP Name | Sorghum variety E6518 | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology | | | |
| innovation or management | | | | |
| practice) | | | | |
| A: Description of the technology, innovation or management practice | | | | |
| Problem to be addressed | Animal fodder | | | |
| What is it? (TIMP | • Dual Purpose for grain (3.4 t/ha) and high quality fodder (7.2 | | | |
| description) | t/ha). | | | |

| | Well suited to 750-2300 m asl in dry high lands and high | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | potential areas. • Polessed by KARI Lengt in 2000 for forego | | | | | |
| | Released by KARI Lanet in 2000 for forage. The provides in the land of t | | | | | |
| Justification | The variety is well adapted to cold dry zones. Sorghum harvested at the soft dough stage of development and stored as silage contains 52 to 65% dry matter digestibility, 8 to 12% crude protein, 60 to 75% neutral detergent fiber, and 34 to 40% acid detergent fibre. The higher the grain content, the higher the digestibility. Ensiled grain has a digestibility of about 90%. | | | | | |
| B: Assessment of dissemin | ation and scaling up/out approaches | | | | | |
| Users of TIMP | Livestock farmers, seed dealers, researchers. | | | | | |
| Approaches used in | On farm and on station demonstrations | | | | | |
| dissemination | Training workshops, Seminars, Meetings | | | | | |
| | Field days | | | | | |
| | Agricultural shows | | | | | |
| | MoA/Extension officers | | | | | |
| | Farmer to farmer | | | | | |
| | Promotional materials (posters/brochures/leaflets, manuals) | | | | | |
| Critical/essential factors | Adaptation to new areas for livestock forage | | | | | |
| for successful promotion | Quality seed availability and Good seed system | | | | | |
| | County government and extension support. | | | | | |
| Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles | Farmers/farmer groups to take part in participatory dissemination County governments, central governments e.g. Chiefs for awareness creation Agricultural Extension for demonstration and promotion Researches (NARS& CGIAR) and seed companies for variety, information and seed provision NGOs dealing with livestock farmers for mobilization and dissemination | | | | | |
| C: Current situation and f | | | | | | |
| Counties where already promoted if any | Nakuru | | | | | |
| Counties where TIMP will be up scaled | Baringo, Busia, Isiolo, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya | | | | | |
| Challenges in dissemination | Seed scarcity because of low demand: multiplication does not benefit seed dealers. | | | | | |
| | The high demand for forage sorghum seed but a gap between the seed producers and the growers. | | | | | |
| suggetions for addressing | Seed multiplication for fodder sorghums | | | | | |
| the challenges | promotion to connect the farmers to the seed sources | | | | | |

| | T | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Lessons learned in up | Forage sorghum are in high demand but farmers are not aware of the | | | | |
| scaling if any | improved varieties. Promotion opportunities for varieties after release | | | | |
| | should be availed to enable awareness | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Social, environmental, | Sorghum is a highly nutritional crop even for livestock consumption. | | | | |
| policy and market | There is need to promote and adapt the available varieties to the | | | | |
| conditions necessary for | various target areas | | | | |
| development and up | | | | | |
| scaling | | | | | |
| D: Economic, gender, vuli | nerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations | | | | |
| Basic costs | Low cost in starting a sorghum fodder crop | | | | |
| Estimated returns | High due to nutritive value to animals and also sell of fodder to other | | | | |
| | livestock farmers | | | | |
| Gender issues and | Land ownership, financial empowerment, awareness | | | | |
| concerns in development | Inappropriate training materials and strategies | | | | |
| ,dissemination, adoption | In equality in resources and Knowledge. | | | | |
| and scaling up | in equality in resources and renowledge. | | | | |
| Gender related | The high demand of animal feed can be enterprising | | | | |
| opportunities | | | | | |
| VMG issues and concerns | • Un friendly production practices, dissemination methods and | | | | |
| in development, | documents | | | | |
| dissemination, adoption | In ability to access promotion forums | | | | |
| and scaling up | Lack of required resources and facilities to adopt | | | | |
| VMG related | Market opportunities for forage | | | | |
| opportunities | The state of the s | | | | |
| E: Case studies/profiles of | success stories | | | | |
| Success stories from | Sorghum forage is more nutritious than most fodder grasses and maize | | | | |
| previous similar projects | | | | | |
| Application guidelines for | Brochures and leaflets | | | | |
| users | For more details contact KALRO Lanet | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| F: Status of TIMP | Ready for up-scaling | | | | |
| readiness (1-ready for | | | | | |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | | | | | |
| validation; 3-requires | | | | | |
| further research) | | | | | |
| G: Contacts | | | | | |
| Contacts | KALRO-Lanet and Kakamega | | | | |
| Lead organization and | KALRO – Rachael Kisilu, CK Kamau, Erric Cheruiyot | | | | |
| scientists | ,, | | | | |
| Partner organizations | KALRO Lanet | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| 2.1.14 TIMP Name Sorghum variety Sweet Sorg 4 | 2.1.14 TIMP Name | Sorghum variety Sweet Sorg 4 |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|
|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|

| Category (i.e. technology, innovation or management | Technology | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| practice) | nology innovation or management practice | | | | |
| | nology, innovation or management practice | | | | |
| Problem to be addressed | Limited alternative sources of raw materials for industrial ethanol production. Unavailable local sorghum varieties for ethanol production by agro-chemical industries in Kenya. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| What is it? (TIMP description) | This a sorghum variety with a grain yield of 2.9 t/ha and matures within 3 months. Grows in altitudes of 250-1750 masl which includes Mwea, Homabay, Bungoma. It is used for ethanol production and grain for consumption. It was released by Kenya Seed Company in 2016. | | | | |
| Justification | Sweet sorghum are the varieties of sorghum whose stalks have a high sugar content. Sweet sorghum thrives better under drier and warmer conditions and is grown primarily for forage, silage, and syrup production. These sweet sorghum are widely adapted to the various parts of Kenya. There is a gap in production of sweet sorghums which needs to be solved through promotion of the available varieties. Sweet sorghums are used for bagasse, ethanol biofuel from the grain and stem juice, sugar and livestock feed stock. There is need for evaluation, selection and release of suitable sweet sorghum genotypes as feedstock varieties for ethanol. Through contractual arrangement, the producers will be engaged in production which will lead to improved farmer-income and better rural livelihood | | | | |
| B: Assessment of dissemin | ation and scaling up/out approaches | | | | |
| Users of TIMP | Agrochemical Industries and sorghum farmers | | | | |
| Approaches used in dissemination | Demonstrations, exhibitions, media | | | | |
| Critical/essential factors for successful promotion | Creation of Industrial use opportunities | | | | |
| Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles | Spectre International/ other agrochemical industries to process and create demand | | | | |
| C: Current situation and f | future scaling up | | | | |
| Counties where already promoted if any | Information only | | | | |
| Counties where TIMP will be up scaled | Baringo, Busia, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya, | | | | |
| Challenges in dissemination | Low awareness of the varieties and their production practices Low awareness of uses of sweet sorghums Pilot testing by agrochemical industry needed No seed due to lack of funds to produce seed | | | | |

| Suggestions for addressing the challenges | Information dissemination on the varieties production practices Re-visit initial discussion with Spectre International |
|--|--|
| addressing the chancinges | Funding for seed production |
| Lessons learned in up scaling if any | Partnership with stakeholders in solving a challenge quickly yield results |
| Social, environmental, policy and market conditions necessary for development and up scaling | Sorghum does better than maize in moisture deficit environment, has no negative environmental effect. Industries should be compelled to use local materials in their manufacturing process. |
| D: Economic, gender, vulu | nerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | 350/- per kg of seed |
| Estimated returns | |
| Gender issues and concerns in development and dissemination | Sorghum production has no bias, it can be grown by any group interested in agri-business. |
| Gender issues and concerns in development, dissemination, adoption and scaling up | Inequality in resources, finances and knowledge. |
| Gender related opportunities | Creates employment at production, transportation, processing and distribution |
| VMG issues and concerns | Low participation in development and dissemination |
| in development, dissemination, adoption and scaling up | Low empowerment |
| VMG related opportunities | Opportunity for income generation if market opportunities are there |
| E: Case studies/profiles of | |
| Success stories from previous similar projects | In Brazil, China, USA |
| Application guidelines for users | Sorghum growers manual, Kenya seed company |
| F: Status of TIMP readiness (1-ready for | Validation |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | |
| validation; 3-requires | |
| further research) | |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | |
| Lead organization and scientists | KALRO Rachael Kisilu, Erick Cheruiyot, C K Kamau |

| Partner organizations | Kenya Seed | Company, | Egerton | University, | Spectre | International, |
|-----------------------|------------|----------|---------|-------------|---------|----------------|
| | Kisumu | | | | | |

| 2.1.15 TIMP Name | Sorghum variety Sweet Sorg 14 | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology | | | | |
| innovation or management | | | | | |
| practice) | | | | | |
| | nology, innovation or management practice | | | | |
| Problem to be addressed | Limited alternative sources of raw materials for industrial ethanol production | | | | |
| | Unavailable local sorghum varieties for ethanol production by agrochemical industries in Kenya | | | | |
| What is it? (TIMP | This a sorghum variety with a grain yield of 2.9 t/ha and matures | | | | |
| description) | within 3 months. Grows in altitudes of 250-1750 masl which includes Mwea, Homabay, Bungoma. It is used for ethanol production and grain for consumption. It was released by Kenya Seed Company in 2016. | | | | |
| Justification | Sweet sorghum are the varieties of sorghum whose stalks have a high | | | | |
| R. Assassment of dissemin | sugar content. Sweet sorghum thrives better under drier and warmer conditions and is grown primarily for forage, silage, and syrup production. These sweet sorghum are widely adapted to the various parts of Kenya. There is a gap in production of sweet sorghums which needs to be solved through promotion of the available varieties. Sweet sorghums are used for bagasse, ethanol biofuel from the grain and stem juice, sugar and livestock feed stock. There is need for evaluation, selection and release of suitable sweet sorghum genotypes as feedstock varieties for ethanol. Through contractual arrangement, the producers will be engaged in production which will lead to improved farmer-income and better rural livelihood | | | | |
| | ation and scaling up/out approaches | | | | |
| Users of TIMP | Agrochemical Industries and sorghum farmers | | | | |
| Approaches used in | Demonstrations, exhibitions, media | | | | |
| dissemination | Through Agrochemical Industries and media | | | | |
| Critical/essential factors | Industrial use | | | | |
| for successful promotion | | | | | |
| Partners/stakeholders for | Spectre International/ other agrochemical industries to create demand | | | | |
| scaling up and their roles | | | | | |
| C: Current situation and f | | | | | |
| Counties where already promoted if any | Information only | | | | |

| Counties where TIMP will | Baring, Isiolo, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya, | |
|---|--|--|
| be up scaled | | |
| Challenges in | Low awareness of the varieties and their production practices | |
| dissemination | Low awareness of uses of sweet sorghums | |
| | Pilot testing by agrochemical industry needed | |
| | No seed due to lack of funds to produce seed. | |
| Suggestions for | Information dissemination on the varieties production practices | |
| addressing the challenges | Re-visit initial discussion with Spectre International | |
| | Funding for seed production | |
| Lessons learned in up | Partnership with stakeholders in solving a challenge quickly yield | |
| scaling if any | results | |
| Social, environmental, | • Sorghum does better than maize in moisture deficit environment, | |
| policy and market | has no negative environmental effect. | |
| conditions necessary for | | |
| development and up | • Industries should be compelled to use local materials in their | |
| scaling | manufacturing process. | |
| D: Economic, gender, vuli | nerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations | |
| Basic costs | 350/- per kg of seed | |
| | | |
| Estimated returns | Further validation to get this is needed | |
| Gender issues and | Lack of participation of different gender groups in the process | |
| concerns in development | | |
| ,dissemination, adoption | Low and un equal financial, resources and knowledge ability | |
| and scaling up | among gender | |
| Gender related | Creates employment at production, transportation, processing and | |
| opportunities | distribution with availability of markets | |
| VMG issues and concerns | In ability to participate in development and dissemination | |
| in development, | processes. | |
| dissemination, adoption | processess | |
| and scaling up | Lack of financial, resources and knowledge empowerment. | |
| VMG related | Opportunity for income generation with availability of markets | |
| opportunities | | |
| E: Case studies/profiles of success stories | | |
| Success stories from | In Brazil, China, USA | |
| previous similar projects | | |
| Application guidelines for | Sorghum growers manual, Kenya seed company | |
| users | | |
| F: Status of TIMP | Requires Validation and Market opportunities | |
| readiness (1-ready for | | |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | | |
| validation; 3-requires | | |
| further research) | | |
| G: Contacts | | |

| Contacts | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Lead organization and | KALRO; Rachael Kisilu, Erick Cheruiyot, CK Kamau |
| scientists | |
| Partner organizations | Kenya Seed Company, Egerton University, Spectre International, |
| | Kisumu |

| 2.1.16 TIMP Name | Sorghum variety Sweet Sorg 17 |
|---|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology |
| innovation or management | |
| practice) | |
| | nology, innovation or management practice |
| Problem to be addressed | Limited alternative sources of raw materials for industrial ethanol production Unavailable local sorghum varieties for ethanol production by agro- |
| | chemical industries in Kenya. |
| What is it? (TIMP description) | This a sorghum variety with a grain yield of 2.9 t/ha and matures within 3 months. Grows in altitudes of 250-1750 masl which includes Mwea, Homabay, Bungoma. it is used for ethanol production and grain for consumption. It was released by Kenya Seed Company in 2016. |
| Justification | Sweet sorghum are the varieties of sorghum whose stalks have a high sugar content. Sweet sorghum thrives better under drier and warmer conditions and is grown primarily for forage, silage, and syrup production. These sweet sorghum are widely adapted to the various parts of Kenya. There is a gap in production of sweet sorghums which needs to be solved through promotion of the available varieties. Sweet sorghums are used for bagasse, ethanol biofuel from the grain and stem juice, sugar and livestock feed stock. There is need for evaluation, selection and release of suitable sweet sorghum genotypes as feedstock varieties for ethanol. Through contractual arrangement, the producers will be engaged in production which will lead to improved farmer-income and better rural livelihood |
| | ation and scaling up/out approaches |
| Users of TIMP | Agrochemical Industries and sorghum farmers |
| Approaches used in dissemination | Demonstrations, exhibitions, media, Through Agrochemical Industries and media |
| Critical/essential factors for successful promotion | Industrial use |
| Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and ther roles | Spectre International/ other agrochemical industries for demand creation |
| C: Current situation and f | future scaling up |

| Counties where already | Information only |
|---|--|
| promoted if any Counties where TIMP will | Baringo, Busia, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya, |
| be up scaled | Barrigo, Busia, Reficilo, Risumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya, |
| Challenges in | Low awareness of the varieties and their production practices |
| dissemination | Low awareness of uses of sweet sorghums |
| | Pilot testing by agrochemical industry needed |
| | No seed due to lack of funds to produce seed. |
| Suggestions for | Information dissemination on the varieties production practices |
| addressing the challenges | Re-visit initial discussion with Spectre International |
| | • Funding for seed production. |
| Lessons learned in up | Partnership with stakeholders in solving a challenge quickly yield |
| scaling if any | results |
| Social, environmental, | Sorghum does better than maize in moisture deficit environment, |
| policy and market | has no negative environmental effect |
| conditions necessary for | - |
| development and up | Industries should be compelled to use local materials in their |
| scaling | manufacturing process |
| D: Economic, gender, vuln | nerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | 350/- per kg of seed |
| Estimated returns | Validation to get cost of production and returns is needed |
| Gender issues and | Lack of participation of different gender groups in the process |
| concerns in development | Low and un equal financial, resources and knowledge ability |
| ,dissemination, adoption | among gender |
| and scaling up | |
| Gender related | Creates employment at production, transportation, processing and |
| opportunities | distribution with availability of markets |
| VMG issues and concerns | In ability to participate in development and dissemination |
| in development, | processes |
| dissemination, adoption | |
| and scaling up | Lack of financial, resources and knowledge empowerment. |
| VMG related | In come generation with availability of market opportunities |
| opportunities | , 11 |
| E: Case studies/profiles of success stories | |
| Success stories from | In Brazil, China, USA |
| previous similar projects | |
| Application guidelines for | Sorghum growers manual |
| users | Kenya seed company |
| F: Status of TIMP | Requires Validation |
| readiness (1-ready for | |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | |

| validation; 3-requires | |
|------------------------|--|
| further research) | |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | |
| Lead organization and | KALRO; Rachael Kisilu, Erick Cheruiyot, CK Kamau |
| scientists | |
| Partner organizations | Kenya Seed Company, Egerton University, Spectre International, |
| | Kisumu |

| 2.1.17 TIMP Name | Sorghum variety Sweet Sorg 21 |
|--|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology |
| innovation or management | |
| practice) | |
| | nology, innovation or management practice |
| Problem to be addressed | Limited alternative sources of raw materials for industrial ethanol |
| | production. |
| | I In every labela laced consequent varieties for exhaust one duration by come |
| | • Unavailable local sorghum varieties for ethanol production by agrochemical industries in Kenya. |
| What is it? (TIMP | This a sorghum variety with a grain yield of 2.9 t/ha and matures within 3 |
| description) | months. Grows in altitudes of 250-1750 masl which includes Mwea, |
| description | Homabay, Bungoma. it is used for ethanol production and grain for |
| | consumption. It was released by Kenya Seed Company in 2016. |
| Justification | Sweet sorghum are the varieties of sorghum whose stalks have a high sugar |
| | content. Sweet sorghum thrives better under drier and warmer conditions |
| | and is grown primarily for forage, silage, and syrup production. These sweet |
| | sorghum are widely adapted to the various parts of Kenya. There is a gap in |
| | production of sweet sorghums which needs to be solved through promotion |
| | of the available varieties. Sweet sorghums are used for bagasse, ethanol |
| | biofuel from the grain and stem juice, sugar and livestock feed stock. |
| | There is need for evaluation, selection and release of suitable sweet |
| | sorghum genotypes as feedstock varieties for ethanol. Through contractual |
| | arrangement, the producers will be engaged in production which will lead |
| D. A | to improved farmer-income and better rural livelihood |
| Users of TIMP | action and scaling up/out approaches |
| Users of ThviP | Agrochemical Industries and sorghum farmers |
| Approaches used in | Demonstrations, exhibitions, media, Through Agrochemical Industries |
| dissemination | and media |
| 0 11 1/ 110 | |
| Critical/essential factors | Industrial use |
| for successful promotion | Constant Intermedia and I adheren a marketic in the constant i |
| Partners/stakeholders for | Spectre International/ other agrochemical industries |
| scaling up | Future goaling up |
| C: Current situation and future scaling up | |

| Counties where already | Information only |
|--|---|
| promoted if any | |
| Counties where TIMP will | Baringo, Busia, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya, |
| be up scaled | |
| Challenges in | Low awareness of the varieties and their production practices |
| dissemination | Low awareness of uses of sweet sorghums |
| | Pilot testing by agrochemical industry needed |
| | No seed due to lack of funds to produce seed |
| Suggestions for | Information dissemination on the varieties production practices |
| addressing the challenges | Re-visit initial discussion with Spectre International |
| addressing the chancinges | _ |
| | Funding for seed production. |
| Lessons learned in up | Partnership with stakeholders in solving a challenge quickly yield results |
| scaling if any | |
| Social, environmental, | Sorghum does better than maize in moisture deficit environment, has |
| policy and market | no negative environmental effect. |
| conditions necessary for | |
| development and up | Industries should be compelled to use local materials in their |
| scaling | manufacturing process. |
| D: Economic, gender, vuli | nerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | 350/- per kg of seed |
| | |
| Estimated returns | Validation to get this is needed |
| Gender issues and | • Lack of participation of different gender groups in the process. |
| concerns in development | Low and un equal financial, resources and knowledge ability among |
| ,dissemination, adoption | gender. |
| and scaling up | 6 |
| Gender related | Creates employment at production, transportation, processing and |
| opportunities | distribution with availability of markets |
| VMC issues and concerns | In ability to posticinate in development and discomination processes |
| VMG issues and concerns | In ability to participate in development and dissemination processes |
| in development, | Lack of financial, resources and knowledge empowerment |
| dissemination, adoption | |
| and scaling up VMG related | In some generation with evailability of market appartunities |
| | In come generation with availability of market opportunities |
| opportunities E: Case studies/profiles of | cuones stories |
| Success stories from | |
| | In Brazil, China, USA |
| previous similar projects | s Conshum anaman manual |
| Application guidelines for users | Sorghum growers manual |
| F: Status of TIMP | Requires Validation |
| readiness (1-ready for | Requires valuation |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | |
| | |
| validation; 3-requires further research) | |
| Turnier research) | |

| G: Contacts | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Contacts | |
| Lead organization and | KALRO; Rachael Kisilu, Erick Cheruiyot, C K Kamau |
| scientists | |
| Partner organizations | Kenya Seed Company, Egerton University, Spectre International, Kisumu |

| 2.1.18 TIMP Name | Sorghum variety Kak Sweet Sorg 1 |
|---|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology |
| innovation or management | |
| practice) | |
| A: Description of the techn | nology, innovation or management practice |
| Problem to be addressed | Limited alternative sources of raw materials for industrial ethanol production. Unavailable local sorghum varieties for ethanol production by agrochemical industries in Kenya. |
| What is it? (TIMP | Kak sweet Sorg 1: White sweet sorghum with a grain yield of 2 t/ha, |
| description) | 16% Brix and 12% total Sugars. It matures within 4-5 months and suitable in regions with 500 – 1800 m asl. Released in 2016 by KALRO NRI for western Kenya: Kakamega, Vihiga, Bungoma and Busia, Nyanza: Siaya, Migori, Homabay and Kisumu, Nakuru, Kericho, Naivasha, Kajiado, Narok, Bomet, Baringo, Elgeyo Marakwet, West Pokot, and Turkana, Nyeri and Nyandarua. |
| Justification | Sweet sorghum are the varieties of sorghum whose stalks have a high sugar content. Sweet sorghum thrives better under drier and warmer conditions and is grown primarily for forage, silage, and syrup production. These sweet sorghum are widely adapted to the various parts of Kenya. There is a gap in production of sweet sorghums which needs to be solved through promotion of the available varieties. Sweet sorghums are used for bagasse, ethanol biofuel from the grain and stem juice, sugar and livestock feed stock. There is need for evaluation, selection and release of suitable sweet sorghum genotypes as feedstock varieties for ethanol. Through contractual arrangement, the producers will be engaged in production which will lead to improved farmer-income and better rural livelihood |
| | ation and scaling up/out approaches |
| Users of TIMP | Agrochemical Industries and sorghum farmers |
| Approaches used in dissemination | Demonstrations, exhibitions, media, Through Agrochemical Industries and media |
| Critical/essential factors for successful promotion | Industrial use |

| Partners/stakeholders for | Spectre International/ other agrochemical industries for demand |
|-----------------------------|---|
| scaling up and ther roles | creation |
| | |
| C: Current situation and | |
| Counties where already | Information only |
| promoted if any | |
| Counties where TIMP will | Baringo, Busia, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya, |
| be up scaled | |
| Challenges in | Low awareness of the varieties and their production practices |
| dissemination | Low awareness of uses of sweet sorghums |
| | Pilot testing by agrochemical industry needed |
| | No seed due to lack of funds to produce seed. |
| Suggestions for | Information dissemination on the varieties production practices |
| addressing the challenges | Re-visit initial discussion with Spectre International |
| | Funding for seed production |
| Lessons learned in up | Partnership with stakeholders in solving a challenge quickly yield |
| scaling if any | results |
| Social, environmental, | Sorghum does better than maize in moisture deficit environment, has |
| policy and market | no negative environmental effect |
| conditions necessary for | Industries should be compelled to use local materials in their |
| development and up | manufacturing process |
| scaling | manufacturing process |
| D: Economic, gender, vuli | nerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | 350/- per kg of seed |
| Estimated returns | Validation to get cost of production and returns is needed |
| Gender issues and | Lack of participation of different gender groups in the process |
| concerns in development | Low and unequal financial, resources and knowledge ability |
| ,dissemination, adoption | among gender. |
| and scaling up | |
| Gender related | Creates employment at production, transportation, processing and |
| opportunities | distribution with availability of markets |
| VMG issues and concerns | In ability to participate in development and dissemination |
| in development, | processes. |
| dissemination, adoption | processes. |
| and scaling up | Lack of financial, resources and knowledge empowerment. |
| VMG related | In come generation with availability of market opportunities |
| opportunities | |
| E: Case studies/profiles of | success stories |
| Success stories from | In Brazil, China, USA |
| previous similar projects | |
| Application guidelines for | Sorghum growers manual |
| I | |
| users | Kenya seed company |

| F: Status of TIMP | Requires Validation |
|------------------------|--|
| readiness (1-ready for | |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | |
| validation; 3-requires | |
| further research) | |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | |
| Lead organization and | KALRO; Rachael Kisilu, Erick Cheruiyot, CK Kamau |
| scientists | |
| Partner organizations | Kenya Seed Company, Egerton University, Spectre International, |
| | Kisumu |

| 2.1.19 TIMP Name | Sweet sorghum varieties EUSS 10 |
|----------------------------|---|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology |
| innovation or management | |
| practice) | |
| A: Description of the tech | nology, innovation or management practice |
| Problem to be addressed | 1) Limited alternative sources of raw materials for industrial ethanol |
| | production |
| | 2) Unavailable local sorghum varieties for ethanol production by agrochemical industries in Kenya |
| What is it? (TIMP | EUSS 10: Suitable sweet sorghum genotype as feedstock varieties for |
| description) | ethanol. Stalk rich in fermentable sugars, good for ethanol production |
| | and animal feed |
| | - Grain yield 1.3 t/ha |
| | - Stalk yield 40t/ha |
| | - Ethanol yield; 644 L/ha |
| Justification | Molasses which is a by-product in sugar factories is no longer |
| | available to agrochemical industries because most factories have |
| | diversified into ethanol production. An alternative source for ethanol |
| | production is sweet sorghum but none had been recommended for use |
| | in Kenya. The two released varieties with considerable good yield of |
| | ethanol will go a long way in meeting the demand of agro-chemical |
| | industries. Through contractual arrangement, the producers will be |

| | engaged in production which will lead to improved farmer-income and better rural livelihood. | |
|--|---|--|
| B: Assessment of dissemin | ation and scaling up/out approaches | |
| Users of TIMP | Agrochemical Industries and sorghum farmers | |
| Approaches used in dissemination | Demonstrations, exhibitions, media, Through Agrochemical Industries and media | |
| Critical/essential factors for successful promotion | Industrial use | |
| Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and ther roles | Spectre International/ other agrochemical industries for demand creation | |
| C: Current situation and f | uture scaling up | |
| Counties where already promoted if any | Information only | |
| Counties where TIMP will be up scaled | Baringo, Busia, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya, | |
| Challenges in dissemination | Low awareness of the varieties and their production practices Low awareness of uses of sweet sorghums. Pilot testing by agrochemical industry needed No seed due to lack of funds to produce seed. | |
| Suggestions for addressing the challenges | Information dissemination on the varieties production practices Re-visit initial discussion with Spectre International Funding for seed production. | |
| Lessons learned in up scaling if any | Partnership with stakeholders in solving a challenge quickly yield results | |
| Social, environmental, policy and market | Sorghum does better than maize in moisture deficit environment, has no negative environmental effect. | |
| conditions necessary for development and up scaling | Industries should be compelled to use local materials in their manufacturing process. | |
| D: Economic, gender, vulnerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations | | |
| Basic costs Estimated returns | i) Stalk yield 40 t/ha ii) Ethanol yield; 644 L/ha | |
| Gender issues and concerns in development , dissemination, adoption and scaling up | Lack of participation of different gender groups in the process Low and un equal financial, resources and knowledge ability among gender | |
| Gender related opportunities | Creates employment at production, transportation, processing and distribution with availability of markets | |

| VMG issues and concerns in development, dissemination, adoption and scaling up | In ability to participate in development and dissemination processes Lack of financial, resources and knowledge empowerment. |
|--|---|
| VMG related opportunities | In come generation with availability of market opportunities |
| E: Case studies/profiles of | success stories |
| Success stories from previous similar projects | In Brazil, China, USA |
| Application guidelines for | Sorghum growers manual |
| users | Kenya seed company |
| F: Status of TIMP readiness (1-ready for upscaling;, 2-requires validation; 3-requires further research) | Requires Validation |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | |
| Lead organization and scientists | KALRO; Rachael Kisilu, Erick Cheruiyot, CK Kamau |
| Partner organizations | Kenya Seed Company, Egerton University, Spectre International, Kisumu |

| 2.1.20 TIMP Name | Sweet sorghum variety EUSS 11 |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology |
| innovation or management | |
| practice) | |
| A: Description of the techn | nology, innovation or management practice |
| Problem to be addressed | 1) Limited alternative sources of raw materials for industrial ethanol |
| | production. |
| | 2) Unavailable local sorghum varieties for ethanol production by agrochemical industries in Kenya. |
| What is it? (TIMP | EUSS 11: Suitable sweet sorghum genotype as feedstock variety for |
| description) | ethanol. Stalk rich in fermentable sugars, good for ethanol production |
| | and animal feed |
| | i)Grain yield 2.4 t/ha |
| | ii) Stalk yield 44 t/ha |
| | iii) Ethanol yield; 838 L/ha |
| | |
| Justification | Molasses which is a by-product in sugar factories is no longer |
| | available to agrochemical industries because most factories have |

| | diversified into ethanol production. An alternative source for ethanol production is sweet sorghum but none had been recommended for use in Kenya. The two released varieties with considerable good yield of ethanol will go a long way in meeting the demand of agro-chemical industries. Through contractual arrangement, the producers will be engaged in production which will lead to improved farmer-income and better rural livelihood. | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| | ation and scaling up/out approaches | | |
| Users of TIMP | Agrochemical Industries and sorghum farmers | | |
| Approaches used in dissemination | Demonstrations, exhibitions, media, Through Agrochemical Industries and media | | |
| Critical/essential factors for successful promotion | Industrial use | | |
| Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and ther roles | Spectre International/ other agrochemical industries for demand creation | | |
| C: Current situation and f | future scaling up | | |
| Counties where already promoted if any | Information only | | |
| Counties where TIMP will be up scaled | Baringo, Busia, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya, | | |
| Challenges in | Low awareness of the varieties and their production practices | | |
| dissemination | Low awareness of uses of sweet sorghums | | |
| | Pilot testing by agrochemical industry needed No seed due to lack of funds to produce seed | | |
| Suggestions for | Information dissemination on the varieties production practices | | |
| addressing the challenges | Re-visit initial discussion with Spectre International | | |
| | Funding for seed production | | |
| Lessons learned in up scaling if any | Partnership with stakeholders in solving a challenge quickly yield results | | |
| Social, environmental, policy and market | Sorghum does better than maize in moisture deficit environment, has no negative environmental effect | | |
| conditions necessary for | Industries should be compelled to use local materials in their | | |
| development and up scaling | manufacturing process | | |
| D: Economic, gender, vulu | D: Economic, gender, vulnerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations | | |
| Basic costs | 350/- per kg of seed | | |
| Estimated returns | i) Stalk yield 44 t/ha ii) Ethanol yield; 838 L/ha | | |
| Gender issues and | Lack of participation of different gender groups in the process | | |
| concerns in development ,dissemination, adoption and scaling up | Low and un equal financial, resources and knowledge ability among gender. | | |
| <u> </u> | J | | |

| Gender related | Creates employment at production, transportation, processing and | |
|---|--|--|
| opportunities | distribution with availability of markets | |
| VMG issues and concerns in development, dissemination, adoption and scaling up | In ability to participate in development and dissemination processes. Lack of financial, resources and knowledge empowerment. | |
| VMG related opportunities | In come generation with availability of market opportunities | |
| E: Case studies/profiles of success stories | | |
| Success stories from | In Brazil, China, USA | |
| previous similar projects | | |
| Application guidelines for | Sorghum growers manual | |
| users | Kenya seed company | |
| F: Status of TIMP | Requires Validation | |
| readiness (1-ready for | | |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | | |
| validation; 3-requires | | |
| further research) | | |
| G: Contacts | | |
| Contacts | | |
| Lead organization and | KALRO; Rachael Kisilu, Erick Cheruiyot, CK Kamau | |
| scientists | | |
| Partner organizations | Kenya Seed Company, Egerton University, Spectre International, Kisumu | |

Research Gaps in sorghum varieties

- 1. The seed system is very weak. A newly released will not be taken up easly by the formal and informal seed system for seed multiplication. There is need to establish an alternate seed production and supply system.
- 2. There is disconnect between research and the end users on the type of varieties needed in the target areas. The solution will be working with stakeholders in technology development.
- 3. There is no resistance for pests especially Fall army warm, shoot fly and Striga.
- 4. Information of fodder sorghum on nutrition, digestibility and HCN contents.
- 5. Breeding for cold tolerance and humid adaptability is important due to the demand for sorghum in cold high lands and humid areas even within semi-arid areas.
- **6.** There is need to breed for varieties for desired uses and specific markets (Malting and brewing, baking, food and feed formulation, agrochemicals, fodder, intercropping).

2.2 Agronomic management practices

| 2.2.1 TIMP Name | Variety selection, Seed acquisition or Own Seed Selection, |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | Planting, Weeding, Thinning, Fertilizer Application, Pest and |
| Category (i.e. technology, | Disease Management, Harvesting, Storage and. Management Practice |
| innovation or management | Wanagement 1 factice |
| practice) | |
| , | logy, innovation or management practice |
| Problem to be addressed | Low sorghum production |
| | Poor management and agronomic practices at farm level |
| | Disease and pest damage (including birds) |
| | Low grain quality due to poor harvesting practices |
| What is it? (TIMP | -This includes :- |
| description) | Variety selection: Planting the recommended improved sorghum |
| | variety suitable for target area and end use |
| | Seed acquisition: |
| | Certified seed or training on proper own selection |
| | Planting: |
| | • Fine land preparation and Early planting, |
| | • Spacing: mono-cropping 90×15 cm ox plough and 75×20 cm |
| | manual |
| | • Depth 5 cm. |
| | • Seed rate 3-4KG/acre mon-ocrop |
| | Weeding: |
| | two weeks after germinationThinning: |
| | • after 3 weeks to single plant per hole |
| | Pest & disease management: |
| | Spraying recommended pesticides for shoofly, stem borer and Fall Army Worm (FAW). |
| | Spraying recommended agrochemicals against fungal and bacterial diseases. |
| | Birds scaring, harvesting at dough stage, using bird escaping varieties, collective planting to increase acreage |
| | Fertility and water management: |
| | Basic fertilizer 50kg/acre, top-dress 50Kg/acre |
| | Tied ridges to control runoff |
| | Harvesting: |
| | Dry and thresh on tarpaulin to maintain quality. |
| | • Dry to 13% moisture. |
| | Post-harvest: |
| | Put into hermetic bags and store in dry ventilated stores. |
| | For selling to breweries dusting is not recommended |
| Justification | Training farmers on how to manage a orghum crop increases yields at |
| | farm level |
| B: Assessment of disseminat | ion and scaling up/out approaches |

| Users of TIMP | • Farmers |
|--|--|
| Approaches used in | On farm and on station demonstrations |
| dissemination | Field days |
| | Agricultural shows |
| | MoA/Extension officers |
| | Partners (ICRISAT, NGOs) |
| | Mass media – Agricultural programs |
| | Promotional materials (posters/brochures/leaflets, manuals) |
| Critical/essential factors for | Quality seed for planting |
| successful promotion | Availability of affordable labor |
| _ | Mechanization |
| | County and central government support |
| | • Funding |
| Partners/stakeholders for | Agricultural Extension : Farmer sensitization, On farm and on |
| scaling up and their roles | station demonstrations |
| | Farmer leaders : Group organization |
| | NGOs dealing with sorghum : Dissemination of the practices |
| C: Current situation and futu | |
| Counties where already | Makueni, Kitui, Machakos, Tharaka-Nithi, Embu, Meru, |
| promoted if any | Homabay, Siaya, Kisumu, Busia. |
| | |
| C (' 1 TD (D '111 | |
| Counties where TIMP will be up scaled | Baringo, Busia, Isiolo, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya |
| Challenges in dissemination | Bird scaring is expensive and time consuming |
| Chanenges in dissemination | Labour intensity in thinning, harvesting and threshing. |
| | Few consumption options for sorghum |
| Suggestions for addressing | Encourage collective/cluster planting to ease birds impact, and |
| the challenges | create market pull |
| | Mechanize planting, weeding, harvesting and threshing |
| | Devise effective ways of bird scaring |
| | Bird escaping varieties? |
| Lessons learned in up scaling | Farmers need persistent hands on training in proper agronomic |
| if any | practices, for them to accept and practice |
| Social, environmental, policy | Sorghum cultivated mainly by women hence empowering them |
| and market conditions | with information and mechanization will ease the labor |
| necessary for development | intensity |
| and up scaling | Community seed production should be encouraged with proper |
| _ | training to ensure quality |
| 1 | training to chaute quanty |
| | |
| | |
| D: Economic, gender, vulners | Frequent Policy review to maintain marketability |
| D: Economic, gender, vulner: Basic costs Estimated returns | Frequent Policy review to maintain marketability The policy on blending should be implemented |

| Gender issues and concerns in development, dissemination, adoption and scaling up | Traditional practices are valued by farmers but do not increase yield Rejection of fertilizer due to belief that it destroys soil Rejection of thinning because it is seen as destruction of the crop Classification of sorghum as poor man's crops yet does not need much input Labour intensity in almost all activities affects women. There is need for mechanization. Youth are mainly sidelined in sorghum value chain |
|---|---|
| Gender related opportunities | Women and youth friendly production techniques such as mechanization Apply enterprising value addition methods for the youth Friendly trading conditions to allow women and youth to participate in marketing Value addition to create SMEs for farmers |
| VMG issues and concerns in development, dissemination, adoption and scaling up | Labour intensity Un friendly dissemination methods and documents Illiteracy, poverty, market access problems |
| VMG related opportunities | Value addition, enabling market access |
| E: Case studies/profiles of suc | |
| Success stories from previous | Increase of yields from 5 bags per acre to 10 bags per acre when a |
| similar projects | farmer followed proper management at Makueni, Kitui, Tharaka Nithi and Meru Counties |
| Application guidelines for users | Reference: Sorghum production manual: Enhancing sorghum production and Marketing in semi-arid Kenya. (http://www.kalro.org/asal-aprp) Sorghum Training Manual: Enhanced Sorghum Production for Food Security and Increased Incomes (KCEP). Sorghum brochure: Sorghum technologies for the drylands. Rachael Kisilu. (http://www.kalro.org/asal-aprp |
| F: Status of TIMP readiness (1-ready for upscaling;, 2-requires validation; 3-requires further research) | Ready for up-scaling |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | KALRO-Katumani |
| Lead organization and scientists | KALRO, Rachael Kisilu, C K Kamau, Erick Cheruiyot |
| Partner organizations | ICRISAT, Egerton University |

Gaps in agronomic Practices

- 1. Lack of mechanized process in sorghum production (sowing, weed management, harvesting). Currently we don't have seed drills, weeders and harvesters).
- 2. Lack of suitable herbicides for weed management in sorghum.
- 3. Screen varieties tolerant to different soil contentions such as salinity, aluminum toxicity, acidity.

| 2.2.2 TIMP Name | Use of fertilizers for enhanced yield in sorghum | |
|--|--|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Management practice | |
| innovation or management | | |
| practice | | |
| A: Description of the techn | nology, innovation or management practice | |
| Problem to be addressed | Low soil fertility resulting in low sorghum productivity | |
| What is it? (TIMP | Application of external nutrients into the soil can enhance sorghum | |
| description) | productivity | |
| Justification | Soils in sorghum growing areas have been degraded leading to low crop yields if no additional external nutrients are supplemented. Many farmers in the region apply less than 10 Kg of N per hectare on sorghum crop against a recommendation of about 50 Kg. The situation in sorghum is even worse because farmers believe the crop does not require fertilizer. This has led to continuous reduction of sorghum grain yield to an average of 0.5 t/ha instead of about 4 t/ha. Basic fertilizer: The recommended basic fertilizers are NPK and DAP or organic fertilizers. The application of either depends on the acidic condition of the soil. In acidic soils NPK is recommended while in none acidic soils DAP can be applied. Apply during planting at the rate of 50kg/acre. Apply the fertilizer in the planting rows or holes then mix with soil to protect the seed from scotching. Plant and cover the seed. Top-dressing: CAN fertilizer is used for top dressing when the crop is knee high. Weeding and thinning should be done before top dressing. Apply at the rate of 50Kg/ha. The fertilizer is applied around the crop avoiding direct contact to protect the crop from scotching. | |
| B: Assessment of dissemination and scaling up/out approaches | | |
| Users of TIMP | Sorghum farmers, researchers, environmentalists, county governments, | |
| | input stockists. | |
| Approaches used in | On-farm trials, demo plots, ASK shows, print media, brochures, | |
| dissemination | conferences and journals | |
| Critical/essential factors | Availability of inputs (recommended variety seed) | |
| for successful promotion | Farmer groups | |
| Partners/stakeholders for | Scientists: Fertilizer application recommendations | |
| scaling up and their roles | Extension agents (both private and public): Farmer mobilization, | |
| | participatory training | |
| | County governments: Fertilizer awareness, policy and credit | |

| Counties where already promoted if any Homabay, Siaya, Kisumu. Counties where TIMP will be up scaled Challenges in dissemination Makueni, Kitui, Machakos, Tharaka-Nithi, Embu, Meru, Homabay, Siaya, Kisumu. Baringo, Busia, Isiolo, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siay • Resource poor farmers may not have the ability to invest on improved seed and fertilizer because of high cost | a |
|---|--------|
| Counties where TIMP will be up scaled Challenges in dissemination Baringo, Busia, Isiolo, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siay • Resource poor farmers may not have the ability to invest on improved seed and fertilizer because of high cost | a |
| be up scaled Challenges in dissemination • Resource poor farmers may not have the ability to invest on improved seed and fertilizer because of high cost | a |
| be up scaled Challenges in dissemination • Resource poor farmers may not have the ability to invest on improved seed and fertilizer because of high cost | |
| dissemination improved seed and fertilizer because of high cost | |
| | |
| The mouth that familiare make the sail hand | |
| The myth that fertilizers make the soil hard | |
| Belief that sorghum does not need fertility | |
| Suggestions for addressing • Provision of credit to purchase seed and fertilizer and subsidize | zing |
| the challenges input prices by the county governments | |
| Awareness about the merits of fertilizer use on sorghum yields | S |
| Encourage use of organic fertilizers | |
| Lessons learned in up Fertilizer use increases sorghum yield | |
| scaling if any | |
| Social, environmental, • Need for structured markets to enhance financial returns to the | • |
| policy and market farmer. | |
| conditions necessary for • County NEMA officials to certify that the fertilizers have no a | dverse |
| development and up effect to the environment. | |
| • Farmers to be trained on safe handling of the fertilizers. | |
| D: Economic, gender, vulnerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations | |
| Basic costs About kshs 20,000 per acre | |
| Estimated returns About Kshs 50,000 per acre | |
| Gender issues and concerns • Labour intensity in application. | |
| in development • Financial inability, low awareness, lack of education by wome | en |
| , dissemination, adoption farmers. | |
| and scaling up • Land ownership hinders right to use fertilizer. | |
| Gender related Sorghum is mainly cultivated by women hence increased yield wi | 11 |
| opportunities benefit them | |
| VMG issues and concerns • Less skills and knowledge. | |
| in development, • Low income hence low purchasing power. | |
| dissemination, adoption | |
| and scaling up | |
| VMG related opportunities Access and use of fertilizer will increase yield hence household in | ncome |
| E: Case studies/profiles of success stories | |
| Success stories from Fertilizer use doubles sorghum yields inn semiarid areas | |
| previous similar projects Application guideling for Personal | |
| Application guideline for Reference: | |
| users Sorghum production manual: Enhancing sorghum production a Marketing in somi grid Kenya (http://www.kelro.org/gsel.epro) | IIU |
| Marketing in semi-arid Kenya. (http://www.kalro.org/asal-aprp) | |
| Sorghum Training Manual: Enhanced Sorghum Production for 1 | Food |
| Security and Increased Incomes (KCEP). | . 504 |
| | |

| F: Status of TIMP | Ready for up-scaling |
|------------------------|---|
| readiness (1-ready for | |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | |
| validation; 3-requires | |
| further research) | |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | KALRO Katumani |
| Lead organization and | KALRO. Rachael Kisilu, C K Kamau, Erick Cheruiyot |
| scientists | |
| Partner organizations | |

Gaps in fertilizers use in sorghum

1. Lack of specific nutrient requirement for sorghum to inform fertilizer application rates

| 2.2.3 TIMP Name | Use of growth Enhancers to improve soil fertility for increased sorghum yields |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Management Practice |
| innovation or | |
| management practice) | |
| | nology, innovation or management practice |
| Problem to be addressed | Low production |
| What is it? (TIMP description) | Growth hormone or bio stimulants enhance plant growth, and yield. These products contain auxins, Cytokinins, polyamines, abscisic acid, gibberellins and brassinosteroids. They trigger cell division, enlargement and elongation. The main source of growth hormones is a fast growing seaweed Kelp <i>Ecklonia maxima</i> . Zinc trace element required by crops in seed production. |
| | Recommended rate: 2% zinc foliar +25 ppm growth enhancer or 2% zinc foliar+ 50 ppm growth enhancer as a foliar spray when sorghum plants are at 4-5 leaf stage. Method: |
| | Apply 50 kg DAP fertilizer at planting |
| | • Mix growth enhancer to water in the ration of 1:2 (use 10 litres of |
| | the mixture in water for one acre) |
| | • Add 960 g of 2% zinc mineral. |
| | • Apply when the sorghum is at 4-5th leaf stage as top- dress. |
| | • Also apply CAN (50kg per acre). |
| Justification | Currently, grain yield in ASALs is 2-4 (90kg) bags per acre with a |
| | Break even above 5 (90kg) bags per acre. |
| | Use of growth enhancers supplemented with zinc mineral can improve |
| | grain yield by and return to investment. |
| | ation and scaling up/out approaches |
| Users of TIMP | Farmers, researchers. |
| Approaches used in dissemination | On farm and on station demonstrations This is a second seco |
| dissemilation | • Training workshops, Seminars, Meetings |
| | MoA/Extension officers |
| | • Farmer to farmer |
| | Mass media – Agricultural programmes. Programial metasials (nectors /hrechymas/leaflets menuals) |
| | Promotional materials (posters/brochures/leaflets, manuals) KALRO Website |
| Critical/essential factors | Farmer organizations for participatory promotion |
| for successful promotion | Availability of the required inputs |
| Partners/stakeholders for | KALRO: to provide the recommendation |
| scaling up and their roles | Agricultural extension: dissemination |
| | Other research Organizations (ICRISAT), NGOs: Mobilization |

| C: Current situation and f | iture scaling un |
|---|--|
| Counties where already | Few parts of Makueni and Kitui |
| promoted if any | 10w parts of Makacin and Kitul |
| Counties where TIMP will | Baringo, Busia, Isiolo, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya |
| be up scaled | Daringo, Busia, Isiolo, Reficilo, Risumu, Laikipia, Manuera, Siaya |
| Challenges in | A consistion of the anomaly only one and air a mineral fautilian |
| dissemination | Acquisition of the growth enhancers and zinc mineral fertilizer. |
| | Reluctance to adopt a new technology |
| Suggestions for addressing the challenges | • Partner with fertilizer dealers and encourage packaging of the enhancers |
| | Involve county governments and extension, for support |
| Lessons learned in up | • The combination of growth enhancers and zinc minerals increased |
| scaling if any | Gadam sorghum yield at Makueni farms from 500 kg/ha to 3500 kg/ha |
| Social, environmental, | Acceptance by the farmers |
| policy and market | Growth enhancers and zinc are not harmful to environment |
| conditions necessary for | Use of fertilizer application recommendation |
| development and up | Good markets for sorghum |
| scaling | - Good Harkets for sorgham |
| ŭ | nerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | Cost Approximately KES 25,000 |
| Estimated returns | Returns Approximately KES 79,000 |
| | Profit= KES 54,000 |
| Gender issues and | • Farmer groups should involve women because they are the sorghum |
| concerns in development | farmers in most communities |
| , dissemination, adoption | Appropriate techniques of training should favour all gender. |
| and scaling up | Tresprend to mining of training broads far out all golder. |
| | |
| Gender related | Increased yields to benefit women sorghum farmers |
| opportunities | - - |
| VMG issues and concerns | In ability to access the required inputs |
| in development, | * * |
| dissemination, adoption | |
| and scaling up | |
| VMG related | Increased yields food and income |
| opportunities | . y |
| E: Case studies/profiles of | success stories |
| Success stories from | - |
| previous similar projects | |
| Application guidelines for | Reference: Kathuli, P., Kisilu R., Karanja D.R., Mweki, R. and A.O. |
| users | Adongo. 2017. Growth Enhancers Increase Yield and Profitability of |
| 4001D | Gadam Sorghum in ASALs. ebsite:www.kalro.org/asal-aprp |
| F: Status of TIMP | Requires validation because it's a new recommendation |
| readiness (1-ready for | |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | |
| validation; 3-requires | |
| further research) | |
| G: Contacts | |
| G. Contacts | |

| Contacts | KALRO-Katumani P.O. Box 340-90100 Machakos, Kenya, |
|-----------------------|--|
| Lead organization and | KALRO-Katumani. Peter Kathuli, Rachael Kisilu |
| scientists | |
| Partner organizations | Growth enhancers suppliers |

| 2.2.4 TIMP Name | Conservation Agriculture (CA) for sorghum production |
|----------------------------|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Technology |
| innovation or management | |
| practice | |
| A: Description of the tech | nology, innovation or management practice |
| Problem addressed | Low adoption of CA technology leading to low production of |
| | sorghum among smallholder farmers |
| | Declining soil fertility leading to decreased sorghum productivity |
| | Increased soil moisture stress |
| | Increased soil erosion and biodiversity losses. |
| What is it? (TIMP | CA is a set of soil management practices that minimizes disruption of |
| description) | soil structure, composition and natural biodiversity. |
| | The Technology combines 3 practices; reduced soil disturbance, crop |
| | rotation and permanent/continuous soil cover |
| | To convert from conventional to CA, one needs to change the mindsets. |
| | The process: |
| | 1. First season |
| | Choose the field: Start with a field with good potential |
| | Start small: Start with one field, Seek advice from extension service |
| | providers. Use animal/tractor - drawn sub-soiler or ripper to remove |
| | hardpan. Remove rocks or tree stumps. |
| | Cover the soil: Use mulch. Plant cover crops such as lablab. |
| | Control weeds: Hand-pulling or slashing or use herbicide. |
| | Do not plough: Direct-plant the sorghum through the mulch, or dig |
| | planting basins on which crops are sown |
| | Grow crops: Grow the sorghum and a legume intercrop |
| | 2. Second and following seasons |
| | Check the weeds: Hand-pull, slash or use of herbicides. |
| | Crop Residues: Ensure there is enough crop residues in the field |
| | Repeat panting as first season |
| Justification | CA has potential to improve crop yields, while enhancing the long term |
| | environmental and financial sustainability of farming. |
| | It is still a relatively new technology in sub-Saharan Africa, hence its low |
| | adoption. Our farmers lack adequate skills and knowledge to practice it. |
| | In the developed world, especially Australia, USA, Argentina and Brazil |
| | crop yields and environmental sustainability have increased |
| | exponentially due to its wide adoption. |
| | The benefits of CA that favour adoption by the smallholder resource poor |
| | farmers include: |

| | Reduction in costs and labour Increased argenia matter, sail vector infiltration and sail quality. |
|---|--|
| | Increased organic matter, soil water infiltration and soil quality Deduced soil arraying. |
| | Reduced soil erosion Reduced most and discourse by breaking infection avails through |
| | Reduced pest and diseases by breaking infection cycle through |
| D. A | rotation enhanced carbon sequestration. |
| Users of TIMP | ation and scaling up/out approaches |
| | Sorghum farmers, researchers, environmentalists, county governments |
| Approaches used in dissemination | On-farm trials, Demonstration plots, ASK shows, print media, brochures, conferences, journals, FFS, Mass media, social media |
| Critical/essential factors | Availability of inputs (tools, herbicides), practical demonstrations |
| for successful promotion | Availability of inputs (tools, herofeides), practical demonstrations Favorable uptake of CA practices |
| Tor successfur promotion | |
| | agriculture with agro-forestry |
| Partners/stakeholders for | Scientists (local and international): Source of information |
| scaling up and their role | Extension agents (both private and public): Dissemination |
| C: Current situation and f | iuture scaling up |
| Counties where already | Machakos, Nyandarua, Nyeri, West Pokot, Makueni, and western Kenya |
| promoted if any | |
| Counties where TIMP will | Baringo, Busia, Isiolo, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya |
| be up scaled | |
| Challenges in | Unavailability of specialized tools for CA |
| dissemination | • competition for stover between livestock and retention on the field |
| | • low yields during the first few initial seasons may not go down well with farmers, |
| | Change of mindset |
| | • land tenure (farmers reluctant to invest in CA where they do not have clear land rights. |
| Suggestions for addressing the challenges | Contracting tool fabricators to make the tools en-mass; Talking and convincing farmers about the low yields at the beginning and the overall benefits of the technology; probably paying farmers for the losses during the initial seasons |
| Lessons learned in up | Negative Mind sets about CA can hinder uptake |
| scaling if any | Difficulty to apply in mixed farming set ups however once farmers |
| | see the benefits adoption is enhanced |
| Social, environmental, | Farmer feelings should be taken into consideration |
| policy and market | As a way of safeguarding the environment, a policy paper should be |
| conditions necessary for | developed to ensure mass adoption of the technology in the region; |
| development and up | Need for structured markets to enhance financial returns to the farmer |
| scaling | |
| | nerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | First season basic costs are high because of purchase of specialized |
| | tools and knapsack sprayer |
| | However there is Reduction of costs associated with tillage-induced soil erosion and degradation i.e. 40% of land degradation |

| Estimated returns | Estimated financial returns during the first season and probably second season will be low, but environmental return will be big. Returns on conserving soil exceeding 150 ton/hectare annually and |
|--|--|
| | associated increased productivity |
| Gender issues and concerns in development , dissemination, adoption and scaling up | Land ownership, financial and resource poor women and youth, unwillingness to change by male farmers |
| Gender related opportunities | CA with trees is a technology that can be easily adopted by women and VMGs CA with agroforestry provides opportunities for SMEs e.g. tree nurseries. The technology therefore renders itself to easy adoption by women, youth groups and VMGs |
| VMG issues and concerns in development, dissemination, adoption and scaling up | VMGs and youth, are resource poor and may not own land and required equipment, |
| VMG related opportunities | SMEs such as tree nurseries for increased resilience |
| E: Case studies/profiles of | success stories |
| Success stories from previous similar projects | Farmers and agro-pastoralists who adopt the technology have had sustainable source of income and increased resilience |
| Application guideline for users | Adopters of CA will need training: Brochures, manuals, website |
| F: Status of TIMP readiness (1-ready for upscaling;, 2-requires validation; 3-requires further research) | Ready for up-scaling |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | KALRO |
| Lead organization and scientists | KALRO: Rachael Kisilu, C K Kamau, Erick Cheruiyot |
| Partner organizations | |
| | |

| 2.2.5 TIMP Name | Sorghum legume intercropping |
|---|------------------------------|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Management practice |
| innovation or management | |
| practice) | |
| A: Description of the technology, innovation or management practice | |

| Problem to be addressed | Low farm yields: Crop diversification, declining soil fertility, nitrogen fixation, pest management (push pull cropping), water management |
|--|---|
| What is it? (TIMP description) | Intercropping is a multiple cropping practices involving growing two or more crops in proximity. The most common goal of intercropping is to produce a greater yield on a given piece of land by making use of resources or ecological processes that would otherwise not be utilized by a single crop. Single row intercropping cropping; involves the component sorghum and the legume arranged in alternate single rows. Spacing. The space between the two sorghum rows is 120cm and the legume is planted in between so that between legume and sorghum row is 60cm. Strip intercropping: multiple rows, or a strip, of the legume is alternated with single or several rows of sorghum. Spacing. The inter row spacing between legume is 50-60cm and legume to sorghum is 60 cm. The space between two sorghum rows is 60-75cm. |
| | Control of pest through intercropping |
| | Push-pull cropping, this is a mixture of trap cropping and repellent intercropping. An attractant crop attracts the pest and a repellent crop is also used to repel the pest away. |
| | Trap cropping, this involves planting a crop nearby that is more attractive for pests compared to the production crop, the pests will target this crop and not the production crop. |
| | Repellent intercrops, an intercrop that has a repellent effect to certain pests can be used. This system involved the repellent crop masking the smell of the production crop in order to keep pests away from it. |
| Justification | Fertilizers tend to be expensive, unavailable and unaffordable for the predominant smallholder farmers in such regions of sub-Saharan Africa. Legumes provide these farmers with an important alternative to diversify their farming systems and improve soil fertility via symbiotic N2-fixation. Legumes also represent an important source of protein and supplemental income Additionally, reducing the homogeneity of the crop can potentially increase the barriers against biological dispersal of pest organisms through the crop. |
| B: Assessment of dissemina | ition and scaling up/out approaches |
| Users of TIMP | Farmers, |
| Approaches used in dissemination | Onfarm demonstrations during farmer field schools. Training in workshops. |
| Critical/essential factors for successful promotion | Availability of legume varieties that are compatible with sorghum Package on appropriate planting practices on the intercrops. A package on appropriate fertilization rates and regimes. |
| Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles | Farmers to participate in groups tarining Extension to disseminate |

| | Researches to provide the correct recommendations on intercropping |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| C: Current situation and fu | 11 6 |
| Counties where already | Makueni, Kitui, Machakos, Tharaka-Nithi, Embu, Meru, |
| promoted if any | |
| | |
| Counties where TIMP will | Baringo, Busia, Isiolo, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya |
| be up scaled | |
| Challenges in | Lack of the targeted area specific sorghum legume appropriate |
| dissemination | intercropping recommendations |
| Suggestions for addressing | Research to develop the correct recommendations of intercropping for |
| the challenges Lessons learned in up | the different legumes used by farmers This is a technology that done by farmers widely. It depends on: |
| scaling if any | different farmer goals and objectives. However there is limited |
| scaming it any | knowledge on control of pest and diseases in intercropping. |
| | There is need for proper documented recommendations |
| Social, environmental, | Socially acceptable |
| policy and market | Environmentally friendly |
| conditions necessary for | Increased productivity will provide supply to the |
| development and up | • markets |
| scaling | Supporting frameworks/policies to be made available. |
| D: Economic, gender, vulne | erable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | This is a low cost technology though technically demanding especially |
| | where the objective is to control pest through intercropping. |
| Estimated returns | Increased productivity has been reported. |
| Gender issues and concerns | • Land issues, financial issues, male and female preferences in the |
| in development | choice of crop to cultivate, traditional intercropping methods. |
| ,dissemination, adoption | • The practice is low cost but technically intense if intercropping is |
| and scaling up | done to manage pests and diseases affecting adoption. |
| Gender related | Intercropping places emphasis on the importance of using available |
| opportunities | land space to grow a diverse of food, increase biodiversity, pest |
| VMG issues and concerns | management thus the practice is economically viable. Lack of resources, I ability to reach training facilities and forums, low |
| in development, | awareness. |
| dissemination, adoption | uwmeness. |
| and scaling up | |
| VMG related opportunities | Intercropping places emphasis on the importance of using available |
| | land space to grow a diverse of food, increase biodiversity, pest |
| | management thus the practice is economically viable. The practice is |
| | available and cost effective to the advantage of VMG. |
| E: Case studies/profiles of | |
| Success stories from | Farmers have reported improved soil conditions, reduced runoff and |
| previous similar projects | nutrient loss, soil moisture retention in the soil and generally increased |
| | crop production following application of this widely used and readily |
| | available technology. |

| Application guidelines for | Reference: |
|-------------------------------|---|
| users | Sorghum production manual: Enhancing sorghum production and |
| | Marketing in semi-arid Kenya. (http://www.kalro.org/asal-aprp) |
| | Sorghum Training Manual: Enhanced Sorghum Production for Food |
| | Security and Increased Incomes (KCEP). |
| F: Status of TIMP | Requires Further Research |
| readiness (1-ready for | |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | |
| validation; 3-requires | |
| further research) | |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | KALRO |
| Lead organization and | KALRO-: Rachael Kisilu, CK Kamau, Eric Cheruiyot |
| scientists | |
| Partner organizations | ICRISAT, Universities |

Gaps in sorghum legume intercropping

1. Limited research and documentation on sorghum legume intercrop

| 2.2.6 TIMP Name | Legume intercrop for Striga management in sorghum |
|--|---|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Management practice |
| innovation or management | |
| practice | |
| A: Description of the techn | nology, innovation or management practice |
| Problem to be addressed | Striga weed damage to sorghum crop leading to low yield |
| What is it? (TIMP | The control of striga weed by practicing trap cropping which involves |
| description) | planting a legume species in an infested field that will induce |
| | the <i>Striga</i> seeds to germinate but will not support attachment of the parasite. |
| | This method has been used in sorghum plantations by |
| | planting legume between the sorghum rows. Cotton, ground nuts, |
| | sunflower, linseed and cowpea are effective trap crops. Planting |
| | Desmodium inhibits striga seed germination and has worked effectively |
| | intercropped with cereals |
| Justification | Striga weed commonly known as Witch weed causes considerable crop |
| | losses in sorghum especially in western Kenya. It is a difficult weed to |
| | control due to its life cycle and parasitic habit to sorghum plants. Control |
| | by use of trap and catch method has been effective. |
| | |
| B: Assessment of dissemination and scaling up/out approaches | |
| Users of TIMP | Sorghum farmers, researchers, environmentalists, county governments, |
| | input stockists |

| Approaches used in | On-farm trials, demo plots, print media, brochures, conferences and |
|---|--|
| dissemination | journals |
| Critical/essential factors | Availability of inputs for practical demonstrations |
| for successful promotion | |
| Partners/stakeholders for | Scientists to provide proper recommendations |
| scaling up and their roles | extension agents (both private and public) to disseminate |
| C: Current situation and | future scaling up |
| Counties where already | Few areas in Busia |
| promoted if any | |
| Counties where TIMP will | Baringo, Busia, Isiolo, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya |
| be up scaled | |
| Challenges in | Limited knowledge on the technology by farmers |
| dissemination | |
| Suggestions for | Validation and awareness creation |
| addressing the challenges | |
| Lessons learned in up | Ground nut intercrop reduced striga weed to zero in ICRISAT trials at |
| scaling if any | Busia |
| Social, environmental, | Acceptance by farmers as a control method is imptortant |
| policy and market | Legume intercrop is environment friendly |
| conditions necessary for | |
| development and up | |
| scaling | |
| | nerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | Low |
| Estimated returns | Estimated financial returns will be high; labour saved; environment |
| | conserved |
| Gender issues and | All issues will be incorporated; all gender will participate in development |
| concerns in development | and dissemination of the technology |
| ,dissemination, adoption | New skills concerning the technology should be focused on women since |
| and scaling up | traditionally, it is women who mostly plant sorghum |
| Gender related | Women farmers have better opportunities in the technology. Traditionally, |
| opportunities | sorghum cultivation is a woman's activity. |
| VMG issues and concerns | VMGs and youth, just as in women, should receive more skills and |
| in development, | knowledge to enhance adoption and scaling up |
| dissemination, adoption | was a street was provided by |
| and scaling up | |
| VMG related | More household income, less labour required |
| opportunities | Total |
| E: Case studies/profiles of | success stories |
| Success stories from | Documented for both sorghum and maize |
| Success stories from | |
| previous similar projects | |
| | Catch and trap crop |
| previous similar projects | Catch and trap crop Selection of the legume crop: Cotton, cow pea or desmodium for western |
| previous similar projects Application guideline for | |

| | Brochures and manuals, technical reports |
|------------------------|--|
| F: Status of TIMP | Requires Further Research |
| readiness (1-ready for | |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | |
| validation; 3-requires | |
| further research) | |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | KALRO |
| Lead organization and | KALRO Rachael Kisilu, CK Kamau, Eric Cheruiyot |
| scientists | |
| Partner organizations | ICRISAT |

Gaps on Striga control using legume intercrop

1. Effect of *Desmodium Sp* on striga is well documented however, the contribution of other legumes also need to be done. They include (but not limited to) cowpea, soybean and dolichos.

2.3 Bird damage management

| 2.3.1 TIMP Name | Sorghum Grain Harvest at Soft Dough Stage |
|---|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Management practice |
| innovation or management | |
| practice) | |
| A: Description of the technology, innovation or management practice | |
| Problem to be addressed | Low farm yields due to bird damage on white sorghums |
| What is it? (TIMP description) | This is the option of harvesting sorghum grain at soft dough stage when the grain is mature and drying away from the farms until the requires moisture is attained |
| | Harvest grain sorghum when colour changes from green to cream white |
| | • Sun-dry the panicles to attain 11-12% moisture content. |
| | Thresh the seed and store well. |
| Justification | Bird damage, is the major constraint, and it is the main reason why farmers shy away from sorghum cultivation. It can lead to 60% yield loss even when human scares are and 100% without scaring. When sorghum grain is harvested at early grain hardening dough stage as the grain turned cream white up to 100% sorghum can be salvaged from bird damage if harvested early and when the grain is physiologically mature followed by drying. At this stage seed viability is over 90%. Warm and dry environmental conditions has a significant influence on grain quality. |

| B: Assessment of dissemina | ntion and scaling up/out approaches | |
|---|--|--|
| Users of TIMP | Farmers, researchers. | |
| Approaches used in | On farm demonstrations | |
| dissemination | Training workshops, Seminars, Meetings | |
| | Field days | |
| | Agricultural shows | |
| | MoA/Extension officers | |
| | Farmer to farmer | |
| | Mass media – Agricultural programmes. | |
| | Promotional materials (posters/brochures/leaflets, manuals) | |
| Critical/essential factors | Well planned training workshops and demonstrations | |
| for successful promotion | Under stable farmer leaflets | |
| Partners/stakeholders for | Extension to disseminate | |
| scaling up and their roles | Researches to provide the information. | |
| C: Current situation and fu | | |
| Counties where already | Feaw parts of Makueni, Kitui and Machakos. | |
| promoted if any | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | |
| Counties where TIMP will | Baringo, Busia, Isiolo, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya | |
| be up scaled | | |
| Challenges in | Willingness of farmers to harvest and take time in drying well | |
| dissemination | Contamination during drying | |
| | Seed viability- need for correct timing of harvest. | |
| Suggestions for addressing | • Proper Information dissemination on the sorghum early harvest | |
| the challenges | technology to extension partners and farmers | |
| | Involve county governments and Extension in promotion. | |
| Lessons learned in up | • Early harvesting of sorghum can salvage 100% of grain from bird | |
| scaling if any | damage. | |
| | It reduces the time taken in guarding. | |
| Social, environmental, | • Labour for women and youth is reduced. They are the main bird | |
| policy and market | scarers. | |
| conditions necessary for | • Save even for the birds rather than repellants and poisons. | |
| development and up | Sorghum has ready markets and good market policy bur time to time | |
| scaling | policy review in needed. | |
| D: Economic, gender, vulnerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations | | |
| Basic costs | | |
| Estimated returns | | |
| Gender issues and concerns | Amy be added labour to women due to required skills in proper drying. | |
| in development, | | |
| dissemination, adoption | | |
| and scaling up | Western and assert Constitution in the second of the secon | |
| Gender related | Women and youth friendly because it relieves them to do other | |
| opportunities | beneficial activities | |
| VMG issues and concerns | Low education may hinder acquiring of proper skills to practice the | |
| in development, | innovation | |

| dissemination, adoption | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| and scaling up | |
| VMG related opportunities | Marketing opportunities for sorghum |
| | The technology is advantageous to all groups. Sorghum is grown by |
| | marginalized and vulnerable communities in the dry areas. |
| E: Case studies/profiles of | success stories |
| Success stories from | Sorghum yields were saved up to 90% in demonstration fields in |
| previous similar projects | Makueni, Kitui and Machakos. |
| Application guidelines for | Reference: Sorghum manual, Sorghum brochure in the KALRO ASAL |
| users | APRP website. |
| | Mutisya, D, L., Karanja, D. R., Kisilu, R. K . (2016). Economic |
| | advantage of sorghum harvest at soft dough to prevent bird damage. |
| | Cogent Food & Technology, 2: 1259141. |
| | http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2016.1259141 |
| F: Status of TIMP | Requires validation |
| readiness (1-ready for | |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | |
| validation; 3-requires | |
| further research) | |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | KALRO-Katumani |
| Lead organization and scientists | KALRO- Dr. Daniel Mutisya, Rachael Kisilu |
| Partner organizations | ICRISAT |

2.4 Mechanization

| 2.4.1 TIMP Name | Mechanization of sorghum production activities (Sorghum Planter, weeding, Harvester) |
|---|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, innovation or management practice) | Innovations |
| A: Description of the technol | ogy, innovation or management practice |
| Problem to be addressed | Slow and tedious processes of planting, weeding and harvesting in the commercialized sorghum commodity Reduced labour |
| What is it? (TIMP description) | Sorghum mechanization equipment such as planter, weeding implements and harvesters reduce labour and time used in thinning, weeding and manual cutting of panicles |

| Justification | To make sorghum production activities less tedious and more effective. Attract the youth to agribusiness through operation of the machines |
|---|---|
| B: Assessment of dissemination | on and scaling up/out approaches |
| Users of TIMP | Sorghum Farmers and researchers |
| Approaches used in dissemination | Field Demonstrations and training, Agricultural shows (ASK) and other exhibitions |
| Critical/essential factors for successful promotion | Fabrication of affordable machines |
| Partners/stakeholders for | KALRO, universities for information |
| scaling up and their roles | Machinery fabricators |
| | NGO supporting farmers for dissemination |
| C: Current situation and futu | re scaling up |
| Counties where already promoted if any | - |
| Counties where TIMP will be up scaled | Baringo, Busia, Isiolo, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya |
| Challenges in dissemination | Lack of the machines |
| | High cost for small-scale farmer when fabricated. |
| Suggestions for addressing the challenges | Fabrication of affordable sorghum production machines |
| Lessons learned in up scaling if any | Mechanization in agriculture increases production |
| Social, environmental, policy and market conditions necessary for development | Creation of awareness on mechanization importance in the community. Include all gender groups in research, and validation. |
| and up scaling | Good Policy on cost of agricultural mechanization |
| D: Economic, gender, vulnera | able and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | Not yet |
| Estimated returns | Not yet |
| Gender issues and concerns in | Gender Unfriendly and expensive machines |
| development ,dissemination, | Sorghum machines should be designed for easy start and operation. |
| adoption and scaling up | Up-scaling should targets all the gender |
| | Affordability to all gender |
| Gender related opportunities | Creates employment especially for youth Reduces drudgery for women farmers as well as men |

| VMG issues and concerns in | Facilitation to access information | |
|--|---|--|
| development, dissemination, | Affordability and easy to maintain machines | |
| adoption and scaling up | | |
| VMG related opportunities | Can create employment for VMG at local level | |
| E: Case studies/profiles of success stories | | |
| Success stories from previous similar projects | Mechanization has enabled increased production in other crops such as maize, wheat and rice | |
| Similar projects | such as marze, wheat and free | |
| Application guidelines for | Demonstrations and training | |
| users | User manuals | |
| F: Status of TIMP readiness | Requires further research | |
| (1-ready for upscaling;, 2- | | |
| requires validation; 3-requires | | |
| further research) | | |
| G: Contacts | | |
| Contacts | KALRO Katumani, Egerton University | |
| Lead organization and | KALRO, Egerton University, Erick Cheruiyot, Rachael Kisilu, CK | |
| scientists | Kamau | |
| Partner organizations | Local Fabricators | |

2.5 Post harvest

| 2.5.1 TIMP Name | Sorghum Thresher | |
|---|---|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Innovation | |
| innovation or management | | |
| practice) | | |
| A: Description of the technology, innovation or management practice | | |
| Problem to be addressed | Slow and tedious processes of Manual threshing and winnowing of | |
| | sorghum | |
| | Quality of grain | |
| What is it? (TIMP | It is a machine that simultaneously threshes, polishes and winnows | |
| description) | sorghum | |
| Justification | To make sorghum threshing and winnowing faster, less tedious and | |
| | more effective. Attract the youth to agribusiness through operation | |
| | of the machines. | |
| B: Assessment of dissemination and scaling up/out approaches | | |
| Users of TIMP | Sorghum Farmers and agribusiness entrepreneurs | |

| | T |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Approaches used in | Field Demonstrations and training, Agricultural shows (ASK) and |
| dissemination | other exhibitions |
| | |
| Critical/essential factors for | Use by Farmers |
| successful promotion | |
| | |
| Partners/stakeholders for | Machinery fabricators |
| scaling up and their roles | NGO supporting farmers(AGGRA) |
| | |
| C: Current situation and futu | re scaling up |
| Counties where already | Meru, Thraka Nthi, Kitui, Kisumu |
| promoted if any | 11200, 1111atta 1 (till), 1110atta |
| Counties where TIMP will be | Baringo, Busia, Isiolo, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya |
| up scaled | Barrigo, Busia, Isloio, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya |
| Challenges in dissemination | Relatively High cost for individual small-scale farmer. |
| - | • Limited awareness of the existence of machine by the farming |
| | community. |
| Suggestions for addressing | · |
| | Encourage group/cooperative ownership |
| the challenges | Launch and awareness campaign through demonstrations and |
| | trainings |
| Lessons learned in up scaling | Products from local/indigenous crops attract huge market, yet very |
| if any | little is being done to promote growth |
| Social, environmental, policy | Creation of awareness on mechanization importance in the |
| and market conditions | community. Include all gender groups in research, and |
| necessary for development | validation. |
| and up scaling | Good Policy on cost of agricultural mechanization |
| | able and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | Sorghum thresher 125,000 KES per unit |
| | |
| Estimated returns | Capacity of 4-5 bags of 90 Kg/ hour, 20 bags per day while moving |
| | from house to house. |
| | Fuel 1 litre /hr (4-5 bags) |
| | Needs 2 operators per time |
| | Threshing charges: KES 300 per bag |
| | Requires 1 season to return the KES 125,000 purchase price |
| Gender issues and concerns in | Sorghum Thresher designed for easy start and operation. Men have |
| development, dissemination, | been drawn to sorghum threshing by the machine. This task was |
| adoption and scaling up | predominantly for women before the introduction of the machine. |
| dissemination | predominantly for women before the introduction of the machine. |
| | |
| Gender related opportunities | Creates employment at production, transportation, processing and |
| | distribution |

| VMG issues and concerns in development, dissemination, adoption and scaling up | Training on local use and transportation will make it more usable. Thresher is affordable and could help VMGs exploit | |
|---|--|--|
| VMG related opportunities | Can create employment for VMG at local level | |
| E: Case studies/profiles of success stories | | |
| Success stories | It has reduced labour for farmers in Tharaka nithi, Kitui, and Kisumu for sorghum contracted farmers | |
| Application guidelines for | Demonstrations and training | |
| users | User manuals | |
| F: Status of TIMP readiness (1-ready for upscaling;, 2-requires validation; 3-requires further research) | Ready for up-scaling | |
| G: Contacts | | |
| Contacts | Egerton University | |
| Lead organization and | Egerton University, Musa Njue and Erick Cheruiyot, Rachael | |
| scientists | Kisilu, CK Kamau | |
| Partner organizations | Tecsols Ltd - Nakuru | |

| 2.5.2 TIMP Name | Sorghum solar drier |
|--|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, | Innovation |
| innovation or management | |
| practice) | |
| A: Description of the technology | ogy, innovation or management practice |
| Problem to be addressed | To reduce postharvest grain loss due to molds and other fungal |
| | diseases |
| What is it? (TIMP | A simple metallic structure with a translucent sheet on top to allow |
| description) | solar radiation that will rapidly dry harvested sorghum panicles, |
| | while allowing free flow of air. The sorghum panicles dry in 4 to 5 |
| | days to acceptable grain moisture content. |
| Justification | Sorghum reaches biological maturity at moisture content of about t |
| | 30 %. It is recommended that sorghum be harvested at moisture |
| | content of about 20 %. This is optimal moisture content in terms of |
| | minimizing post-harvest losses and drying expenses. Safe storage |
| | moisture content for sorghum is 10% to 12 %. |
| | The greatest advantage of the crib is that it is a dryers as well as a |
| | storage facility. There is no need to keep moving the product in and |
| | out as is with outdoor drying, which is currently the practise by |
| | farmers. |
| B: Assessment of dissemination and scaling up/out approaches | |

| Users of TIMP | • Farmers, Traders, Processors, Millers, Seed dealers, Researchers. |
|--|---|
| Approaches used in dissemination | On farm and on station demonstrations Training workshops, Seminars, Meetings Awareness creation by marketers and processors Field days Agricultural shows MoA/Extension officers Partners (ICRISAT, NGOs) Farmer to farmer Mass media – Agricultural programmes. Promotional materials (posters/brochures/leaflets, manuals) Web material's |
| Critical/essential factors for successful promotion | High Production Use by Farmers County and central government support Funding to promote |
| Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles | Farmers/farmer groups to participate Agricultural Extension (Formal and informal) for dissemination Researches (NARIS) for backstopping International research organizations e.g. ICRISAT for backstopping NGOs dealing with sorghum e.g. Africa Harvest, Farm Africa for mobilization |
| C: Current situation and futu | re scaling up |
| Counties where already promoted if any | - |
| Counties where TIMP will be up scaled | Baringo, Busia, Isiolo, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya |
| Challenges in dissemination | Relatively High cost for individual small-scale farmer. Limited awareness of the existence of machine by the farming community. |
| Suggestions for addressing the challenges | Encourage group/cooperative ownership Launch and awareness campaign through demonstrations and trainings |
| Lessons learned in up scaling if any | Products from local/indigenous crops attract huge market, yet very little is being done to promote growth Mechanization is key to increased production |

| Social, environmental, policy and market conditions necessary for development and up scaling | Creation of awareness on mechanization importance in the community. Include all gender groups in research, and validation. Good Policy on cost of agricultural mechanization |
|---|---|
| | ble and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | KES 120, 000 |
| Estimated returns | Validation to know returns needed |
| Gender issues and concerns in | Reduced grain damage, increased quality hence better returns |
| development and | It is gender friendly |
| dissemination | · · |
| Gender issues and concerns in | • Up-scaling should target all the gender but should be |
| development, dissemination, | affordable |
| adoption and scaling up | • |
| Gender related opportunities | An Opportunity for Youth to enterprise |
| | • |
| VMG issues and concerns in | There is need for friendly dissemination methods and |
| development, dissemination, | documents |
| adoption and scaling up | Affordability |
| and soming up | • Easy to use |
| VMG related opportunities | Can be used to create employment |
| E: Case studies/profiles of suc | |
| Success stories from previous | Still Under promotion |
| similar projects | Sun Older promotion |
| Application guidelines for | Reference: |
| users guidennes for | |
| users | Demonstrations and training |
| | User machine manual |
| F: Status of TIMP readiness | Requires Validation |
| (1-ready for upscaling;, 2- | 1. 1 |
| requires validation; 3-requires | |
| further research) | |
| G. Contacts | |
| Contacts | Egerton University |
| | • |
| Lead organization and scientists | Egerton University, Musa Njue and Erick Cheruiyot, Rachael Kisilu |
| Partner organizations | Tecsols Ltd - Nakuru |

2.6: Marketing

| 2.6.1 TIMP Name | The Community Production and Marketing System (COPMAS) |
|-----------------|--|
| | sorghum Model |

| Category (i.e. technology, | Innovation | |
|---|--|--|
| innovation or management | Innovation | |
| practice | | |
| A: Description of the technology, innovation or management practice | | |
| Problem to be addressed | Sorghum Marketing | |
| What is it? (TIMP | COPMAS: Community Production and Marketing System | |
| description) | | |
| Justification | Sorghum cultivation has not been fetching farmers any good financial return. Beer factories, especially Kenya Breweries now utilizes sorghum to produce beer. Under this arrangement they contract farmers to cultivate sorghum and they take at very reasonable cost immediately at maturity. This needs an organized production and marketing for the benefit of the small scale farmers COPMAS promotes the following conditions within a community: Sustainable use of natural resources Food and nutrition security Women and youth empowerment Employment opportunities Access to information for all members of the community Opportunities for economic advancement Incentives for group Good rewards | |
| | Assured Buyer | |
| | Knowledge by community members of their own rights and the ability to advocate for themselves. | |
| B: Assessment of dissemin | ation and scaling up/out approaches | |
| Users of TIMP | Sorghum farmers, researchers, county governments, input stockists, market agents, credit facilities and processors | |
| Approaches used in dissemination | On-farm trials, farmer training workshops, conferences and journals | |
| Critical/essential factors for successful promotion | Availability of quality seed Proper training on production practices Well organized farmer groups Marketing trainers | |
| Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles | Scientists to backstop extension agents (both private and public): dissemination county governments: Policy beer companies, market agents to create demand | |
| C: Current situation and f | | |
| Counties where already promoted if any | Makueni, Kitui, Machakos | |
| Counties where TIMP will be up scaled | Baringo, Busia, Isiolo, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya | |

| Challenges in | Unavailability of the required sorghum seed |
|---|--|
| dissemination | |
| dissemination | • In cohesiveness of farmer groups |
| | resource poor farmers |
| | Mistrust between farmers and sorghum buyers |
| | Low quality sorghum produce |
| Suggestions for addressing | Provision of credit or subsidy on seed |
| the challenges | Formation of strong farmer organization through involving county |
| | governments and extension partners |
| | Train farmers on production and marketing |
| | Creation of PPP for sorghum commercialization and sustainability |
| Lessons learned in up | Success in Gadam sorghum commercialization model in Eastern |
| scaling if any | Kenya |
| Social, environmental, | Well organized farmer groups |
| policy and market | Availability of a very structured marketing system |
| conditions necessary for | Good policy on sorghum pricing |
| development and up | |
| scaling | |
| D: Economic, gender, vulnerable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations | |
| Basic costs | KES 7 per Kg |
| Estimated returns | Estimated revenue = KES 32 per Kg = 25 |
| Gender issues and | Gender unfriendly marketing strategies to allow women and youth |
| concerns in development | participation |
| ,dissemination, adoption | Sorghum prices should favour all |
| and scaling up | Women and youth produce but men get the money |
| | New skills concerning the marketing should be focused on women |
| | since traditionally, it is women who mostly plant sorghum. |
| Gender related | Women farmers have better opportunities in the management of |
| opportunities | sorghum crop. Traditionally, sorghum cultivation is a woman's |
| | activity. Therefore they should be involved in Marketing |
| VMG issues and concerns | VMGs and youth, just as in women, should receive more skills and |
| in development, | knowledge to enhance adoption and marketing |
| dissemination, adoption | Accessible markets for all groups should be availed |
| and scaling up | |
| VMG related opportunities | More household income |
| E: Case studies/profiles of | success stories |
| Success stories from | COPMAS model has been effective in lower eastern Kenya for |
| previous similar projects | sorghum and cereal marketing |
| Application guideline for | This is the system of entrenching the use of structured marketing using |
| users | aggregation centers. Community can mean different things in different |
| | contexts. Teams doing activities create a definition that is helpful where |
| | they work. Some common elements that define community are: |
| | Individuals or groups who share a common geographic location. |
| | Individuals or groups who have common language, culture or |
| | values |
| | |

| | • How the groups or individuals interact or have relationships with each other. |
|------------------------|--|
| | How members of the community use common resources and make decisions. |
| | COPMAS is a community of farmers who are in the same geographic location who come together mainly for production and marketing of agricultural produce i.e. white sorghum. Each COPMAS has five (5) Business units with 10 Farmer groups. Each farmer group is made of average 20 members and hence each COPMAS has at least 1000 farmers. Each farmer group provides a farmer leader who becomes a member of the COPMAS committee. This committee coordinates aggregation of produce, collection by market agents and payment of farmers through banks after getting an individual ware house receipt. Each farmer is paid as an individual. Reference: Smart Logistics Solution, The Grain Bulking Centre, Machakos Rd, off Mombasa Rd, P.O. Box 7590-00100, Nairobi, Kenya +254 20 2644533, +254 702 644 921 |
| F: Status of TIMP | Requires validation |
| readiness (1-ready for | requires variation |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | |
| validation; 3-requires | |
| further research) | |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | KALRO Katumani, Smart logistics Solution |
| Lead organization and | KALRO. Rachael Kisilu |
| scientists | |
| Partner organizations | Smart Logistics Solution |

Gaps in Marketing

- 1. The available varieties are not linked to specific market/uses. Hence the need to develop varieties for specific markets (malting and brewing, baking, food and feed).
- 2. Enabling policies to enable marketing and consumption of sorghum are lacking e.g. the blending policy should be fast tracked.

2.7 Value addition

| 2.7.1 TIMP Name | Processing, utilization and value addition |
|--|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, innovation or management practice | Innovation |
| A: Description of the techn | ology, innovation or management practice |
| Problem to be addressed | Sorghum consumption at household level is very low and market opportunities for products are minimal |
| What is it? (TIMP | Recipes for the utilization and values added products for home |
| description) | consumption and small scale businesses |
| Justification | Sorghum utilization and consumption options are few among many communities Sorghum traditional dishes are not palatable to youth and children Promotion of value added products will enhance adoption and production |
| B: Assessment of dissemination and scaling up/out approaches | |
| Users of TIMP | Sorghum farmers, researchers, youth |
| Approaches used in dissemination | Training workshops, recipe brochures and journals |
| Critical/essential factors | Availability of inputs |
| for successful promotion | Well organized farmer groupsValue addition trainers |
| Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles | Scientists and extension agents (both private and public) to train on value addition Farmers groups to be trained in value addition NGOs and market agents to create opportunities for trained groups |
| C: Current situation and f | <u> </u> |
| Counties where already promoted if any | Makueni, Kitui, Machakos, Tharaka-Nithi, Embu, Meru, Homabay, Siaya, Kisumu. |
| Counties where TIMP will be up scaled | Baringo, Busia, Isiolo, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya |
| Challenges in | Unavailability of the required inputs |
| dissemination | In cohesiveness of farmer groupsresource poor farmers |
| Suggestions for addressing | Formation of strong farmer organization through involving county |
| the challenges | governments and extension partners |
| | Train farmers on production and value addition Markets for value added products |
| Lessons learned in up | Many farmer groups have been trained in sorghum value addition but |
| scaling if any | they lack market opportunities hence there is no progress after training |
| Social, environmental, | Acceptance of sorghum food products by all social classes |
| policy and market | To expand sorghum uses a policy on blending and baking with |
| conditions necessary for | sorghum is needed |

| development and up | |
|--|--|
| scaling | |
| | erable and marginalized groups (VMGs) considerations |
| Basic costs | Low |
| Estimated returns | High: Nutrition, income |
| Gender issues and | Youth and children may not like sorghum foods but value addition |
| concerns in development | may help |
| ,dissemination, adoption | Sorghum Value addition enterprises are few |
| and scaling up | Sorghum requires a lot of processing to prepare food hence women may avoid in favour of maize or rice. |
| Gender related | Women farmers have better opportunities in the value added products |
| opportunities | because they feed the community |
| VMG issues and concerns | Complicated time consuming value addition methods |
| in development, | Financial issues |
| dissemination, adoption | |
| and scaling up | |
| VMG related opportunities | More household nutrition security and income |
| E: Case studies/profiles of | |
| Success stories from previous similar projects | Some households are consuming sorghum products after training in value addition. More urban families are consuming sorghum due to health aware ness creation |
| Application guideline for | Different sorghum dishes such as: |
| users | Sorghum Pilau |
| | Sorghum Githeri |
| | Sorghum Cakes |
| | Sorghum cookies |
| | Sorghum pops. |
| | The recipes can be sourced from KALRO utilization and values |
| | addition programmes |
| | More recipes can be sourced from "Smart Food Initiative" program by ICRISAT and partners |
| F: Status of TIMP | Ready for upscaling |
| readiness (1-ready for | |
| upscaling;, 2-requires | |
| validation; 3-requires | |
| further research) | |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | KALRO Katumani, |
| Lead organization and scientists | KALRO. Rachael Kisilu, Erick Cheruiyot, CK Kamau |
| Partner organizations | ICRISAT (Smart Food Initiative), Africa Harvest, Egerton University |

Research Gaps in value addition

- 1. Identification of varieties for specific value added products
- 2. We don't have a variety of value added products.

| 2.7.2 TIMP Name | Sorghum Bread form selected Sorghum varieties | |
|---|--|--|
| Category (i.e. technology, innovation or management practice) | Innovation | |
| A: Description of the techn | A: Description of the technology, innovation or management practice | |
| Problem to be addressed | Low production and up-take of sorghum | |
| What is it? (TIMP description) | Evaluation and selection of sorghum genotypes suitable for baked food products | |
| Justification | Identifying and utilizing the diverse uses of sorghum as drivers for increasing production and uptake of sorghum in Kenya | |
| | To take advantage of the health benefits associated with sorghum; mineral nutrients, antioxidant properties and dietary fibre. | |
| B: Assessment of dissemination and scaling up/out approaches | | |
| Users of TIMP | Producers of grain sorghum and consumers of baked food products | |
| Approaches used in dissemination | Use of supermarkets, demonstrations, media advertisements | |
| Critical/essential factors for successful promotion | Pilot testing by supermarkets | |
| Partners/stakeholders for scaling up and their roles | Egerton university for dissemination of the variety to be grown by farmers Millers and Bakeries to create demand | |
| C. C | Leading supermarket chains to sell the bread | |
| C: Current situation and f | uture scanng up | |
| Counties where already promoted if any | Nakuru (Kay Supermarket) | |
| Counties where TIMP will be up scaled | Baringo, Busia, Isiolo, Kericho, Kisumu, Laikipia, Mandera, Siaya | |
| Challenges in | Producer-market link yet to be established | |
| dissemination | Inadequate seed (of selected genotype) for grain production | |
| | The bread variety is not being grown by farmers | |
| Suggestions for addressing | Funding for adaptation and promotion to farmers | |
| the challenges | Piloting in lead bakeries and supermarket chains | |
| | Funds for seed production | |

| Lessons learned in up | Products from local/indigenous crops attract huge market, yet very |
|--|--|
| scaling if any | little is being done to promote growth |
| | Carefully selected sorghum genotypes can give good baked food |
| 0 11 1 | products |
| Social, environmental, | The proposed policy of composting conventional with |
| policy and market conditions necessary for | local/indigenous grain in milling flour by local millers should be |
| development and up | implemented |
| scaling | This will spur increase of sorghum production and provide consumers |
| C | with access to benefits of sorghum in foodstuff |
| D: Economic, gender, | |
| vulnerable and | |
| marginalized groups | |
| (VMGs) considerations | |
| Basic costs | Sorghum bread (400 gms) cost 50/-, and (600 gms) is 70/- |
| Estimated returns | |
| Gender issues and concerns | Sorghum production has no bias, it can be grown by any group |
| in development | interested in agri-business but the right varieties for baking are not |
| ,dissemination, adoption | available |
| and scaling up | Besides bread, other baked products such as <i>chapatti</i> , <i>mandazi</i> , cakes |
| | can be baked and consumed in homes but up-scaling should be |
| | accessible to all the gender |
| | Affordable training needed for women and youth in baking |
| Candan nalatad | Few opportunities to markets for women and youth |
| Gender related opportunities | Creates employment at production, transportation, processing and distribution. |
| opportunities | distribution. |
| VMG issues and concerns | Access of the right variety for baking |
| in development, | Access to training facilities and forums |
| dissemination, adoption | Market access |
| and scaling up | |
| VMG related opportunities | Can create employment for VMG at local level |
| E: Case studies/profiles of | success stories |
| Success stories from | The development of baking variety by Egerton university and sale of |
| previous similar projects | the bread in Nakuru |
| Application guidelines for users | Good baked food products can only be obtained by using selected sorghum genotypes – and which can be availed by Egerton University |
| | |

| | • Composting of sorghum flour with wheat flour has different ratios for different products: bread 12-16 % of sorghum while cake can take 18-20 % |
|--|--|
| F: Status of TIMP readiness (1-ready for upscaling;, 2-requires validation; 3-requires further research) | Ready for upscaling |
| G: Contacts | |
| Contacts | Egerton University |
| Lead organization and scientists | Egerton University, Erick Cheruiyot, Lucy Mariera, James Owuoche |
| Partner organizations | KAYS supermarket - Nakuru |

Gaps ibn sorghum bread

- 1. Limited grain supply to the bakeries for EUS 130 sorghum variety that is used to make flour for this bread. There is need to promote the variety to farmers for production.
- 2. It has not gone into the mainstream supermarkets therefore needs promotion